

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2812

DATED 3 June 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that Govt. sees no reason to interfere in behalf of the petitioner Ghulam Murthiza Khan with regard to his claim for certain Bhagayit Lopes in the Poles Taluk, North Arcot Dist., &c.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue

(1897)

Department.

CURRENT No. 4096.



Am. Mr. L. M. C. R.

From.

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue

Order in.

The Govt sees no reason

No. 1697. Mis.

to interfere on the petitioner's
behalf with regard to his topes or to
grant him a pension

Dated 8 May 1897.

Received 15.5.97

Registered 17.5.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government
with remarks Mr. A. A.
Collector's report on the
claims of petitioner,
Guram Ananthappa
Khan to certain
Baghoyah Topes in
Polur Taluk.

Also requests either (1) that he may
have his topes or (2) that he may
have a pension as belonging to the
family of Chundappa. This report
on the disposal of (1), but has
not been disposed of?

20/5/97
25/5/97
The petitioner's
with enclosures. 11/25/97

Note
Please see the Collector's report
(Flagged B) which gives a full history
of the case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	744	—	6.2.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The Hon. Mr. Justice C. J. [

"Petitioner requests either (1) that he may have the types or (2) that he may have a pension as belonging to the family of Chanda Sahib. This draft order disposes of (1), but how has (2) been disposed of?"

Whether the pension should be granted or not is not a matter of "interference". I have altered the draft.

JG
31.5.97


Reply to the marginally transcribed query of the H. M. in C. No 4096.

Respectfully submitted that it is thought that the draft endorsement will dispose of both the requests. Petitioner himself states that after the death of Chanda Sahib's wife, none of his heirs represented their claims for the continuance of the pension granted to her. Evidently the heirs had no claim, or they would have petitioned long ago. Petitioner's case it was thought fell under para V(4) of Petition (C) Rules. Should it be considered that a separate endorsement should be given as regards the second request, para V(4) of Pet. (C) Rules may be added as para 2 of the draft endorsement.

are.
28/5/97

18/5/97

l.c. 31/5/97
12/11/97



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 18th May 1896.

No. 2282 Mis.
Routine.

Read — again Board's Proceedings, No. 1648 mis: (Settlement)
Dated 31st March 1896.

Read _____ also endorsement of the Settlement Secretary dated 6th May '96, transferring endorsement of the Collector of North Arcot, dated 19th April '96, No. 275, Submitting to the Board in continuation of Petition No. 881 dated 27th March '96, petition from Ghulam Mosthiza Khan praying for the restoration of certain topes in his name.

Resolution:- The appeal is rejected as being long out of time.

2.^d Even on the merits of the appeal,
there is no ground for interference on petitioners
behalf. (Enc. Extract)
1912-13 P. P. P. C.

/Enc. extract/
 /Signed/ R. C. C. Carr
 Secretary

/ True Copy.

h. d. Swankman
Actg Secy.

Andh xist

1896.
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

To

True Copy of Board's
Proceedings, dated 18 May 1896
No. 2282 ^{Mis.} ~~Routine~~
Subject.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 8 May 1897.

No.

1697.

Miscellaneous.

READ again Board's Proceedings, dated 18 September 1896, No. 4300, dismissing the petition of Ghoolam Mustufa Khan, Jaghirdar of Polar, North Arcot District praying for a revision of 838 ²²⁸² ₁₈₋₅₋₉₆ mis regarding certain taxes in the District.

Read also G.O. dated 16 February 1897, No. 944 Mis., and the following reference thereon, dated 24 February 1897.

Forwarded to the Collector of North Arcot for report which should

should be accompanied by the records of the case including the Talisildar's report quoted by the petitioner.

2. It should be stated whether Board's Proceedings No. 2282 Miscellaneous, dated 18 May 1896, was delivered to the petitioner and if so, when.

Read also letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 26 April 1897, Dis no $\frac{1367}{161}$ 797.

Resolution

The Collector's report of the 26 ultimus will be submitted to Government with reference to its order miscellaneous no 944, dated 16 February 1897.

2. The Board has nothing to add to the Collector's report.

3. A copy of Board's Proceedings, No. 2282 Miscellaneous, dated 18 May 1896 also accompanies.

(True Copy and Extract)

Receives

Secretary.

To The Secretary to Govt., R.D. 1
with Collector's letter and
records to be returned and
4 enclosures returned and
Copy of B.O. $\frac{2282 \text{ mis}}{18-5-96}$.

7 Sent copy, duly by hand.

W.S.
1897

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL

H.E.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only