

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2712

DATED 28th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the transfer of the Settlement of Certain ^{first} blocks in
the ~~the~~ area of 10 mdk, K. Ganapayya farm and requesting the
Superintendent P. P. to publish two notifications the promulgation of
which was ^{ordered} ~~sanctioned~~ in P.O. 740
22.12.96

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FROM CIRCULATION
WITH
REMARKS.

Board G.O. & send to Secy 22/5/87

) Department.

CURRENT No. 3727



From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras

Order no. 2712 of 28th May 11

Forest No. 283 Misc.

The Government accepts the Board's proposal to transfer the settlement work in the S. Arcot Dt to Mr. K. Ganapayya Garu; the draft notifications forwarded by the Board of Revenue with its Proceedings accordingly read above will be published in the Fort St. George and Madras Gazette and the gazettes of the districts concerned.

Dated 20th April 1897

Received 5.5.97

Registered 1.5.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting the Collrs. of Cuddapah & N. Arcot Dts. to direct their D.F.Os., to return the A-Registers with answer to the F.S.O., N. Arcot etc Districts at an early date as the disposal of a large number of claims in those Dts., is being delayed, to obtain from the Officers concerned explanation of the excessive delay in answering to the F.S.O's references and submit the same to the Bd., and proposing to transfer the settlement work in S. Arcot to M. R. Ry Ganapayya Garu and submitting to Govt. for approval and publication in the Fort St. George Gazette the necessary draft notifications therefor.

2. With reference to para 2 of the Board's Resolution, the Superintendent, Government Press will be requested to publish in the Fort St. George Gazette at the earliest possible date, the two notifications the promulgation of which was ^{ordered} sanctioned in G.O. 740, substituting the name of Mr. K. Ganapayya Garu for that of Mr. P. S. Singaram Pillai ^{para 2 of} in the notification relating to the proposed reservation of G. Aiyaratham

22/5/11

and

and five other blocks under sec 4 of the M. J. Act.

W. J. P. *21.5.97*

To the B.D. & R. with enclosure

.. Supt. of P. with notifications.

26.9.97

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Note. It is not known why the two notifications ordered to be published in G. O. 740 have not yet been published by the Superintendent: Govt Press. Generally when a notification is ordered to be published in a Press G.O., the Superintendent has the notification put in type when the G.O. is sent to the Press for being printed. The printed copy of the notification is after being approved in the office is published in the Port St George Gazette.

In most cases, printed copies of the G.O. are not ready until after the publication of the notification in the Port St George Gazette and the Superintendent therefore publishes the notification before a signed copy of the printed G.O. is officially despatched to him. In this particular case, the Despatcher's Register shows that a signed copy of the printed G.O. (740) was officially despatched to the Superintendent on the 20th January 1897; so that even though the notification was not issued when the G.O. was received for being printed, it might have been published when the G.O. was

When the signed copy was sent why was it not noticed that the copy of the notification had not been sent? *21.5.97*

* Please see also the Despatch entry in the original of the draft order

officially sent to the Superintendent.

This is not at all satisfactory. Why was the non publication not noticed by the Office. Is no check maintained over such publications? What is the Supt's explanation? Call for it by O.M. *21.5.97*

See for approval

W. J. P.

The office begs to submit as follows:—

In the case of notifications such as posting and appointments of Deputy Collectors, Forest officers &c in which only slips are sent to the Superintendent and not copies of the G.O.'s in which the posting and appointment are sanctioned, the Despatcher maintains a check over their publication in the Port St George Gazette. But in the case of notifications which invariably are accompanied by the G.O.'s ordering their publications of the notifications are invariably sent to the Superintendent, Govt Press, it is submitted that it is not usual in the office to maintain a check over the publication of the notifications.

The despatch of the G.O. is thought to ensure the publication of the notification contained therein.

Such are the forest notifications, notifications under the Salt and Alkali Act and the Land acquisition Act.

In future the publication

and
rel. of the notifications under Secs 4 & 16
will be checked, although it presents
some difficulty when the office ^{is} at Gt. G.,
as the ~~files~~ ^{currents} at the final stage go direct
to the Despatcher without coming to the
Clerk Concerned.

