

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2744

DATED 31st May 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring to the Acct General for re consideration
the question of the payment of tentage allowance
this Mullis before his confirmation as a Special
Forest Officer

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2517		31-1-97

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

a revised draft
G.O. on C.No. 3990 of 97 is
submitted in accordance
with the personal orders
received from the Secy.

The Secy thinks
that the point on which
stress should be laid is
not that Mr Lushington's
case was one of deputation
and the probationary period
contemplated by the Govt
of India (during which
tentative allowance could be
drawn) ^{consequently} included the period
spent on special duty, but
that the allowance of Rs
25 was sanctioned ~~as~~ for
Survey Officers on probation
~~and not for~~ for Field
Settlement Officers on
probation

26.V.97

12/1/97

Read C.No. 3990 of 97

Order wise.

The Govt observes
that in its letter No. 1107 of 3
124-3
14th Feb 1897 (communicated
with G.O. 1779 Misc of 24
May 1896) the Govt of India
expressly stated that the
Survey Officer to be appointed
a Special F.P.O. "should be
"employed on probation for
"a period of six months before
"being confirmed, as was done
"in the case of Mr Lushington"
Mr Lushington, however, just
like
as Mr Mullins, had merely
been placed on special duty for
six months and ^{had not} appointed
a Special F.P.O. on probation
in place of any D. Collector
before his confirmation. In
view of the passage from the
Govt of India's letter above
quoted and more especially of
the portion of it now underlined
the Govt desires the Acet
General to report whether he
still adheres to the views ex-
pressed by him in his Govt.

20.

no ~~1468~~ 1468 dy 10th may
1897 read above.

W. H. M.
22/5/97

Note

A draft note was almost ready when it was found that the Acet. General had not considered given due weight to the passage in the Govt of India's letter above quoted. The reference above is ~~now~~ accordingly submitted for approval.

B. M. M.
22.V.97

Secy
22/5/97

SP 31/5/97

Read encl. 3990 of 97

Order misc no. 2744 of 31st May '97.

The Govt observes that in its letter no 1107/224-3 dy 14th Feb 1896 (communicated with G.O. no 1779 misc dy 9th May 1896) the Govt of India expressly stated that the Survey officers to be appointed Special F.S.O. "should be employed on probation for a period of six months before being confirmed, as was done in the case of Mr. Lushington" and that "such officers" ~~as such Survey~~ ~~and not F.S.O.~~ "will draw a tentage allowance of Rs 25- per mensem". From the Acet. General's Encl. read above, however, it is not clear whether in furnishing his opinion he has given adequate consideration to the passages above quoted and the papers will accordingly be referred back to him for report as to whether in the light of the remarks now made he sees any reason to modify his opinion.

in

in question.

Ms.
28.5.97
H. 157/1

(From Secy's orders)

20

The Acct General with
papers etc

copy to the Board of Govt.

Ms.
26.5.97

Ms. 5-6.97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No
189

DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

S.F.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only