

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 337.

DATED 20 Jan'y 1897.

[Despatch Abstract.]

According to the account the
sum of Rs 38-15-6, reported to be irrecoverable under
Land Improvement Loans Act with the following

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9492



The Honble Mr. J. G. C. I. E. / Direct

Read C.N. 2953 of 96
 On dated 5th Aug 1906
 & also C.N. 9493
 & C.N. 302 of 97

Order no. 337 of 20th July 1897

Under the circumstances reported in the
 along
 of the proposed sanction is accorded
 for writing off the accounts the
 sum of Rs 38-15-6 reported to
 be irrecoverable under Land Improve-
 ment Loans Act in the Chingput
 District

To
 16/1/97

11th of the balance has been recovered from the
 & the Board of Revenue (L.S.) has been
 "Accountant Genl." 16/1/97

Note

The Board of Revenue requested sanction reported to be irrecoverable
 in its Memo of 22nd April 1906 No 1989 under the Land Improve-
 (C.N. 2953) to write off the accounts the ment Loans Act in the
 sum of Rs 328-4-2 as irrecoverable Chingput District.

From
 The Board of Revenue
 (Rev. Sett., L. R. & Agri.)
 Madras

No. 6790 Mys.

Dated 19th December 1896

Received 22-12-96

Registered 22-12-96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Loans

Requesting the sanction
 of Govt. for writing off the
 accounts certain amounts

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ldg. S. No. 8840/96			0
G.O.	1723R	Rev.	2-9-96.
C. M.	65	Rev.	5-5-96.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

under the Land Improvement Loans Act in the Chingleput dt. This sum was made up of two loans, 200 Rs in one case and 100 Rs in the other and the interest accrued thereon. In the 1st case the lands of the defaulters (18-9) were sold and brought in by govt. for want of bidders. It is not stated for what amount they were knocked down. It is submitted by the amt the loan should be decreased.

In the other case half of the holding of the borrower $\frac{4-86}{2}$ was made over to the village munsif for Rs 1-7 and the other half was ordered by govt. to be sold (vide order $\frac{65}{5-5-96}$) & Rs 4-93 is the Board's reply and states that the half was sold only for 27 Rs and Rs 1-5 was collected in Trichy on account of the 1st loan and after taking credit for these sums it requests sanction to write off the balance.

The sum of Rs 11-8 is originally collected in Trichy on account of the 1st loan and has been credited against the interest.

Since writing the above on 30/2/97 is read and the draft is altered accordingly.

(Sett. 24-2,000-29-10-96)



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 19th December 1896.

No. 6790 Ins.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 4th September 1896, Ref: on No. $\frac{210}{36}$ of 95.

Referring to Board's Proceedings No. 4204 Ins. dated 27th August 1896, I have the honor to state that at the resale held on the 14th August 1896 of 2.43 acres, being one half of the extent in Survey No. 261 in Kallatore village of Tiruvallur taluk, the amount realised was Rs 27.

2. I therefore request that the sanction of Government may be

be obtained for writing off the accounts, the outstanding balance of Rs 250 principal and the accruing interest thereon in respect of the two loan cases referred to in this office Reference No. $\frac{210}{8}$ dated 13th April 1896.

Reference thereon dated 8th October 1896

In para 2 of the letter read above, the Collector requests that the sanction of Government may be obtained for writing off the accounts the balance of Rs 250 under principal and the ^{interest} accruing thereon. The Collector is requested to state how the amount realized viz, Rs 27 by the sale of half of Survey No. 261 has been credited. As under the rules, the amount recovered should be first applied to the discharge of the interest due and the balance to that of the principal,

	Principal Rs, as P	Interest Rs, as P	Total Rs, as P	
Balance of loan due in the second case	50-0-0.	15-15-6.	65-15-6	the amount irrecoverable in the second loan case comes to Rs 38-15-6 as noted in
Amount credited	11-0-6.	15-15-6.	27-0-0	
	38-15-6.	0-0-0.	38-15-6	

the margin, and the total amount that should be treated as irrecoverable in respect of the two loans will be Rs 238-15-6 under 'principal' and Rs 62-4-8 under 'interest' or Rs 301-4-2 in all.

