Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2395

DATED 12th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that the Board's order ordering the refund of certain fines imposed on Coolies on the Guntakal work ~~for not turning out the proper task~~ to be injudicious & req.s the Bd. to countermand the order if it has not yet been acted on.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3735

The Hon: Mr Grose C.S.E.
H.E. the Governor

I think "refund" is both impracticable & undesirable. It should be commutated, or rather since the Board's order was issued on the 3d & the refund will have been made to some extent before the order can reach the Board that the Bd should be told it was improper.

Impt. 20.

11/5/97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
6 MAY 97

8/5/97

From The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture Madras.

No. 3091 Miscellaneous

Dated 3rd May 1897.

Received 1.5.97.

Registered "

Enclosures

Spare copies

For Orders

with reference to the Board's instruction that the fines levied on the Gundakal relief work for short work due to bad gauging & inferior tools should be refunded to them. Fines have doubtless been levied under similar circumstances at other works also and it seems to be unnecessary to refund ~~[illegible]~~ them after over two months. ~~[illegible]~~

At any rate the coolies now receive the full B wages and the refund will probably be spent in liquor

To refund the fines is now not only unnecessary but is impossible probably. There are many "Maris" on the work who is to say who was the man

RS
6-5-97

SUBJECT.

Recording with remarks letter from the Collector of Anantapur explaining the decrease in the number of relief workers in certain places and directing the refund to the coolies concerned of the fines imposed upon them in the circumstances mentioned

[illegible]

fund.

7/5/97

Issue RS 11-5-97

G.O. mis No. 2395 of 12th May '97.

The instruction issued by the Board in para 2 is injudicious; ~~as~~ it is certainly unnecessary ~~it will be impracticable~~ to refund fines levied more than two months ago and it is probably impracticable to do so. The Board's order should be countermanded if it has not yet been acted on.

11/5/97

List from orders

Pd 6 Sett 13

RS 11-5-97

13/5/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
BP.	23	/97 Sett	
BP.	200	Sett	8-3-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Sett. 24 - 2,000—29-10-96.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 3rd May 1897.

No. 3091 Miscellaneous

READ the following letter from the Deputy Collector, Gooty Division, dated 4th April 1897 to the Collector of Anantapur:—

In reference to para 2 of your letter, Refont C No. 1141/19-3-97, I have the honour to state that I inspected the relief work on the Putlur-Tadpatri Road on the 1st Instant and found that the road has not been metalled up to the 3rd mile 1st furlong from Putlur, while metalling work has been done for 5 furlongs from the 2nd furlong to the 7th furlong of the 3rd mile towards the Tadpatri side.

2. In reply to your letter Ref. on C No. 1246/22-3-97

1246/22.3.97, calling for an explanation as to the decrease in the number of workers noticed by the Board during the week ending 6th March 1897 as compared with the previous week in the Tadpatri Taluk and on the Guntakal work, I beg to append in the margin, for the purpose of reference, the number of relief workers for the several works alluded to above for the week ending 28.2.97 (that is the number as given ~~under~~ in the narrative under reference) and also the attendance on 5th, 6th, 7th and 29th March 1897.

Name of work	As per narrative	No: 5th	6th	7th	29th
Putlur	554,	503	423	549	902
Senegalgudur	1984,	1789	1611	1811	1922
Yadiki	596,	689	638	692	846
Total	3134				
Guntakal	586,	598	582	544	546.

The number shown for the 6th March against the Putlur and Senegalgudur works merely represents the coolies entitled to the rest day wage as the 6th was a ~~the~~ rest day for the coolies on those works. As regards the Putlur work, I have already reported in my letter F.C. No. 10 of 97/23.3.97, the causes that led to the diminution in the number of relief workers there and after the modification in the task table by the Assistant Engineer as therein referred to, the number is gradually increasing.

The number in attendance on 29-3-97 was 902 as shown above. I have called on the Tahsildar of Tadpatri to report on the decrease in the number of works at at Senegalgudur and a report will be submitted thereon on receipt of his reply. There had been no decrease in the Yadiki work as will be seen from the figures given above. As regards the decrease in the number of workers and the payment of minimum wages for two weeks in the Guntakal work, I beg to state that the gangs which chiefly consist of women, boys and girls between 12 and 16 years who although

although they are treated as adults can hardly turn out the work allotted for them. Hence they earned only the minimum wages for some time which fact I have already brought to your notice in my letter C. No. 66 of 97 / 20.2.97. The Superintending Engineer, who inspected the work on the 23rd February 1897, was of opinion that the short work was to some extent due to bad organisation and bad tools. Fresh hammers have been since supplied and the supervisor in charge of the work has reorganised the gangs and the coolies have since been earning "C" class wages.

Letter is dated [illegible] prior to the receipt of recent order

Read also the following endorsement thereon, Refon C No. 1246 / 12.4.97, dated 8th April 1897.

Submitted to the Board (Revenue Settlement) for information with reference to para 4 of its Proceedings, No. 200, dated 8th March 1897.

in Conn. 236 / 5.4.97. (7 log)

Resolution

Resolution.

Recorded.

2. The Collector now reports that the coolies on the Guntakal work were paid minimum wages for a fortnight, as they failed to turn out the proper task, owing to bad ganging and inferior tools. These are causes for which the coolies themselves are not responsible, and they should not have been fined—vide

N.C Board's Proceedings 23/97 and section 106 Famine Code. The Collector will see that the fines are refunded to the coolies concerned.

(True copy and Extract)

[illegible] 4/5.
Famine Secretary

To the Collector of Anantapur.
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Exd 4/5/97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

O

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only