Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2529 & 2529A

DATED 17th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that Mr J. C. F. Marshall should be asked to pay for the cost of the medicines purchased by him and not ~~for on account of the medical attendance~~ for Doctor's attendance Sanctioning a medical fee of Rs 25 on the latter account and addressing the Govt of Burma on the Subject.

Requesting that Mr T. C. F. Marshall of the Burma Forest Dept may be instructed to remit to the Madras Govt Treasy the cost of the medicines purchased by him out of Govt money for his private use.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3461

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
27 APR 97

From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue,
Madras.

Order

Touch No. 354 Jais

Dated 21st April 1897.
Received 27-4-97
Registered 28-4-97
Enclosures 2
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Resubmitting to Government with remarks papers relating to Mr Marshall's explanations regarding purchase of certain medicines by him while S.T.O in N. Malabar and stating that he should pay the full amount under objection viz, Rs 52-11-6.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
u	1915	Rev	8-4-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Malabar Collector's Office.
Calicut 10 December 1896.

No 855/n.f.

From.
G. W. Dance Esquire, I.C.S.,
Acting Collector of Malabar.

To.
The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue,
Madras.

Thro'
The Conservator of Forests,
Southern Circle.
Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that Mr Marshall, late District Forest Officer of my northern division, in June and July 96 incurred an expenditure of Rs 52. 11. 6 under B. III (6) for the following, on his own authority and without obtaining sanction.

June 1896	Paid Dr Hawston for medical attendances on Mr Marshall	25. . .
July 1896	Paid L. F. Hospital, Manantoddy being the Cost of Medicines supplied to Mr Marshall in 1894-95 and 1896	21-7-6
July 1896.	Paid Civil apothecary, Manantoddy being the cost of Medicines supplied to Mr Marshall in June 1896	6. 2. .
	Total	52. 11. 6

The Accountant-General now objects to the above expenditure

expenditure on the ground that the sanction of the Board should be obtained. I request orders.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant.
(Signed) G.W. Dance.
Acting Collector.

Ref. on C. No. 2248.

Forwarded - the Conservator has no knowledge of these transactions under the present system of accounts.

Coimbatore
17th December 1896
16th December 1896.

(Signed) E.D.M. Hooper.
Off. Conservator, S.C.

(True Copy)

Secretary.

Letter No. 366/15 dated 6th March 1897 from J.G.F. Marshall, Esquire, Extra Deputy Conservator of Forests, Anjittha Division, to the Conservator of Forests, Western Circle.

With reference to your No. 388b/97a-12. dated 25th February 1897, and the papers sent therewith which I have the honour to return herein enclosed. The Hospital at Manantoddy was a local fund one, and I was and am under the impression that all medicines had to be paid for. If this was not the case why was I invariably billed by the Hospital Assistant in charge for medicines supplied? In fact as far as I can remember there was a rule that unless one was a monthly subscriber (which, if I had been, would have cost considerably more than the small sum I charged) all medicines were charged for. If a monthly subscriber all medicines were given free.

2. It is quite unnecessary for me to remark on the unhealthiness of North Malabar this

this is proverbial and that my bills for medicine were not much higher than Rs 27-11-6 for 3 years was due to my good constitution.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
16 APR 97
RECEIVED

When I called in Doctor Hewston to attend on me I was seriously ill with Diarrhoea and fever, the former having lasted 5 or 6 months and which the Hospital assistant apparently could not cure. To have called up the District Surgeon from Calicut 68 miles off would have cost Government something like Rs 150/-. I therefore called in Doctor Hewston M. D. (the planters Doctor) who I may note here only charged me Rs 25/- for 3 or 4 visits and who made me well.

Under the above circumstances I have the honour to respectfully ask that the Board will sanction the small expenditure incurred.

True Copy
W. H. Marshall
Superintendent
Revenue Secretary's Office

3461

Burma.

Forest DEPARTMENT,—No. 714/A-26

FROM

The Revenue Secretary to the Chief Commissioner,

TO The Secretary to the
Government of Madras
Revenue Department

Dated Rangoon, the 27 March 1897.

SIR,

With reference to your letter 550 dated the 28th January 1897. I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 36-15, dated the 6th March 1897, from Mr. J. G. F. Marshall.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

C. G. Bruyn
Revenue Secretary.

G. B. C. P. O.—No. 1450, B. S., 15-3-97—480.

500
26-11-96.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 21st April — 1897.

Forest No. 354 ——— Miscellaneous.

READ Government Order, Revenue Department, dated 8th April 1897, No. 1915 Mis.

Resolution

The papers will be re-submitted to Government with the following remarks.

2. Mr. Marshall obtained the Medicines from the Local Fund Hospital at Manantoddy, and under para 2 of the Appendix to Board's Standing Order No. 200 (New Edition), he is bound to pay for all Medicines so supplied. Mr. Marshall complains that the Hospital Assistant was unable to cure him, and points out that it would have been needlessly expensive to summon the District Surgeon from

Calicut. There is nothing to show whether Mr Marshall consulted the District Surgeon by letter, before he went to a private practitioner, but in any case he was not entitled to private treatment at the cost of the State.

3. The Board is therefore of opinion that Mr Marshall should pay the full amount under objection viz, Rs 52. 11. 6.

(True Extract)

Secretary

To. The Secretary to Government, R.D ✓
with enclosures returned.

Re: Mr Marshall's Doctor's fees & medicine Rev. Dept.

C.No. 3461 of 97

From the Board of Rev: C.R.