Read also the following letter from the Collector from the Collector of Chimpur, dated 7th December 1896, Ref: on No. $\frac{210}{8}$ of 1896.

Referring to Board's General Register No. 5172 dated 8th October 1896, I have the honor to report that when the land in the 2nd case was sold on the 7th January 1896 it was purchased

to be written off

by one Krishna Reddi for Rs 27.
This amount was credited in
the accounts as noted below:-

Principal	Rs	as	P
	11	14	9
Interest	15	1	3
	27	-	-

The Sale having been cancelled,
the amount was to have been
refunded to the purchaser, but
at the subsequent Sale held
on 14th August 1896 the land was
purchased by the same Krishna
Reddi for the same amount of
Rs 27. This rendered a refund
unnecessary. As the difference
in the interest is small and the
balance is to be written off,
and as adjustments will
involve considerable corres-
pondence with the Accountant
General, it is requested that
the credit may be allowed to
stand. The amount to be
written off in this case will

then be:-

Principal	Rs	as	P
	38	1	3
Interest	-	14	3

2. In the 1st Case a sum of
Rs 1-5-0 has since been collected
in the Trichinopoly district.
It has been credited in the
accounts of that district to
principal instead of to interest.
Deducting this sum, the amount
to be written off in that case
will be:-

Principal	Rs	198	11	-
Interest	Rs	62	4	8

3. The total amount to be written
off will be Rs 299-15-2 con-
sisting of:-

Principal	Rs	236	12	3
Interest	63	2	11	

Resolution

Submitted to Government with
reference to its order No 65 dated 5th
May 1896, and in continuation

writing off

of Board's Proceedings No. 4204 dated 27th August 1896.

2. In the first of his letters read above, the Collector reported that by the resale of the half of the soil field referred to in the f. o. ^{no} quoted in para 1 above, held on the 14th August last, Rs 27 were realized and requested the Board to obtain the sanction of Government for writing off the accounts the outstanding balance of Rs 250 principal and the interest accruing thereon. He was then upon asked to state, how the amount realized was credited in the accounts. It was pointed out to him that under the rule which prescribes that the amount realized should be first applied to the discharge of the interest due, and the

the balance, if any, to that of the principal, Rs 15-15-6 should have been credited to 'interest' and the balance viz, Rs 11-0-6 to principal and that Rs 38-15-6 should be treated as irrecoverable under principal in the second loan case reported in Board's Proceedings No. 1989 dated 22nd April 1896. In his second letter read above, the Collector reports that Rs 15-1-3 were credited to 'interest' and the balance viz, Rs 11-14-9 to principal at the first sale which was subsequently cancelled, that the same person who purchased at the original sale purchased the half field at the resale and for the same amount, and he requests that as the difference in the interest is small and the balance is to be written

below :-

	Principal Rs and P	Interest Rs and P
1 st case	198-11-0	62-4-8
2 nd case	38-1-3	"-14-3
	Rs 236-12-3	63-2-11

(True copies and Extract)

C Bern

The Secretary to Government
Rumungham, Singapore

Under Seal:

MADRAS.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
24 APR 96
A. R. R.

To
The Secretary to
Government,
Revenue Department,
Madras.

Recd 24.6.56
No. of Enclosures.

Proceedings, dated 22nd April 1896,
No. 1989 Mis.

	Subject.
<u>Loans.</u>	

Requesting the sanction of Govt: for writing off the accounts- the sum of Rs 328-4-2 reported to be irrecoverable under the Land Improvement ---- Act in the Chingleput Dt.

9. 7. 0

20 362 (1.2)

(Sett. 20-2,000-21-95)

Why was not his
land in the second case
was not the same app
1/5/96

Don. Mrs. Lyraze C 2E

9.

Sanction is accorded,
for writing off the account
the sum of Rs 328. 4. 2 reported
to be irrecoverable in para 2
of the Proceedings read above.

