Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2465

DATED 14th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording P.P. papers in connection with the appeal petition of Koula Kammanthan Ramayya of Kammigundla village, Sattenapalli Taluk, Kistna Dist: re: the execution of a declaratory decree passed under Reg: VI of 1831 from the Kannam office of the above village.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	2693	Rev/10	15820				
Go	2631	Rev	8/11/20				

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3810

The Hon. Mr. Grose C.S.I.

14-5-97

Order Mis no. 2465 of 14th may '97.

14/5/97

Recorded

14/5/97.

GOVERNMENT OF M...
6 MAY 97

From

The Board of Revenue
Law Rev:

No. 102.

Dated 29th March 1897.

Received 6.5.97

Registered 7.5.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the appeal petition of Konda Hanumanta Ramayyer of Kannigundla Village, Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna dt. re: the execution of a declaratory decree passed under Regulation VI of 1831.

C. 12/5/97
Rev. 14/5/97

Rev. 7.5

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed May 1st.

Proceedings, No. 102, 29th March 1897.

MARCH.

Passing orders on the appeal petition of Konda Hanumanta Ramayya of Kannigundla village, Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna district, regarding the execution of a declaratory decree passed under Regulation VI of 1831 in his favour for the karnam office of the above village and circulating to all Collectors, for information and guidance, the Board's orders on the subject.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—Petition from K. HANUMANTHA RAMAYYA, Declaratory decree-holder for the karnam office of Kannigundla, Sattenapalle taluk, and an inhabitant of Panidem, Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna district, dated 11th March 1897.

ABSTRACT.—An appeal regarding the execution of a declaratory decree passed under Regulation VI of 1831.

RESOLUTION—No. 102, dated 29th March 1897.

The Board has held that declaratory decrees passed under Regulation VI of 1831 should be respected, and that there is no appeal against orders passed on application for execution of decrees under section 14, Act III of 1895. The Collector is therefore requested to cancel his order under appeal and to instruct the Sub-Collector to revise his order. Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 4023, dated 1st September 1896 (paragraph 2); Mis. No. 4338, dated 21st September 1896; and Mis. No. 596, dated 16th February 1897, bearing on the subject, will now be printed and circulated to all Collectors, except Madras, for information and guidance.

2. The petitioner is referred to the Collector for orders.

(True Copies and Extract.)

for Secretary.

3 MAY 1897

To the Collector of Kistna, with two enclosures to be returned to the Petitioner.
Copy to all other Collectors, except Madras.
„ to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Paragraph 2 to the Petitioner.

APPENDIX.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 4023, dated 1st September 1896:—

Read—letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 14th August 1896, Dis. No. 1506-Gl.

ABSTRACT.—Reporting the fact of the admission of appeal regarding the karnam office in Pattai village, Tinnevelly taluk, through the oversight of the non-provision of law.

Resolution—Mis. No. 4023, dated 1st September 1896.

* * * * * *

2. The declaratory decree given in favour of Chidambaranatha Pillai in suit No. 11 of 1880 on the Assistant Collector's file must, however, be respected, and the Head Assistant Collector should be instructed to revise his order, if necessary, in the light of the Collector's remarks in the proceedings now ordered to be cancelled.

* * * * * *

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Tinnevelly, with petition and
with two enclosures to be returned to Petitioner.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 4338, dated 21st September 1896:—

Read—Petition from KAMMARA AUDISESHU, Carpenter and black-smith inamdar of Kovúr village, Nellore taluk and district, dated 2nd September 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Appeals against the enclosed order of the Collector dismissing petitioner's application for the execution of certain decree passed in his favour regarding the carpenter service inam of the above village.

Resolution—Mis. No. 4338, dated 21st September 1896.

The petition is rejected, as, under section 23 of the Madras Act III of 1895, there is no appeal against orders passed on applications for execution of decrees under sub-sections (2), (3) and (4) of section 14.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Acting Secretary.

To the Petitioner (through the Collector of Nellore)
with five enclosures (returned).

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 596, dated 16th February 1897:—

Read—Petition from HANUMANTHA RAO, Guardian of Raghappa, Minor of Ramata village, Ramallakóta taluk, Kurnool district, dated 8th October 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Appealing against the enclosed order of the Collector reversing that of the Deputy Collector appointing petitioner to the karnam office of the above village with reference to a declaratory decree passed in his favour in 1890.

Read—letter from C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, dated 2nd October 1896, Dis. No. 1694-Ver.

ABSTRACT.—Replying to Board's reference on petition from Hanumantha Rao, guardian of the Minor Raghappa of Ramallakóta taluk, Kurnool district.

Read—Petition from HANUMANTHA RAO, Guardian of Raghappa, Minor of Ramata village, Ramallakóta taluk, Kurnool district, dated 26th January 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Resubmits certain records duly stamped with reference to Board's reference order on Petition Register No. 2819, dated 8th October 1896.

Resolution—Mis. No. 596, dated 16th February 1897.

The Board considers that in the case of declaratory decrees passed under Regulation No. VI of 1831, the limitation prescribed by section 14 (3) of the Madras Act III of 1895 should be calculated from the date when the decree becomes capable of execution, *i.e.*, on the occurrence of a vacancy. Accordingly the Collector is requested to revise the order under appeal.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool, with records (returned).

Exd. C M. Thumbusawmy.

n for copying _____
ed _____
When examined _____
When signed _____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only