

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3107

DATED 18th June.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Calling for certain
further information relative to the deputations
of certain Store Inspectors on tour
by as each Inspectors.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Dec. 5/97	2107		12-5-97				

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.



Issue as 18-6-97

From The Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement, Land
Records and Agriculture)
Madras.

No. 3475 Mis.

For Order

Rs 21-5-97 apparently men ordered to be retained *Rs 15-6-97*

Dated 17th May 1895.

Received 14-5-97

Registered 14-5-97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

at circle Inspectors in the affected districts the Govt was under the impression that the men deputed were ~~to be taken~~ from the staff whose retention in service was approved in G.O. *237* *6-4-97* and that their places in the Dept were to be filled up by acting *be reduced*

Informing Government Revenue Department, that the permanent pay of the Stock and Agricultural Inspectors referred to, is Rs 100 per mensem and that it cannot therefore be reduced.


NOTE

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2323		

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),
Dated 17th May 1897.
No. 3475 His.

READ Government Order, Revenue Department,
dated 8th May 1897 No: 2323.

Resolution.

The permanent pay of the Inspectors is Rs 100 per mensem; and this cannot be reduced while they are detailed for special duty. This full pay must be met from 33 Famine-Salaries and establishments. Government is aware that Stock Inspectors were largely employed as circle Inspectors

or temporary arrangements during such deputation. ~~The Board's~~ remarks in the resolution read above that the ^{full} pay of the men deputed would be debitable to 33 Famine Relief would seem to show that such arrangements have been made; ~~if this pre-~~ ^{if this pre-} ~~sumption is correct~~ the action taken by the Board is tantamount to creating 4th appointments for famine duty on a scale of pay higher than that ~~sanctioned~~ ^{allotted} by the Famine Code without previously obtaining the sanction of Govt. 2. The Board of Revenue is requested to explain fully what has actually been done in the matter

18/6/97

List

Rs
17-6-97

Boards of Rev (sett)
A.G.

Emended
19/6/97

Inspectors in the Famine of 1891-92.

(True Extract)

Enclosed
Famine Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

Edwd
1898/9

NOTE FOR THE ~~UNDER~~ SECRETARY.

The list submitted by the Collector with his letter in G.O. No. 2323 of 8th May 1897, ^(Flagged A) having been returned to the Board with copy of that G.O., it cannot be definitely stated who were the stock inspectors referred to. From the following facts however, it may be inferred that they were not the men who were ordered to be discharged. The facts are

- (1) that in Dec^r last a proposal of the Board to suspend, in the interests of famine work, the notice of discharge served on the men last mentioned, was negatived by Govt. (vide G.O. Flagged B)
- (2) that early in April last ^{Flagged D E} ~~for instructions~~ ^{in copy} issued at the time to the Board the Govt. declined to entertain a proposal for their further retention and stated that the notice of discharge issued in Oct. 1896 should stand
- (3) that the Board now speaks of the inspectors referred to as at present drawn