

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3213

DATED 25th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bonds' Prop: Approving the grant of full remission on all dry waste in tracts in which dry remissions have been sanctioned, recorded with the remark that remission should be granted in the case of well-irrigated lands only when the wells have proved inefficient.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 2607



The Hon: Mr. Justice
 The Hon: Mr. Justice
 Sir the Governor

From The Board of Revenue
Revenue Settlement Land Records
and Agriculture Madras

zur Orders.

The instruction issued in para 1 of the B.P. (viz to grant full remission for all marks in books in which remission is allowed) is the same as that proposed in the draft order now in Circ ~~attached~~

2. The ~~section~~ point dealt with in para 2 of the R^{pt} is more difficult to decide. In para 8 of his letter at page 6 of R^{pt} 3283
28-4-97 the Cllr proposed that lands protected by wells or channels should be excluded from the benefit of the remission allowed for the tract in which they are situated. The Board did not specifically notice

No. 3857 *ms*

Dated 28 May 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Remission, Bellary
Passing orders on letter
from the Collector of
Bellary stating that the
onturn of individual
fields in the affected
tracts has not been
recorded.

C 516197

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

This proposal, but the effect of the orders issued by it in the above Procs was that ^{all} ~~the~~ dry lands in the tracks wherever probed ~~off~~ ^{not} should get the benefit. The Collector again raises the point in the present letter and the Board accepts his proposal that probed dry lands which yielded a tin anna crop or more should not get any remission, ^{although ~~that~~} ~~but not at least~~ ^{he reports} ~~Collector has reported~~ that no estimates have been made of the yield of individual fields. Even if such an estimate had been made, the ^{Board's} order will afford opportunities for speculation to village and Taluk officials, and it is in view of this consideration ~~that~~ and in order to avoid the necessity for examining individual fields that it has been suggested that all dry lands in the tracks should get full remission.

2. The Collector's original proposal to include all pre-occupied lands from the concession obviously goes too far, as ~~many~~ ^{many} of the wells and channels will

have a Sunday in this season
of ^{severe} drought and the effect of a drought
on it is ^{some of the}

~~no~~ it would be that ^{some of the} ~~of the~~ eyes who
had gone to New Brunswick and expense

The proposed to differentiate
 between individual cases. I
 certainly object to this.

441677

They may be dry here as usual
but the crops have been harvested

Congress
I.C. whose military records had
faded & sent as a copy of the

It is just unnecessary trouble
any more.

4/6/77.

3. of digging wells would be treated less favorably than their neighbors who get the concession even though they might have ~~been able to get good crops~~ ^{been able to get good crops} ~~been able to get good crops~~ ^{been able to get good crops} seems best therefore to make no exception and to allow the remission in all cases. On the other hand it is to be borne in mind that ^{in the past} the Govt has advanced large amounts on favorable terms for the construction of wells and channels, that its object in thus encouraging the construction of wells is not merely to protect the ryot from the effects of drought but also to safeguard its own revenues and that it is hard that it should forego the benefit in view of ^{partly} ~~the~~ ^{fact} that it makes advances to the ryot

res. 69 It turns to me that the real reason for looking such losses is found in the simple fact that they have had a crop as ordinary seasons.

Hubert.

I think
rest
BWS

The B.D.'s proposal assumes that it is possible to make a correct estimate of the yield of fields harvested long ago. This is impossible.

Remission must be granted by tracts, & no account should be taken of individual cases

5/5/93
 That does not seem correct. No ac-
 count should be taken of the field
in individual cases - that account
 may certainly be taken of individual cases

I meant that, as two Sec. ago,
no attempt was made to
differentiate between individual

is
con-
ma-
des
of
the
in-
the
in-
ga-
day
on
the
in-
or-
the

As directed in Board's Standing Order.
23. and Board's Proceedings No 322 dated
6th November 1896 the average yield for
the village as a whole has been determined
and remissions have been proposed with
reference to it. There is absolutely no record
of the yield of the "cultivated lands of
individual owners" and it is therefore
impossible to give effect to the Board's
Order quoted above. The enclosure co-
the village account number 1. does not
show the outturn on each field but only
the average outturn obtained on the total
area harvested under each crop."