The G. M. to the Superintendent is
put up for approval.

note. With reference to the under
order Secretary's query as to why when the
yet signed copy was sent, it was not noticed
Press. that the proof of the notification had not
been received, it is submitted that it
has to cannot be ascertained here who was the
is sent ^{was on} Despatcher the 20th January 1897, the
copy of date of issue of G. O. ~~740~~ 740 &
notifi 22-12-96. A slip is however put up
is put asking the Gt. G. office to send this paper
in no asking the Gt. G. office to send this paper
ready to the Clerk Concerned & for the necessary
in the explanation after the issue of the G. M.
therefore to the Superintendent.

Copy of in cases where, as in the present case, clean
to him Copies of the forest notifications are sent to the
Registrar Press for publication, the Superintendent
G. O. (does not send any proof to the (Gt.) office
Super before publication (except in ^{rare} cases where the
event notification contains many corrections and
when alterations. Even in cases in which proofs
might

of notifications are sent to the office before
publication, it is respectfully submitted
that the Despatcher at the time of despatching
a G. O. has no means of finding out whether
a proof of the notification mentioned in the
G. O. has been received in the office or not.

PM
18.6.97

PM
18.6.97

Draft Notification

In modification of the undermentioned notification appointing Forest Settlement officers in respect of certain proposed reserved forests in the district of South Arcot His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to transfer the settlement of the said blocks from the present Forest Settlement officer to Mr. K. Ganapayya Garu, Forest Settlement officer, North Arcot & Districts.

Schedule

No. of notification	Page and date of the Fort St. George Gazette Part I.	Name of block.	Name of the present F. S. O.	Name of the F. S. O. to whom the block is transferred.
484.	120, 29 Sept. 96	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ponnur. 2. Kunjaram. 3. Pinfur. 4. Kannuramangalam. 5. Elchimalai. 6. Sorakalattur. 7. Annamangalam. 8. Arinjur. 9. Oduganachi. 10. Perumpugai. 11. Ranthanallur. 12. Marur. 13. Malaplankur. 14. Settiyandal. 15. Tachur. 16. Pusappadi. 17. Totappadi. 18. Damarakkadu. 19. Pichavaram Extn. 20. Malakumbapadi Extn. 21. Do. Central bit. 22. Do. Western bit. 	M. R. N. P. S. Singaravelu Pillai Agent.	M. R. N. K. Ganapayya Garu.

In Modification of notification No. 162 published at page 448, Part I of the Fort Saint George Gazette dated 6 April 1897 His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to issue the following notification and of Notification No. 340 published at page 865 Part I of the Fort & George Gazette dated 14th July 1896.

Name of officer

Districts.

Remarks

Mr. F. V. P. Gompertz.

Trinawelly
Madras
Trichinopoly
Tanjore
& Coimbatore

Recd Mr. R. H.
P. S. Singamsetti Pillai
Coorgal


Dr. R. Ly. K. Gamayya
Gann.

A. Arab
S. Arab
Cuddapah
Chingleput
and
Gallur

Kidswanikauna

3 MAY 1947

Resd. Secretary.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)

Forest NO. 383 Mis, dated 30th April 1897

Read again Board's Proceedings Forest NO 165 Rout, dated 24th February 1897, informing the Forest Settlement - Officer, North Arcot etc Districts that the transfer of blocks from another district for settlement will interfere with the disposal of certain shrotrien claims and also that he should report on or before the 1st April next whether he has sufficient work to occupy him in the District under his charge.

Read also letter from the Forest Settlement Officer, North Arcot etc Districts, dated 1st April 1897, NO. 282, submitting report in obedience to the Board's Proceedings Forest NO 165 Routine, dated the 24th February 1897 and statement showing the Forest Blocks pending settlement in each of the four Districts comprising his division at the end of March Quarter with explanation of pendency.

Resolution:

In his letter disposed of in the Proceedings read again above, M.R.Ry. Ganapayya Garu, F.S.O., North - Arcot etc Districts, brought to notice that there was not sufficient settlement work entailing outdoor duty, pending with him, and requested that some blocks from other districts might be transferred to him. The Forest Settlement Officer was at the time engaged upon the settlement of certain important shrotrien ~~EE~~ claims, and the Board thought it inadvisable to comply at once with his request. He was, however, directed to report again on the subject, on or before 1st April.

