Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2391

DATED 12th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

According sanction for writing off the accounts the sum of Rs 611-14-5 reported to be irrecoverable under the Loans Act in the Palni Taluk, Madura Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

8

CURRENT No. 3565

The Hon: Mr. Cross. C.I.E.

5/7/97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
29 APR 97
RECD

Order mis No. 2391 of 12th May '97.

JG 11/5/97

~~In the above cases~~

~~Reported~~ Sanction is accorded for writing off the accounts the sums of ~~Rs 495 under principal and Rs 116 under interest~~ Rs 611-14-5 reported to be irrecoverable under the ~~Loans~~ Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act in the ~~Taluq~~ Palni Taluk, Madura District.

RS 1-5-97

2. The result of the further sale of the defaulter's property should be reported for the information of Govt.

To

The Board of Rev. Settlement & Agriculture.

Secretariat.

7.9.81 Rs

1/5/97

From

The Board of Revenue
Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.
Madras.

No. 2947 mis.

Dated 26th April 1897.

Received 29-4-97

Registered 1-5-97

Enclosures 67

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Loans

Requesting the sanction of Government for writing off the accounts the Sum of Rs 611-14-5 reported to be irrecoverable under the — Acts in the Palni Taluk, Madura District.

1/5/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
			0

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Sett. 24—2,000—29-10-96)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 26th April 1897.

No. 2947 Dis.

READ ~~Statement showing the irrecoverable arrears under the Loans Acts~~ ~~irrecoverable Arrear statement~~ for the quarter ending 31st December 1896 from the Collector of Madura, dated 21st January 1897 Dis No. 194 of 1897.

*Copy attached.

Read also the following Reference thereon, dated 13th February 1897:—

The number of cases of loans is given as two in Col: 3 of the Statement read above, while in the last Column it is stated that a loan of Rs. 600 was granted in two instalments. If the latter statement is correct, one loan order should have been granted and not two, as would appear from the entries in Column 4 of the Statement. It would also appear from Column 6, that repayments have been arranged for separately for the two instalments of the loan.

The

The Collector is requested to explain these discrepancies and to state the purpose for which the loan was granted, the number of instalments in which it was stipulated that the repayment should be made and the extent and value of the security taken. If explanations were taken from the officers responsible for the grant of the loan, they should be forwarded if not, they should now be obtained and submitted to the Board.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of Madura dated 24th March 1897 Re on C No. 674 Gl. of 1897.

With reference to reference on Board's General Register No. 641 of 1897, I have the honor to report as follows:

2. One Kaliappa Gounden of Appipoliem village, Palni Taluk, applied for a loan of Rs 500 under the Land Improvement Loans Act, Ordinary rules, for digging a new well and repairing an old well on the security of the lands:-

S. No.	Extent acres.	Assessment. Rs. A. P.
104	6.18	9-4-0
105	4.66	6-1-0
106	6.90	10-6-0.

The Tahsildar held the usual enquiry enjoined by the rules and recommended the grant of the loan to the Sub-Collector who sanctioned it on 10th December 1888. But there being no budget allotment available for disbursement, the loan was not granted. The application was renewed on 4th March 1890 & the Sub-Collector's sanction was a second time obtained. As only Rs 250 was available, that amount was paid on 18th November 1890 and a loan ~~order~~ order for Rs 250 issued, signed by the Tahsildar.

	Principal.	Interest.
18th November 1891	Rs 25	15-10-0
18 November 1892	25	14-1-0
18 November 1893	25	12-8-0
18 November 1894	25	10-15-0
18 November 1895	25	9-6-0
18 November 1896	25	7-13-0
18 November 1897	25	6-4-0
18 November 1898	25	4-11-0
18 November 1899	25	3-2-0
18 November 1900.	25	1-9-0.
~~[illegible]~~	250	85-15-0

Ten instalments were fixed for the repayment of the loan, as shown in the margin. On 5th November 1891 the Revenue Inspector reported after inspection of

of the work, that sufficient work was done for the loan taken and that Kaliappa Gownden wanted Rs 250 more to Complete the work. Kaliappa Gownden also solicited the sub-Collector to grant him the balance of the loan originally sanctioned in his case. The then Tahsildar, M. R Ry Nagasamidyer also recommended it after inspecting the work. The sub-Collector Sanctioned the advance of Rs 250 and this was paid on 11th January 1893. A separate loan order for this Amount (Rs 250) was issued under the Tahsildar's Signature and 10 instalments were fixed as shown in the Margin. The same lands as before were accepted as security for the loan. The above facts explain how two orders were issued for a Single loan applied for.