Note.
The village officers have been treated in a somewhat drastic manner; they will no doubt appeal, if dissatisfied.
To the Board of Revl (Rs + L Roapi).


Hartman Rs 4-8 recovered
by Col. J. Frick, has
deducted.

Ad 28. 96

note for the U.S.

with reference to the
 Secret ¹ your pleasure
 is ¹ ~~to be~~ ^{to be} submitted.

Submitted that the current
may be over till the Board
28.6. Replies to the same



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 22nd April

1896.

No. 1989 Mis.

READ the following letter from G.W.Dance Esq. Ag. Collector of -
Chingleput, dated 13th April 1896, Ref: on No. 210 of 1896:--
91

I have the honour to report that it has been found impossible to collect the principal and interest of two loans granted in the Tiruvallore Taluk.

2. One Narayana aiyar of the Village of Karanai, Tiruvallore Taluk, was granted a loan of Rs200 under the ordinary rules, for digging a well on the 27th May 1891. The lands given as security consisted of Survey No. 10 measuring Acres 13.37 and classed as wet and Survey No. 8 measuring Acres 4.64 and classed as dry. They were valued by the Village officers at Rs 450 and this valuation was accepted by the special Rev:Inspector.

3. The first instalment fell due on the 26th May 1893. A demand notice was issued on the 27th September following. The money not having been paid, the lands were sold on the 28th March 1894, as ^{the} defaulter was reported to possess no moveable property. There were no bidders. They were put ^{up} for sale again on the 25th and 30th June, 12th July and 23rd November 1894. As there were again no bidders at any of these sales, the lands were bought in by Government.

4. The Village officers attributed the want of bids to the unfavourable character of the season. But the lands were granted on darkast little more than a year before they were mortgaged to Government. If they had been worth anything.

is not likely that they would have remained unoccupied so long. The Village officers were accordingly called upon to take them at their ^{original} valuation. They refused and were dismissed.

5. It was reported that the defaulter had a house and land in the Trichinopoly District, and the Collector was addressed. He replied, that they were already mortgaged for their full value. But he succeeded in collecting Rs 4-8-0 by the sale of some cocoanuts belonging to the defaulter.

6. I was at first disposed to issue a Revenue warrant for -- the defaulter's arrest, as his failure to pay any portion of the amount due by him appeared to be fraudulent. But I see from the records that he did actually complete the well at a cost of about Rs 140, with the exception of the parapet walls. It is therefore probably owing to his failure to strike water that he has fallen into arrears.

7. The arrears of revenue due on these lands were ordered to be written off in the Board's Proceedings No. 362 L.R. dated 5" October 1895.

8. I now recommend that a sum of Rs 262-4-8 may be written off as irrecoverable as shown below:-

Rs as p	
Principal-----	200--0--0
Interest-----	62--4--8
	<u>262--4--8</u>

9. In the 2" case, a loan of Rs 100 was granted under ordinary rules on the 28" May 1891 to Veerappa Reddi of Nallatore in the Tiruvallore Taluk for digging a well. The security consisted of Survey No. 261 measuring Acres 4.85 and classed as wet. It was valued by Village officers at 40 Rs an Acre and this valuation was accepted by the Special Rev: Inspector.

10. The grantee having misappropriated the loan to his own use, the Collector in May or June 1893 ordered it to be summarily recovered. The defaulter absconded and had no moveable property, so the land was put up for sale on the 30" November 1893 and 30" January 1894, but there were no bidders. At the following Jambandi, the Collector ordered the land to be resold, the original estimate of the Village officers being taken as the up-

set price. No bidders having come forward, it was ordered to be made over to the Village officers at their own original valuation. The Munsiff paid Rs 61-7-0, being half the principal and interest then due, for half the land. He asked that the other half might be collected from the Karnam. The latter refused to pay and was dismissed. On the 7" January 1896, the land was ^{again} put up for sale and fetched a sum of Rs 27. Half of it having been already sold to Munsiff, it is obvious that this sale was irregular and I have issued orders setting it aside and directing that the Munsiff's portion should be made over to him.

