

Revenue (1897) Department.

• ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 231

DATED 2nd Feby 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing petitioner, P.V. Subbairam, to produce
authenticated copies of the orders of the collector and
the Board of Revenue passed in his case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
11/90/97							

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 833



2/2

From H. A. Stuart, Esq. J.C.S.
Private Secretary to
H. E. the Governor.

List.
Order No. 23107 2nd Febry 1897

The petition is directed
to produce authenticated copies of
the orders of the colls and the Board
of Revenue in his case.

1.97

No.

Dated 21st January 1897.

Received 23rd

Registered 27th

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition of 19th January
1897 from P. V. Subbiah, J.C.S. Com.
bathie, praying that the sale of
his land may be cancelled, & that
he may be granted a further loan
of Rs 280 etc.

To the Petitioner per 5-2-97

(By order)

H. A. Stuart

Private Secretary

22/1/97. Recd 20/1

105

1.1.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To

The Honorable member of the Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement Land Records and Survey)
The humble petition of P. V. Subbiah Selvarajoo
Brahmin Street Coimbatore

Humbly sheweth:-

1. That your petitioner possesses nearly
14 acres of land (paddy) in the Namara police
Village Coimbatore Taluk.

2. That after finding the old leather
bucket system trouble some your petitioner
decided to introduce on the advice of pro-
fessional friends pumping system for
irrigation purposes and had to incur an
outlay of about Rs 1500 in purchasing &
fixing a deep well pump and a windmill.

3. That for this purpose some of his
friends were about to assist your petitioner
with capital, but when he came to know
that Government would give him a
loan under the Land Improvement Loan Act
he applied on the 7th October 1891 for a
loan of Rs 1500 to the Collector of the District
and after a vexatious delay of two years
& 10 months, during which period he
was tired of attending the several
committees and writing many petitions
he was at last given on the 7th August
1894 a loan of only Rs 720 repayable within
15 years.

4. That your petitioner spent on the
project more than Rs 1400 is not unknown
to the authorities and they have taken
a note of the same.

5. That he applied for a further
loan of Rs 280 on the same security and
the

the machinery costing Rs 800 to enable him to erect a tower to the Windmill without which the working of the pump would prove not only troublesome but costly. The Windmill will work the pump in defiance of the weather. Your petitioner only applied for Rs 280 to bring the total loan to Rs 1000, the amount being within the competency of the Collector to sanction under the Rules.

6. That your petitioner applied for a small sum of Rs 280 although the erection of the tower and other works to successfully commence cultivation would cost considerably more than this amount, in hopes to avoid delay in securing the sanction of higher authorities.

7. That the additional loan prayed for has been refused by the Collector & the request that the repayment of the loan should commence one year after the completion of the work was also refused and he was directed to finish the work by the end of the current year without any means.

8. That in the meantime the Sasildar issued on the 13th October 96 a demand notice for the first two years instalments to Rs 162 + odd including interest, and now your petitioner hears a notification for the sale of the land is to appear in the next issue of the local Gazette.

9. That your petitioner humbly submits that this procedure is opposed to Rule 21 under Land Improvement Loans Act and Subsidiary rules published in the Fort St George Gazette of 28th Feb 87. That the innovation introduced

2
introduced by your petitioner displeased his ignorant tenants and they left the land uncultivated and that your petitioner in consequence compelled to undertake the management of the cultivation in his own hand and has to incur some outlay in the purchase of bullocks &c for the English Plough he had already purchased.

10. That your petitioner is now given to understand from an article in the Madras Standard of 2nd Oct 96 that Government intends to make Coimbatore as a centre to experiment English pumps and water lifts &c.

11. That under rule 20 of L.I. Law it is laid down that in special cases loans may be granted without interest.

12. That your petitioner submits his case may be considered as "Special" one under the rule 21 & he may be encouraged by granting the further loan of Rs 280 prayed for and the time for repayment may be fixed with reference to rule 21 and the maximum period for repayment may also be increased for 15 to 20 yrs as per rule.

13. That this being a novel system in this part of the country your petitioner deserves a more lenient treatment than the one accorded to him.

14. That he would not have ventured to experiment this scheme although confident of success with the aid of Government if he had been aware at the outset that the transaction with the Government

Goverment would result in untold vexation and delay as he had the misfortune to experience in this case.

15. That your petitioner's lands which are worth more than Rs 1900 was valued at only Rs 900. Even at this valuation if the cost of machinery is added viz Rs 800 not to say the other improvements which cost your petitioner nearly Rs 600, what reasonable objection the authorities can have to make a further loan of Rs 280 to enable your petitioner to complete the work he had done at so much cost and labour, is not understood by your petitioner and now your petitioner is forced to complete the work without means!!!

