

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2394

DATED 12th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording letter from the Govt.
of India explaining a mistake that
was made in forwarding the memorial
of Sumanya of Gudivalle addressed
to it.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3711

Order No. 2394 of 12th May 97

Recorded

[Signature]

From

The Govt. of India,
Rev. & Agric. Dept.

No. 938
170-2

Dated 29th April 1897

Received 5.5.97

Registered 11

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Explaining a mistake
that was made in for-
warding the memorial
of Surayya of Guduvalli
addressed to the Govt.
of India.


[Signature]
The Board of Rev (A.R.)
17-5-97
8/5/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
86	1912-1913	connected	8-4-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



FROM

F. G. SLY, ESQ., C.S.,

Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Revenue Department.

Simla, the 29th April 1897.

Department of Revenue
and Agriculture.
Land-Revenue.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1913 dated 8th April 1897, regarding the memorial of M. Surayya of Gudavalli, Kistna District. It is stated that the memorial dated 27th May 1896, addressed to the Governor-General in Council, was not withheld by the Collector of Kistna as alleged by the petitioner; and that the Collector's order No. 4086 dated 14th July 1896, merely forwarded to the petitioner in due course the endorsement of the Government of India No. 1491 dated 16th June 1896. I am, however, to explain that this endorsement, which returned the memorial for submission through the Local Government was addressed to the petitioner direct, and not to the Collector as shown in the table in paragraph 3 of your letter under reply. On receipt of the endorsement the petitioner appears to have written the words "Through the Collector of Kistna," on the first page of the memorial, and to have submitted it to the Collector with a view to its being forwarded to the Government of India through the proper channel. The

Collector

This is what I thought
vide G.O. 4699 9-2-97 (Flag B)
but the collector reported that the
G.O. 5000 was received in his
office - vide G.O. 1912 (Flag C)
8-4-97

Collector, however, instead of forwarding the memorial returned it to the petitioner by his order of the 14th July referred to above, which was evidently written under the misapprehension that the memorial, accompanied as it was by the Government of India endorsement dated 18th June, had been received from the Government of India instead of from the petitioner.

Thus the assertion of the petitioner that his memorial addressed to the Government of India had been returned to him by the Collector appears to have been literally correct; though the mistake was mainly due to the petitioner forwarding his memorial to the Collector without a covering letter explaining his action.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

4954

Under-Secretary.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

H.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only