

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 277

DATED 18th Jan'y/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering to be published in the Fort St. George & South Arcot District Gazettes the draft erratum, submitted by Board, for rectifying an error made at the final settlement of the Altham reserved forest No 65, Villipuram M. S. Arcot.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	7011		18.12.97				

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 8977

Order

From

The Secretary to the
Commissioners of
Land Revenue

Forst No. 1581 ms.

Dated 4 - 12 - 96

Received 8 - 12 -

Registered 9 - 12 -

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.


Submitting to you for approval and
publication a draft erratum for rectifying
an error made at the final settlement
of the Attamur Reserved forest No 65,
Vilimpuram TK, S. Arcot Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
B.P	44		10.6.93
P.O	105		30.12.89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),

Dated 4th December 1896

Forest No. 158 Miscellaneous.

READ again the following Board Proceedings Forest No. 1191

Mis dated 27th August 1896.

* in original to be returned. Read letter from the Collector of South Arcot to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue dated 29th July 1896 Ref No 2817

Resolution

The notification under sec 16 in respect of the Athanas Reserved Forest, having issued under the authority of Govt, the modification suggested by the collector cannot be carried out without the orders of Government. In the meantime the

the objection to cultivation should at once
be withdrawn and a draft erratum
submitted to set things right. It should
also be explained why the Forest Officer,
instead of endeavouring to have the
mistakes rectified at once, harassed
the pattadar and compelled him to
threaten the Government with a suit.

(True Extracts)

(Signed) R. C. C. Carr

Secretary

To
The Collector of Sukh Arcot
Copy to the Conservator, Central circle.

Read also the following letter from
A. E. Castlehurst Stuart Esqre, Collector
of P. Arcot to the Secretary to the Commi-
ssioners of Land Revenue, dated 18th
November 1896, Ref. no. 2817.

In reply to Board's Proceedings
No. 1191 Miscellaneous (Forest) dated 27th
August last, I have the honour to submit
the draft erratum therein called for and
to explain how the mistake as to Survey
No. 36.C. arose.

2. The Settlement of the Athamur Reserved
Forest

Forest, Block No. 5 of the Villiparai Taluk
was concluded in the year 1888 when the Revenue
Settlement was introduced into that Taluk
and with it the Survey areas were brought
into village accounts for the first time.
The village officers, as also the pattadar
Sundra Mudali, and the District Forest Officer
were cognizant of the Survey numbers given
and they failed to identify the extent of
16 Cawnies over which Sundra Mudali
claimed patta rights with S. No. 39 and sub-
division C of S. No. 36 and which the entire
extent was adjusted in the new Settlement.
As soon as the mistake was discovered, every
attempt was made to effect a compromise
with Narayanaswamy Mudali, the purchase
of the land (36.C) from Sundra Mudali by
offering other lands in exchange. Unfortunately
the land selected by him was objected to by
the Public Works Department and the question of
exchange was ultimately dropped as the party
refused to select any other land. He was
however, in no way, harassed.

3. The objection to the cultivation of the land
has

has been withdrawn and the petitioner has no further Cause for Complaint.

Resolution.

In his letter disposed of in the Proceedings read above, the Collector of Ponth Arcot reported that the extent of 16 Canons of land claimed by one Sundra mudali during the settlement of the Athavur block already constituted a Reserved Forest under Government Order N^o 1026 Revenue dated 30th December 1889 and admitted as an enclosure within the Reserve, was wrongly represented by S. N^o 89, while it was actually included in N^o 39 and 86 c, and that the Forest Department, having raised a technical objection to permitting Cultivation in S. N^o 86 c, the Pattadar threatened the Government with a suit if the objection was not withdrawn. Therefore the Board ordered the immediate withdrawal of the objection to Cultivation and held that the final notification having issued under the authority of Government, the modification suggested by the Collector could not be carried out without the orders

orders of Government. The Collector has accordingly submitted a draft erratum to have the mistakes rectified, and explains that, owing to the introduction of the Revenue Settlement into the Taluk in which the block is situated, and to the consequent entry of survey areas in the village accounts for the first time, the District Forest Officer, the Village Officer and the Pattadar himself were not able to identify the full extent in the S. N^o newly given, and that all attempts at compromise with the ^{person who has} purchased of the land from the original pattadar proved fruitless. In these circumstances the draft erratum now received from the Collector will be submitted to Government with the request that it may be approved and ordered to be published in the Fort St George Gazette.

(True Copy and Extract).

Neelan
Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt. Rev. Departt.
with the draft erratum in original
and the Collector's letter N^o 2812 in original (to be returned)
29/7/96

C No. 8977 of 96.

From

The Board of Revenue, L.R.

Dated

4. 12. 1896.

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon: Mr T. Gose. C.I.S

The facts of the case are set forth in the Colli's letter 229.7.96 and the Board's Resolution to which reference is solicited. No doubt 16 Canaries of land covered by S. Nos 39 and 36(c) were intended to be excluded from reservation in accordance with the decision of the J. S. O. But as a matter of fact only S. No 39 was excluded by notification. As in cases of final reservation under Sec 16 what is actually effected by the notification can alone be taken into account, if now S. No 36(c) is to be excluded from within the limits of the reserve, it requires, strictly speaking, the sanction of the Govt of India, even though such exclusion is to be made only to give effect to the decision of the J. S. O already given. For orders as to whether the Board may be requested to submit a draft notification under Sec 24 in respect of the portion of the reserved forest covered by S. No 36(c)

I show the sufficiency of the Canine. The law implies the same. 11/11/97
I think the provision of an enactment is enough in this case. 11/11/97

Technically speaking the case necessary, but for all practical purposes, an enactment will suffice. Its publication will stop the raising of any claims to the enclosure because the fact is before the court.

8.9/197
R. 4/11/97

7.1.97 11/11/97

FOR ORDERS.

18.1

C. No 8977 of 96

S.O. mis No 2447 18th Aug 1897

The draft erratum will be
published in the Fort St George and
S. arest dt gazettes.

(from orders)

to
18.1.97

To the Bd: LR with enclosure.

.. In plot: S.P. with draft erratum.

hist

8m
16.1.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ..

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DATTU

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.