	Principal Instl.		
11th January 1894	25	15	11
11th January 1895	25	14	1
11th January 1896	25	12	8
11th January 1897	25	10	16
11th January 1898	25	9	6
11th January 1899	25	7	13
11th January 1900	25	6	4
11th January 1901	25	4	11
11th January 1902	25	3	2
11th January 1903	25	1	9
	250	85	15

The

3. The purpose for which the loan was asked and granted is as already stated, to dig a new well in S. No 104 and repair an old one in S. No 106. The instalments for the repayment of the loan in both the Cases have already been specified with particulars.

4. The extent of land is 17.14 acres assessed at Rs 25-11-0. In the Tahsildar's enquiry in 1888, the value of the land was estimated at Rs 900. The Karnam & Nattangar then deposed to the effect that the lands offered as security were worth so much. But in their explanation already taken (herewith forwarded), they say that the price was estimated in reference to the then Current value of the adjacent lands & that the price has now much fallen owing to drought

and

and poverty of the ryots.

5. The original enquiry was held by Tahsildar Sundramier and he reported the approximate value to be Rs 900. He is now dead. The 1st loan of Rs 250 was granted by Tahsildar Guruspada Mudaliar who is also dead. The Revenue Inspector who reported that sufficient work was done for Rs 250, is one Rangasawmi Iyer and it is stated that there was no mistake in his part. The 2nd loan of Rs 250 was made on the recommendation of M. R. Ry Nagasawmi Iyer, now Tahsildar of Madura. His report seems to have been based on the original records and also on the deposition of the then Karnam Pakkiappa Mudali, who stated at the time that the lands were worth Rs 1,500. His explanation (herein enclosed) is that he was quite new to the work at the time. The repayment of the 1st instalment of the 1st loan was duly made and default was committed as to the rest of the instalments as also the whole of the 2nd loan of Rs 250. The lands were sold and as there were no bidders, they were bought in by Government for a nominal price of one anna in each case. It is reported, however, that the lands with the mill are now worth Rs 500. On the face of it, land assessed at Rs 25-11- with a mill in it on which at least Rs 250 had been spent in improvements, must be worth Rs 500 and it is hoped that by judicious selling the whole amount may be recovered and will be credited to Land Revenue. But so far as the loan transaction is concerned, the matter is at an end, and it is therefore solicited that the Board will

will be pleased to recommend the Amount to be written off

Resolution.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. A loan of Rs 500 was sanctioned under the Ordinary Rules in the year 1890, to one Kaliappa Gounden of Appipolem, Palni Taluk, on the security of 17.14 acres of land assessed at — Rs 25-11-0, and valued at Rs 900, for Constructing a new well and repairing an old one. As only Rs 250 were available at the time from the District allotment, that Amount was paid on the 15th November 1890, and a loan order was issued. The balance of Rs 250 was granted on the 5th November 1891, and a separate loan order was issued. This it would appear that though one loan was sanctioned in the first instance, two loan orders were issued and repayments of the loans in ten instalments were also arranged for separately. The borrower having defaulted after repayment of the first instalment of the first loan, the lands pledged as security and a house belonging to him were sold, with the result that, as there were no bidders, they were bought in by Government A sum of Rs 10 realised by the sale of the defaulter's Cattle was credited to interest. There thus remain Rs 475 under principal and Rs 136-14-5 under interest, or Rs 611-14-5 in all, and the Collector reports that there are no means of realising the same.

3. The lands which were estimated to be worth Rs 900, were evidently overvalued. The Collector reports that the Tahsildar, who Conducted the original enquiry is dead, as also the [illegible] Tahsildar

who granted the first loan; that the Tahsildar, on whose recommendation the second loan was made, appears to have based his report on the original records and on the deposition of the then Karnam who estimates the lands, at the time, at Rs 1500; that the Karnam and Adhangar explain that the value of the lands was estimated with reference to the current value of adjacent lands and that the prices have now much fallen owing to the unfavourable Character of the Season and poverty of the ryots. The Collector is Confident, however, that the lands must be worth at least Rs 500, when Account is taken of the additional value Conferred on them by the wells on which at least Rs 250 must have been spent in improvement and that by Judicious selling the whole Amount may be recovered and states that the Amount, if recovered, will be credited to Land Revenue under article 134 c of the Civil Account Code.

5. In these Circumstances, the Board supports the Collector's recommendation that the Amount reported to be irrecoverable may be ordered to be written off the Accounts.

(Two Copy extracts)

R. B. Srinivasan
Secretary

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.
with enclosures (five returned)

Copy with Abstract of Proceedings

Ecord
27/2/87

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only