2. The Board's Proceedings is Silent: on my proposal to exclude from the Remission Statement: all protected dry lands. I presume that remission in the case of such land should be refused only where there has been a crop of 6 annas and above.

Resolution

1/ Under the circumstances represented by the collector the Board sanctions the grant of full remission on all dry waste in the villages where the grant of dry remission has been sanctioned.

The quite exceptional severity of the prevailing drought: in itself goes ~~for~~ ^{far} ~~the~~ to justify this enlargement of the instructions already issued.

2. With regard to para 2 of the Collector's letter the Board does not understand how, as no ajmaish has been made the Collector proposes to ascertain what individual fields have been in fact protected and to what extent. On the assumption that such fields have been ascertained the Board concurs that no remission should be granted in respect of them, if a six-anna crop has been obtained.

Aug.

R. B. Shivastava
Secretary

The Collector of Bellary
Copy to the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

The House: 2nd - 2nd 202
 The House: 2nd - 2nd 202
 2nd - 2nd 202

The proposal with the
cover refers is this - "Dry
lands protected by wells &
channels will be excluded
from remission." In the
letter embodied in the current
A.D. No. he says: "There is ab-
solutely no record of the yield
of cultivated land of individual
owners". He also says: "I presume
that the remission on such land
(protected dry land)
will be refused only where there
has been a crop of 6 annas
+ above". This refers to the
order in para 9 of the A.D.
pro. that "where the culti-
vated land of individual
owners have yielded 6 annas
or more remission on such
be granted only on such
waste to". I think we have
disallowed this. It would be
but no exception

This is well to say that no exceptions
where I differ.
I think there are no fractures in fracture zone
that the reception ⁱⁿ section is given, into regard
how difficult to certain fields in those
the potentialities
should not get fractured which are said to be
unimpaired "protected". As regards the
How Mr. Bliss' remarks, I
observe that through the
"protecting" channels
of wells may be

-C. C. minor no. 3213 of 25th June 97.
 Recorded with the remark
 that remission of the printed as well
 land. situated in ^{within} the
 remission ^{1/25/97} printed only in
 cases ^{it} ^{1/25/97} where the wells have proved
 unproductive ^{1/25/97}
 when well ^{1/25/97}
 is in the ^{1/25/97}
 board & cells. ^{1/25/97}

in effect
Note. H. E. agrees with the
instructions issued by the Board.
The Hon. Sec. Trade objects to them
on the ground that it is impossible
to make a correct estimate of
fields harvested long ago; the
Board is aware of the difficulty
and specifically states that it
accepts the Collector's proposals
on the understanding that protected
lands which have yielded a fine
annual crop or more have already
been ascertained. Where this has
not been done ^{these} ~~such~~ lands will
get the tractman remission
In these circumstances the
above draft is submitted for
approval as agreeing with the
views of the majority of members
11/15/61/7.

5241
31/1

fully efficacious in ordinary
cases they may have been
by no means the case.

27
16/6/77

But so they may have. But we
don't know anything whatever about
it & are quite justified, in my
opinion, in assuming that the
protecting cills & wells haven't failed of
their object. His intention that in seasons
of drought makers agree to let someone
there is a cill. or well. First, why should people
be to the trouble of digging cills or wells? In
migration by taking from cills (which is the
what is meant) we make a low charge; for
migration from wells no charge. People who
get these advantages must, and easily can,
take their chance. His allowing wells to be
considerate in bad years, but the revenue
must be protected even then.

27
16/6/77

It appears that Mr. Chamberlain is substantially of
the same opinion with me, and with me, in
that remission should not be given where the land
is protected by wells. Perhaps, the Hon. Mr. Chamberlain
is more closely in accord with me. I would rather than
say that the presumption should be in favour
of not charging, but that in all cases in which this
presumption is rebutted, the charge should be met.
This is my opinion.

5.14

24.5.97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No
189

DATED

NT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

S.F.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

A A A A A A A