

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 28.2/

DATED 4th June.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Receiving telegram from the Hon^{ble} Secy
the Bowager Maharanee of Vizianagaram requesting
that orders as to the future management of
the estate may not be passed until receipt
of a further communication from her.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

90 CURRENT No. 111

From H. A. Sim Esq.

Order

No.

Dated 31 May 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures One.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a telegram from her
Her business the Dowry Maharani
of Vizianagram requesting that
order as to the future manage-
ment of the estate may not be
passed until receipt of a com-
munication from her

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

D 1,000-8-4-97.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 20/2A. III.

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

9753
Monthly Number.

To (Office)

Cotacamund.

From (Office)

Trivandrum.

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official Instructions.

50.

30.

14.

50.

To (Person)

The Right Honble
H. C. The Governor. No. D. 1000-8-4-97.
of Madras.

From (Person)

Her Highness
of Travancore.

Word Form.

My sons funeral obsequies will be over by Thursday next the third proceeding never was. In a more distressed condition I pray your Excellency to defer passing orders as to the future management of the samsthanam till one week hereafter within which time I shall lay before your excellency my views and wishes about it pray graciously pardon the delay.

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

At

Hrs.

Mts.

COTACAMUND

30-5-97

To: Principal Secretary.

Prepared by

in Madras & Cotacamund and that the

197

BACK PAPERS.

Date.

D 1,000-



GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Oct 1897
31st May 1897



My dear Stokes,

I am desired by His
Excellency the Governor to transfer
to you the enclosed telegram
for such action as may be
necessary.

Yours sincerely,
L. R. M.

properties of the late man
in Madras & Botacammal and that to

No. D.O. C. No: 111.

From the Private Secretary

Dated 31st May 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon. Mr. Grose C. J. E.

In the telegram sent by Her Highness the Dowager Maharani of Vizianagram to H.E. the Governor forming an enclosure to the semi-official from the Private Secretary ~~Her Highness~~ requests that the passing of orders regarding the future management of the Zemindari may be deferred for one week from Thursday next, by which day the funeral obsequies of the late Maharajah will have been finished.

2. The Collector has already been requested by wire to ^{attend to the affairs of the Zemindari} report upon the subject and to state whether the intervention of the Court of Wards will be necessary. Time enough has not elapsed for a reply being received. From a note in the 'Hindu' newspaper of the 26th May/97, it appears that the Vizagapatam Collector has telegraphed to the Cms of Madras and Nilgiris to take an inventory of the properties of the late Maharajah situate in Madras & Cochin and that the

1997

Cour of Madras, has taken charge of the Admiralty House, Adyar. Probably, the CM of Vizagapatam is taking an inventory of the properties of the Maharaja in Vizianagaram also & ~~the High Court~~ does not like this being done before the funeral obsequies are over.

3. ~~From~~ From an article in the "Madras Mail", it appears that the late Maharajah adopted a son.

4. Orders are solicited as to whether any action should be taken on the telegram.

FOR ORDERS.

2/6/97

I submit no action is called

AR. In. 11/6/97

No action seems to be necessary. Mr D. Mahanani does not object to the taking of an inventory, but to the passing of orders about the management of the estate. He will be here here before orders can be passed. Last night's paper says that the Court of Wards has taken charge, but it cannot exercise any power of intervention. Mr. G. has passed order (Sec 2 Reg V of 1904) Mr. P. has taken over the Court has taken charge of Admiralty House, but he knows nothing more. I am afraid there will be an immense amount of litigation. The adoption is contested. There is a sister son.

G.O. Memo. No. 2821 of 4th June 97.

Mr. D. Mahanani. Pending further report from the Col. Mr. 11/6/97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
/ = Copy heading only

ations. But the chief
-matization of laws
the personal and
al elements of the state.
no laws touching the
the v. l. of King, of
of the state
of objects of Edward
lation were to curb
possible the powers of
bishops and church. And
statutes were aimed against
there were some for
went of Commerce and

If speaking his legis-
to systematise the
-matise the rights and
of the different states

nd that Edward I did
be much of new laws.
only to follow the paths
by Henry II and
to be legible from the
of England. He empha-
the forgotten laws
late. Some laws had

Da