2 The Forest Settlement Officer's report is contained in the letter read above. He states that the enquiry into the shrotriem claims has been almost completed, but that the disposal of a large number of claims in the Cuddapah and North Arcot Districts is being delayed - pending the submission by the District Forest Officers of A-Registers and certain other information. The Collectors of the above named Dts. will be requested to direct their District Forest Officers to return the A-Registers with answers to the Forest Settlement Officer at a very early date. The Collectors will at the same time obtain from the Officers concerned an explanation of the excessive delay in replying to the F.S.O.'s references, and submit the same to the Board.

3 Since the receipt of the Forest Settlement Officer's report under disposal, 6 fresh blocks comprising an area of about 22,690 acres in North Arcot have been notified in his name. Moreover, the Collector of Salem has been directed to instruct the Forest Settlement Officer to proceed with the settlement of such of blocks in his district as to the retention of which there can be no doubt. But the Board considers that it will be advisable to allot some additional work to this Forest Settlement Officer, and as Mr. Gompertz who has been newly appointed F.S.O. for the Trichinopoly South Arcot etc Districts cannot be expected to make much progress with the settlement of the blocks entrusted to him, until he has acquired sufficient practical knowledge of the work, the Board proposes to transfer some of the said blocks to M.Ry. Ganapayya. For the present it will suffice to transfer the settlement work in South Arcot to this Officer. The necessary draft notifications will accordingly be submitted to Government for approval and publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

4 The Board takes this opportunity to point out to Government that the notifications ordered to be published in G.O. Rev. NO 740, dated 22-12-96 have not yet appeared in the Fort Saint George Gazette. It is requested that in ordering again the publication of the notification the name of M.Ry. Ganapayya Garu may be substituted for that of M.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai Avergal as Forest Settlement Officer in respect of the blocks.

(True Extract)

Recd
Secretary

To the Secretary to Govt. R.D with notifi.
and with the F.S.O.'s letter in origl. to be fwd.
To the Collrs. of Cuddapah and N. Arcot.
To the F.S.O. North Arcot etc Districts.

*Copy of the instructions given to the
Despatcher by the Registrar. dated 11th June 1897*

- (1) Whenever notifications ^{for publication in the Gazette} are sent to the Govt. Press they should be put in urgent covers addressed to the Supdt. Govt. Press, superscribed "notification or notifications ^{to the Govt. Press} for publication in the Gazette."
- (2) In the case of notifications or proofs of notifications which are not sent or returned ^{to the Govt. Press} by the first messenger on Tuesdays, telephonic intimation of their intended despatch should be given to the Press by the Despatcher as soon as he receives them and send them by a bicycle ~~proof~~ or special messenger, so that they may reach the Press before 5 o'clock P.M. on that day.

Note for the Ag. Assistant Secretary
through the Registrar.

With reference to the Assistant Secretary's remark on para 3 of the office note from the Superintendent Government Press No. 2493, dated 9th. June 1897, (C.No. 4875 of 1897) I respectfully beg to submit that I being new to the despatch work, I was under the impression that the Superintendent would in the usual course publish all notifications sent to him by the Press messenger by Tuesday evening and that the telephonic advices to the Superintendent need only be given in cases where the notifications could not be sent either by the first or second messengers on Tuesdays, under the circumstances I respectfully beg to be excused.

R. Varadarajulu.

7th July 1897.

Submitted.

The cover in which the note in question was sent to the Press should have been marked urgent & superintending. "Not for publication in the Gazette" But the Despatcher seems to have been ignorant of the procedure. I have now given him definite written instructions on the point & hope that such mistake

I was first appointed Despatcher about the middle of Febry. 1897, and I was doing that work up to 9th or 10th March 1897. I was again put to the despatch work on the 7th April 1897.

The mistake ~~was~~ ^{occurred} on the 1st June.

R.
9 July 97

* Copy attached.

984977

Aradonapula should warn
that repeated circulation of
this kind will lead to
his demerit

Communicated to R.V.C.

~~19.~~
14 July 97

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No
189 .

DATA

CURRENT No

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.