

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3355

DATED 3rd July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to interfere on the behalf of
Govindasami Nair, late Sub-Inspector & Sub
Jail Warden, Nagapattinam appealing against
his dismissal.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5086



with
The Hon^{ble} Mr J. Grose C.I.E.

From The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue
Order *hise* no. 3355 of 3rd July 97
17/97

The Govt sees no reason
to interfere on the petrs be.
half.

Issue 3787 No. 2077 his

Dated 9th June

Received 17.6.97

Registered 18.6.97

Enclosures 21

Spare copies

To the Board (L.R.) with Encls

Copy to the petrs.

no. 6.

6-2-9-97

This is a third appeal from
a Taluk peon who, while acting
as warder in the Negapatnam
Sub. Jail, was dismissed the
public service for having, out
of corrupt motives, neglected to
carry out the orders of the Sub. Jail
officer.

Submitting to Govt petition from
Ganidarami Naik, late Taluk peon No. 19
and Sub Jail Warder, Negapatnam, Tanjore
district, appealing against his dismissal from
office.

Ab

6307/6/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

officer in the matter of feeding some under trial prisoners.

The full facts of the case appear in the report flagged G. The order of dismissal was passed by the under secretary while acting as Head Asst. Magistrate Nega-

patam ^(Prop. flag E) and it was confirmed on appeal by the Secretary while Collector of Tanjore. ^(Prop. flag B)

The under secretary has ~~advised~~ ^{observed} that the petr is a miserable specimen of a Teluk lion and has lied abjectly before me. The Secretary in declining to interfere with the punishment of dismissal ^{has} marked that the petr's conduct was both neglectful & corrupt.

The Board ^{dismissed} ~~proposed~~ the petr's second appeal saying that it would not interfere in his behalf.

I knew him as a drunkard & bad lot generally.
 28687

I submit the case to the H.M. under the circumstances stated above. My view was that the petr should be dismissed & not merely sent to the prison.

1/29/1897.

CURRENT No. 1530

PETITION

Sivahayam

CHIEF SECRETARY
-7 APR 1897

The Government of India Madras.

The appeal petition of Gorindasamy Peon no 19 of Negapatnam Taluk of the Tanjore District, late jail warden now dismissed out of service.

I. The Town Magistrate of Negapatnam sent some prisoners on Remand to the jail at 7-30 PM after the night of the 28th March 1896, with an order to allow their own domestic meals into the jail if required & if brought by their relatives. The domestic meals were not brought till 8 PM and the prisoners refused to take in Govt meals as unnecessary.

II Under the Government orders to leave the jail key with the Police officers in charge during my temporary absence outside, I handed the jail key over to the officer with instructions to allow domestic meals from outside for the prisoners, & went out for meals being a single warden as I was.

III The prisoners domestic meals were brought by their relatives at 9 PM, who wanted the Police officers to allow the meals inside the jail for prisoners. The Police officers required the production of the Magistrate's written order, being night time. They were disputing with each other without doing so.

X ~~This is a lie~~ IV. When I came back, I heard the matter & ^{intimated} it to the Magistrate; and under whose orders the prisoners were allowed out for domestic meals.

The Police officers reported against the Magistrate the whole arguments between themselves & the Magistrate; and on that account Governor's lies were written against me in the particular.

VI I requested the Head Assistant Magistrate to inquire well & decide the matter. Considering my statement that everything had been written against me on account of the Police officers' report against the Magistrate withal my innocence in the matter, whereas he only told me he had dismissed me without deep inquiry into the matter.

1/28/1897.

VII The Reasons for dismissal by the Head assistant

Magistrate: —

(1) ^{Why} I did not make Report till 8 PM?

Whereas, Prisoners came at 7-30 PM, and I did not Report as nothing had happened at all within the half an hour remaining for Report. If there had been any I would have surely Reported without failure. This petty affair to be taken for a serious fault for dismissal is unjust.

(2) I had been already fined once; whereas nothing in connection with this affair. Hence dismissal is unjust.

(3) I had been already warned once; whereas I had never been done so either connected with this or any other affair in writing hence to say so is unjustifiable.

(4) I had no fault in my duties; whereas my 19 years continuous service without any suspension or fine in the middle clearly stands proof. I would not have been under so long a service under the Government without dexterity in my duties.

(5) I am a liar; whereas there is no justice in him as he has done dismissed me without inquiring into the affair deep withal my request to do so.

VIII. The Head assistant Collector is quite unjust in having dismissed me without any proper reason.


The Higher Courts too did not do justice withal my appeal; nor did they inquire deep into the affair.

IX As Madras is a Presidency Town & likely to be very beneficial for poor people without partiality, I humbly beg your honor will be pleased to consider my case of innocence deeply & give me the true justice & sanction orders to resume my old duties.

X After so long a service under Government I & my children are now suffering very much. I humbly beg your honor will send for any records required of yourself as my pecuniary means are too little to get them & file in support of my affair.

I enclose
The order of the Head and Collector 1
" District Collector 1
" Revenue Board order 1

C. Anandamohan Das
6-4-97



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 9th June

1897.

No. 2077

Mis.
Routine.

READ enclosure of the collector of Tanjore, No. 2531/110, dated 27th May 1897.

Resolution

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board has no recommendation to make on petitioner's behalf.

(Inclosure)

W. H. D. S.
As Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, ✓

with petition to Government and its four enclosures and four files of records and list, and with the collector's covering letter in original - all except Govt. petition to be returned.

27/6/97

for enclosures
79-2-2

189 .
Board of Revenue
 (Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

To

Proceedings, dated _____
 No. _____ Mis. _____ 189 ,
 Routine. _____
 Subject. _____

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No _____ DATED _____
 189 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURE TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

E.F.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only