Thetton: Mr Frase C. S.E

Dated 21st April 1897

FOR ORDERS

Flapper A

In Dec? last, the Collector of Malabar reported to the Board of Rev: that Mr Marshall had, while D.T.O of the northern Division of the District, and before his departure to Burma, incurred without authority an expenditure of Rs 52-11-6 in payment of Doctors' fees for attendance on his own person and of medicines purchased for his private use. The Acct: General, it was stated, would not pass the expenditure without the Board's sanction and the Collector accordingly submitted the case for the orders of the latter.

2 The Board in submitting

submitting the papers to Govt pointed out that Mr Marshall's action clearly contravened the provisions of its Standing order No 200 and suggested that that officer should be called upon thro' the Burmese admn to show cause why the amount should not be recovered from him (G.O. 549 28-V-97) the Govt accepted the Board's suggestion and addressed the Chief Comm. accordingly (G.O. 549-50 / 28-1-97) ×

× flagged B.

3. Mr Marshall's explanation was received at and in it he stated the beginning of last month, that he paid for the medicines under the impression that they, when supplied by Local Fund hospitals, should be paid for and that he had called in a private Doctor because he had been seriously ill. He adds that if instead of calling in the Doctor in question he had sent for the District Surgeon it would have cost Govt something like Rs 150.+

+ Rs. for travelling allowance

Before submitting Mr Marshall's letters

× flagged C.

+ Under para VII of B.S.O no 200 Mr Marshall was entitled to ~~to~~ travelling allowance in the circumstances of the Dist Surgeon at his private residence
Ille 8/5/97

o This is not the point submitted. If he had taken what he was entitled to travelling allowance he would have cost the State about Rs 150 in travelling allowance also the pay of the Dist Surgeon while on tour and the cost of the journey
Ille 8/5/97

for orders
letters, it was thought desirable to obtain the Board's views thereon and the letters was thereupon referred for the Board's remarks in G.O. 1915 × dy 8th April 1897

4. The Board's reply to the above reference is now submitted for orders. It remarks that under para 2 of the App to its S.O. × no 200, Mr Marshall was bound to pay for all medicines supplied to him for his private use and that there is nothing to show that he consulted the District Surgeon by letter + before he called in a private practitioner and that in any case he was not entitled to private treatment at the cost of the State. o The Board therefore is still of opinion that he should be required to pay the full amount under objection.

6. The amount in question is made up of the following three items

(1). Paid to Dr Newton Rs 25

(2)

(2) Paid to A.T. Hospital for medicines 21-9-6

(3) Civil apothecary .. 6-2-0

Of these three items it is submitted that there is no doubt whatever that Mr Marshall should pay the second and the third†. As regards the first it is, it is submitted a fact, as Mr Marshall says, that it would have been most costly to Govt to bring in the District Surgeon from Bellicherry Calicut to examine Cosby chain to pay a private practitioner Rs 25. It is however possible Cosby that the District Surgeon would have considered his presence at examination unnecessary and sent Mr Marshall the necessary prescription if the latter had applied to him. In any case, the procedure adopted by Mr Marshall was wrong in principle and it is submitted that he should, as the Board says, be asked to pay the full amount under the ~~objection~~ referred to.

This Marshall Violated the rules & exercised a discretion in favour of Govt. The Question is whether

† Vide appendix to Boards S.O. 200. Mr 8/5/97

Under the circumstances No 1 may be remitted but Mr the 2nd pay Nos 2 & 3

JG 13/5/97

But under Boards S.O. No 200 para VII. Mr Marshall was entitled in all circumstances to Gratuitous medical aid for himself at his own residence from the Surgeon of the District or the Civil Surgeon. The Surgeons opinion seems immaterial. Moreover, it is obviously impossible for a doctor to treat a man by correspondence. It is very difficult to see the reasonableness of Boards argument in this respect

Mr 8/5/97

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read C. No. 3461

JG 17/5/97

G.O. No. 720. 2529 dt/ 17th May 97.

The superfluous unauthorised expenditure incurred by Mr Marshall is composed of two items, viz, Rs 25 paid to a private practitioner for medical advice, & Rs 27-11-6 paid for medicines.

2. Under the existing rules Mr Marshall was entitled in all circumstances to gratuitous medical aid for himself at his own residence from the the Surgeon of the District. In employing a local practitioner in the circumstances, Mr Marshall violated the rules & exercised a discretion in favour of Government. The Govt, in the circumstances, is of opinion that he should not ought not to suffer & made to suffer in consequence &, accordingly, is pleased to sanction payment of the medical fee &

(2) Rs

(3. Rs 25.

3. Mr Marshall is however, undoubtedly liable to pay the remaining sum of Rs 27-11-6 and the ~~H. Govt~~ ~~Chief Comm~~ of Burma ~~Govt~~ Govt will be requested to ~~recover the amount~~ arrange for the remittance by him of the amount direct to the Accountant General, Madras from ~~his salary~~.

MRG 15/5/97

17-5-97.

No: 2529A

Revenue Secy to the Govt

To the ~~Chief Comm~~ of Burma

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with ~~your Bapne's~~ your letter No. 714/1A-26 (Forest Dept.), dt 27th March 1897, I am directed to enclose a copy of the Proc of this Govt No 2529 dt 17th May 1897 deciding that Mr F.G.F. Marshall is bound to pay for the medicines which he had purchased for his private use before his departure from this Presy to Burma. I am with the permission of His Honor the Lieut Governor to request that this decision may be communicated to Mr Marshall and that he may at the

dis 20.5.97

To The Board of Rev. V.R. Nc
.. Accts General Mad

dis 20.5.97

3461 497

BTM 17.5.97

same time be instructed to remit at a very early date the amount involved, ~~drawn~~ viz Rs 27-11-6, direct to the address of the Accountant General, Madras

17.5.97 MRG 17/5/97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____ } 189 .
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only