

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2605

DATED 21st May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Noting that although compensation for decrease of food could be given in Malabar, there is no distress there which would justify Govt. in exercising the discretion entrusted to them in the rules so as to allow it.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
610	1579/97		19/5/97				
611	1579/97		19/5/97				
612	1579/97		19/5/97				

Financial (1897) Department.

Encl. No. 1008 of 8 May 1897
 Referred to the Revenue
 Department for favour of remarks
 Redrick Hill
 Chief Secretary

CURRENT No. 1292

3859



Chas
 6.5.97

The Hon. Mr. Cross

From

The Director Genl. of
 Telegraphs
 Calcutta

Order (no) no. 2605 of 15/5/97

Although compensation for
 there is at present no
 decrease of food could be given in
 necessity the grant of compensation
 Malabar, there is no distress here
 for dearth of provisions. The
 rice and pulse are in excess
 and the price is low. The
 Government should not be
 too desirous to grant
 the district of Malabar
 to under the rice as to
 allow it

No. 5576

Dated 27 April 1897

Received 8 May 1897

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

To the Genl. Dept
 Board (Lett) 6/15/97

Note. The price of rice in the market is the stable price for Malabar
 in the month of April 10.3 seers per rupee
 no doubt lower than 12 seers, but
 the normal price for the month
 is high as 13.1 seers per rupee.
 This is one of those cases in which
 a fixed price does not show the
 existence of distress

Re: the grant of grain
 compensation to the members
 establishment of the
 Dept. stationed at Malapuram
 I do not quite see
 how an amount of Rs. 2370 can be
 paid for rice at 11/5/97. I am in two minds
 as to whether it is worth
 the trouble of sending it to the
 Madras Govt.

RS

10/5/97

Please see orders $\frac{7544}{23-11-89}$, $\frac{7871}{5-12-89}$

perkinely D, C, B + A. ~~Can~~ me

Sort rice was cheaper than 12 beers

and lakka was refused as none

was admissible under the rules.

On the latter two occasions it was

refused though admissible under

There was no sufft. diares
as phidias was not

~~bully himself~~ to justify it

grant -

2. With reference to the Soc: members:

remark ~~about~~ in regard to the foot-

not injured by the draft. although

the price in april was 10.3 seers

that is considerably higher than the

12 hours of the account code.) it

did not represent any great in-

crease over the normal for the

month which is as high as 13.1 Secs.

and was therefore no indication

that there was scarcity of pressure

in the district. Much less is the

fixed limit of 12 Seers of the

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Account Gave any indication
of distress in Malabar. Referring
~~to~~ (impliedly) to our argument
~~to~~ in the letter to India about
Stage E modifying the rules for the
grant of better and ~~adequate~~ ~~extra~~
percentage rises over normal
prices as the proper indication
of scarcity, it was stated
that the case of Malabar
was one of those in which the
fixed price adopted by the
Acct Gave was no indication
of distress. //

ad
17-5-97

I have slightly altered

19/5/97

No. 5576

FROM

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELEGRAPHS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF Madras

Financial Department

3. MAY, 1897

Calcutta, the ~~WEST~~ ^{EAST} of April 1807

SIR,

With reference to Government of India Financial De
-partment Resolution No.1648,dated the 28th of March 1885.

I have the honour to intimate that application has been made to me by the Superintendent, Madras Division of Telegraphs, for the grant of grain compensation to the menial establishment of this Department stationed at Mallapuram.

2. The local civil officer has stated that during the months of January to March 1897 grain (the staple food) sold at a dearer rate than Re 1/- for twelve seers and I have the honour to accordingly request the favour of an expression of the opinion of the Madras Government as to whether the circumstances of the case justify the sanction of the concession asked for and for what period of time.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. Beecham
Deputy Director General of Telegraphs.

Deputy Director General of Telegraphs.