

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2517

DATED 17th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the payment of the pension hitherto ⁱⁿ ~~for~~
by ^{for} Krishna Rao of Madikeri Bellary Dist, up to
the close of his trial, stating that in case
of conviction a further ~~for~~ payment should
be ^{stopped} ~~for~~ & that the matter should be again
reported for the order of Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cvo 10,084/97							

RL 454 m/57

KURNOOL,


1897.

Dated 17/4

Revenue Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

M.O. for papers

CURRENT No. 3437



From E. a. Edwin Esqr
as collector.
Kurnool

Order

RL No. 454
Rev 897

Dated 17 April 1897

Received 26-4-97

Registered 27-4-97

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be provided with
a copy of the G.O. sanctioning
a pension to the original grantee,
Mallikarjuna Raida of
Maddikera and orders for
payment of Krishnamaraji's
pension to his relatives.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1503		18.3.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Recd. 22.1.97

Date of voucher - 22.1.97.

Nature of item: Amount of pension paid
to the brother and son of
Mr. Krishna Rao Saide
No. 503 for November and
December 96.

Amount: Rs 49.8.6.

Nature of objection: want of information as
to the date from which the
pensioner is in jail and
copy of judgment committing
the same to jail. The payment
should not have been made
while the pensioner is in
jail without the fact being
reported to Govt. and except
upon its sanction there to.

(True extract)

[Signature]
for collector

Recd.
22.1.97

3437

Dated 17. 4. 1897.

RB No. 454 797
ENCLOSURES. one

FROM

E. a. Elwin Esq

ag COLLECTOR OF KURNOOL,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO Government Rev. Secy
Madras

SIR, Adverting to G.O. no 1503 18.3.97 mis I

have the honour to state that the extract from the minutes of consultation forwarded therewith throws no light on the nature of the pension enjoyed by Krishnamaraya and I request that I may be favoured with a copy if available of the prior G.O. conferring the pension on the original grantee, Mallikarjuna Baidar, as requested in my letter R.C. no 454 5.3.97.

2. In his proceedings no 2016 26.12.96 Mr. Mulla directed that Krishnamaraya's pension should be disbursed to his brother Yadaraya and his son Mallappa until the criminal case against the pensioner was disposed of, but the Accountant General objects to this order being carried out.

out on the ground that "payments should not be made while the pensioner is in jail without the fact being reported to Government and except upon its sanction thereto" (vide extract from objection register submitted)

3. From the wording of the objection the Accountant General appears to think that the pensioner has been actually convicted, ^{whereas,} as a matter of fact, ~~whereas~~ he is awaiting his trial before the Sessions Court. I think it is hard that the man's pension should be withheld before the result of the trial is known.

4. The family of Krishnamarapu are moreover reported to be in a state of utter destitution and during the few weeks that elapsed between the date of his arrest and that of Mr. Mullaly's order (ie) from 17.11.96 to 26.12.96 the Superintendent of Police found it necessary to afford them gratuitous relief in the shape of a daily dole of grain and money, for which he has submitted bills amounting to Rs 27.2.7. As, however village relief has not even now been started any where in the district and at the time the dole was given there were no relief operations of any kind in the taluk, it appears that the charge can not be admitted under the Famine Code, but

but should be recovered from the monthly pension by easy instalments.

5. In these circumstances I request that the Government will be pleased to accord early sanction to the payment of Krishnamarapu's pension to his relatives as named in Mr. Mullaly's proceedings above alluded to, until the question of its continuance or otherwise is finally settled.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

P. M. Pr
for a collector

copd. to 4.
also 97
R.M.
22/12/97.

C. No. 3437 of 97

From the Collector of Kurnool.

Dated 17.4. 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

The Honble Mr. J. Grace C.I.E.

Please see G.O. No 278 dated 18th January 1897 and the notes therein.

The Collector of Kurnool was called upon in that order to report as to whether the ~~reversion~~ pension drawn by one Krishnappa Raj who is now under trial for having headed a grain riot was 'continuable only during good behaviour'. Mr. Edwin stated in reply that the order of Government sanctioning the ~~same~~ pension to the original grantee, Mallikarjuna Nair, and prescribing the conditions of its tenure was not forthcoming in his office or in that of the Collector of Bellary and that it was not possible for him to report on the nature of the pension or make any pro-

C105/97
R13/5/97

any recommendation as to its
Continuance or otherwise unless
he was furnished with a copy
of the ~~last~~ original G.O.
He was thereupon given a copy
of E. M. C. No 266 of 26.6.1813.
in which the Govt sanctioned
the Continuance of the pension
granted to Mallikarjun Nair,
to his widow and eldest son.
The Collector now says that
this order throws no light on
the nature of the pension
in question and asks for a
Copy of the prior G.O. conferring
the pension on the original
grantee, Mallikarjun Nair.
The old records office, to which
the Current was sent down
has apparently been unable
to trace any earlier G.O. on
the subject. A further
search ~~may perhaps be ordered~~ ^{has been}
to be made, but in the mean-
time the Collector's attention
may be drawn to item No
34 in the statement appended
to Sir Thomas Munro's Report
dated 20th March 1802 and also
to the same item in page 51 of

FOR ORDERS.

7
Unless the original
grant papers are
found then does
not appear to be
any use asking
the Comr ^{Mr}
7.5.21

of this memorandum.
The Collector further brings to
the notice of Govt the fact
that the Accountant-General objects
to the ~~present~~ pension being paid
to the brother and son of Krish-
namaraz who is now in jail, until
the criminal case against the
latter is disposed of.
Mr Elwin observes that it is
hard that the pension should
be withheld before the result
of the trial is known and in
view of the utter destitution
of the ~~accused's~~ family of the
accused, requests Govt to
accord sanction to the disburse-
ment of the pension to the
heirs of the Krishnamaraz until
the question of its Continuance
or otherwise is finally ~~disposed~~
of decided.

If the allowance is resumed
for bad behaviour, then, it
is intimated, its disbursement
pending the ~~question of behaviour~~
decision of the case cannot
be sanctioned; for the resumption
in case of conviction with date
from the date of the offence.

Mr
6/5

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read C. no 3437/1887

D. P. Shankar
17/5

Secy.
f.w.

G.O. No. 25178/17th May '97.
The document referred to by the Com. is not
forthcoming in the office & hence cannot be
furnished. The payment of the pension

to Krishnamaray is ~~sanct~~
up to the close of his trial
is sanctioned.

2. In case of conviction
the further payment should
be stopped and the matter
again reported for the order
of Govt.

15/5/87
17-5-87

To the Com. of Kurool P40
To the P.C. A.O.

15.20.5.97

Inti.
If he is acquitted it is
submitted that no further
action will be necessary.
15/5/87

With regard to the question
of behavior then does
it happen to a man
known as the present
mle of good behavior
should not apply in this
case. Please see para
2 of B.P. on page flapped D.

11/5
7.5.87

All this seems premature. We
do not know whether this
man has been convicted or
not. Even under Art. 383.C.S.A.
a pension is payable up to
conviction.

8/5/87

The pension should be
continued to Krishnam
Ray till his trial. Even
if he is convicted, here
is nothing before us ^{at}
will warrant our not
continuing it to his son.

JG
12/5/97