11. The irrecoverable amount in this case is Rs 65-15-6 as shown below:-

Principal-----	Rs 50--0--0
Interest-----	15--15--6
	<u>65--15--6</u>

12. I recommend that the amounts outstanding in both these cases may be written off. I do not recommend that any portion of them be recovered from Kulasekara Mudaliar and Venkatesh Mudaliar, the Special Revenue Inspectors concerned. These men were both taken straight from a taluk office without any experience of the work of a Revenue Inspector. Under the orders of Government and the Board, loans were being disbursed in fever haste, and it was inevitable that the security should in some cases prove to be insufficient. The Revenue Inspectors both acted quite honestly and they only accepted the valuation of the Village officers because they did not ^{know} any better.

13. The records are submitted, as per list enclosed.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the circumstances reported by the Collector the Board recommends that sanction may be accorded for writing off the accounts the sum of Rs 250 under "principal" and Rs 78-4-

under "Interest" or Rs 328-4-2 in all, reported to be irre-
-coverable under the Land Improvement Loans Act.

(True copy and Extract)

Myra
Secretary.

To,
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Copy to
The Collector of Chingleput,
with enclosures returned.

Revenue Department.

No.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

C. 295-3

Ph. N^o 65

The Board is requested to report why steps have not been taken to sell ^{separately} the half of survey no. 261 in Mallabari village. as the whole field fetched a sum of Rs. 27 on the 7th January 1896, it is possible that a sale of the half which ~~was not sold~~ ^{was not sold} ~~does not belong to~~ the Municipality might be successful.

Cotacamund
5th May 1896

Bd (R.D. & R.) ^{4.5.96} *MT* 5.5.96

Note
The whole field was put for sale without success on three occasions.

30 - 11. 93
30 - 1. 94
March 1894
or April

It fetched Rs. 27 on - 7. 96.

It is probably no good putting up half the field again.

probably.
MT

146-229/9-7-96

3rd Reminder No 229 sent to
Board, Settlement on 25.11.96.
h.M.
25.11.96

Will know
Arrear

No 65-
5-5-96

See
(from order)

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

The Board of Revenue

(Rev. Sett., L. R. & Agri.).

Order

No. 220 Mes:

Dated 11th January 1897.

Received 12th

Registered 14th

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government for
letter from the Collector of
Chingleput reporting that the
loan arrears due from Narayan
Iyer of Karanai has been collated
and recommending that sanction
be accorded for writing off the
accounts only Rs 38-1-3 under
'Principal' and Rs 0-14-3 under
'interest'.


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(13-1,000-21-1-93.)



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).

Dated 11th January 1897.

No. 220 Msi.

READ The following letter from the Collector of Chingleput, dated 30th December 1896. Reference on No. $\frac{210}{\text{Sub}}$ of '95.

In continuation of this Office Reference on No. $\frac{210}{\text{Sub}}$ dated 7th Instant and with Reference to Board's Proceeding No. 6790 Msi. of 19th Instant, S. L. R. I have the honor to report that the whole of the loan arrears due by Narayana Aiyar of Karanai has since been collected from the Karmam and the Musiff of the village and remitted into the Treasury. Only the arrears due by Veerappa Reddi, therefore has to be written off viz.

Principal Rs 38-1-3; Interest Rs 0-14-3
Resolution

Submitted to Government in
Contd.

Continuation of The Board's Proceedings
quoted by the Collector.

2. In the circumstances now reported
by the Collector in his letter read
above, the Board recommends that
sanction may be accorded for
writing off the accounts only Rs 38-1-3
under 'Principal' and Rs 0-14-3
under interest relating to the
second case of loan.

3. The circumstances under
which the arrears of loan in the
first case were recovered from the
village officers have not been reported.
In para 4 of his letter disposed of
in Board's Proceedings No: 1989 mis.
dated 22nd April 1896, the Collector
reported that they were dismissed
because they refused to take the
lands mortgaged as security at
their own original valuation.

(True Copy + Extract)

R. V. Shivwadekar
Secretary.

The Secretary to Government. Rev: Deptt:
Collector of Hinglupat.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENTS WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only