

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3228

DATED 28th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board of Res to make a certain modification in the notification issued by the Board for the purpose of including Ka-poulapalem in the list of Villages in the Godavari DC where permits for the transport of foreign liquor shall not be dispensed with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

MADRAS.



Printed May 28th.

Proceedings, No. 177, 8th May 1897.

G.O. No.

Recorded

2. It is observed that the villages of the Godavari district have not been notified in the notification referred to though they are specified in the excise manual.

Let

Approving the suggestion of the Assistant Commissioner, Cocanada Sub-Division, to include Kapulapalem (Sunkararevupalem) in the list of villages in the Godavari district where permits for the transport of foreign liquor shall not be dispensed with and issuing a notification amending the notification of Government on the subject.

Note

The villages in the Godavari district do not appear in the notification as G.O. No. 532 of 2.6.96. But it is found in the excise manual.

14/5/97

2

BOARD OF REVENUE (SEPARATE REVENUE), No. 177, 8th MAY 1897.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(SEPARATE REVENUE).

Read--the following letter from A. P. RUSSELL, Esq., Assistant Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, Cocanada Sub-Division, to the Deputy Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, Northern Division, dated 1st April 1897, No. 832:--

I have the honour to invite your attention to notification, No. 248, dated 28th June 1886, published on pages 639, 612 of *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 16th July 1886, (vide also page 40 of the Excise Manual), and to point out that the list therein published does not contain the village of Kapulapalem (Sunkararevupalem) which lies right on the Yanam frontier, being separated by only a street from the French village of Kanakalapeta. The village of Kapulapalem is really the most dangerous of all the British villages through which smuggling takes place. In some cases there are houses which lie both in French and British territory, the frontier demarcation line running right through them. I request, therefore, that you will be so good as to have the village of Kapulapalem added to the list contained in the above notification.

Endorsement by the Deputy Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, Northern Division, dated Camp, Madras, 8th April 1897, No. 906.
Copy submitted to the Board for the favour of orders.

Resolution--No. 177, dated 8th May 1897.
The Assistant Commissioner's recommendation is approved. The appended notification will be published in three successive issues of the *Fort St. George Gazette* and the *Gólavari District Gazette*, amending the notification of Government referred to.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

(True Copy.)

N. S. BRODIE,
Acting Secretary.*James Russell*
Assistant Secretary.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Northern Division.
" Assistant Commissioner, Cocanada Sub-Division.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
" Collector of Gólavari.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

APPENDIX.

SALT, ABKARI AND CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.
Notification No. 10, dated 8th May 1897.

In virtue of the power conferred on him under section 10 of the Madras Abkari Act I of 1886, the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue hereby directs that the village of Kapulapalem (Sunkararevupalem) be added to the list of villages of the Gólavari district notified in Government notification, No. 248, dated 28th June 1886, and published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 2nd July 1886, Part I, as amended by Government notification, No. 379, dated 22nd November 1887, and published on page 915 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 22nd November 1887, Part I.

Frd. C. M. Thombuswamy.

C. No 4710

G.O. No. 3228 of 28th June 97.

It is observed that the names of the villages of the Gólavari district did not appear in notification No. 248 of 28.6.1886 published at *Fort St. George Gazette* of 2nd July 1886. They were, however, subsequently embodied in the notification of the same number and date published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of 9th July 1886 and two subsequent issues. The notification issued by the Board should be amended accordingly.

*W. Russell*AK.
24/6/97Lst.
20

The B. of Rev. (Ref. Rev.)

Des. 1-7-97