16. That if your petitioner had not mortgaged his lands to Govt. he could have raised easily a loan of Rs 1500 on the same owing to its close proximity to the town of Coimbatore and to its being exempted being on the borders of the town, from Municipal tax and that the delay that has already taken place in the completion of the work is mainly due to impediments thrown in his way by the authorities.

17. That in the above circumstances your petitioner
humbly

3
humbly craves that the Honorable Board will be pleased to issue prompt orders for the further loan of Rs 280 and to extend the time for repayment till the completion of the work and until he reaps the crop from the land and also to postpone the notice of demand and sale of land &c. There is no doubt that the pump will work successfully and efficiently if the Windmill is fixed on a tower 30 feet high. The working of the pump was inspected by the Divisional officer who was satisfied with it.

For which act of favour your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

(80) P. V. Silliah F.F.S.

7th November 1896
Coimbatore

(True copy)

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement, Land Records & Agriculture)

Dated 9th January 1897

No 202 Inis.

Read. Petition from P. V. Subbiah of Komara
Poleam Village, Coimbatore Taluk dated
7th November 1896.

Resolution

The Board learns from a report received from the collector that the supplemental loan of Rs 280 applied for by the petitioner was refused as the security furnished by him was not good. The machines offered by the petitioner as additional security for the loan cannot be accepted as good security as they may get out of order at any time and will not fetch their value when sold for arrears of instalments of loans. The petitioner's request for postponement of collection of instalments was also properly refused, as there were no special reasons entitling him to the concession allowable under rule 21 of the ordinary rules. It is moreover observed that the petitioner had not completed the work notwithstanding that extensions of time were granted to him on two occasions. The Board sees no special reason to extend the period of repayment of loan to 20 years as prayed for by the petitioner.

(True Extract)

(88) J. Laxabalingam
for Secretary.

(1/10/97)

Rev. Dip.
H. H. H.

PETITION

REVENUE DEPT.

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Sir Arthur Colclough, Bart.
G. C. M. G. G. C. I. C.
Governor of Madras
Fort St. George.

The humble petition of P. V. Subbiah
H. T. S. Telugoo Brahmam Street, Coimbatore
Humbly sheweth.

That your petitioner begs to app
eal against the Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue under date the 9th January
1897 No 202 Mis. On his petition dated
7th November 1896 he loan granted him
under Land Improvement Loan Act.

That your petitioner submits
that the Board in passing these pro
ceedings have entirely ignored
the statements and facts contained
in his petition above referred to
[Copy enclosed]

They came to the conclusion on
the report of the collector that the maxi
mum was offered by the petitioner as
additional security for further loan
of Rs 280 to enable him to complete the
contemplated works (on which he has
already incurred an outlay of Rs
1454, which was on enquiry and
personal examination approved
by the Revenue department) cannot
be

be accepted as good security as they may get out of order at any time - no one who has any knowledge of machinery can arrive at this conclusion. As per estimate of the Revenue authorities the actual cost of machinery amounts to Rs 850. They are quite new. If they get out of order they are susceptible of repair. Cannot this be taken as security for Rs 280? The firm from which the machinery was purchased will not hesitate to take back the same at a discount of 20 or 25 per cent the most.

That as regards the refusal of the collector to postpone the collection of instalments the Board observes that it was properly refused as there were no special reasons entitling him to the concession allowable under rule 21 of the ordinary rules.

That your petitioner here tries to point out that his case is a "Special" one. It has not as yet yielded any return and as such it must be treated as a special one under the rule quoted above. Your petitioner respectfully solicits attention to paras 9 to 13, of his accompanying petition in which it is clearly explained that his case falls under para 21 of the ordinary rules.

That in conclusion the Board observes that they see no special reason to extend the period of repayment of loan to 20 years as the petitioner had not completed the work notwithstanding that extensions of time were granted him on two occasions. That the delays due to circumstances over which

your petitioner had no control. It is as stated in para 13 of his petition to the Board a novel system and the fitting up of machinery had been delayed for want of minor things such as bolts & nuts ~~tea tea~~ and in the procuring of which from Madras considerable delay took place. More over owing to the unusual heavy fall of rain the platform constructed for the location of the machinery gave way and the well is full and the surroundings are also filled with water that nothing can be done for sometime to come. That the Revenue authorities on personal inspection were convinced of this state of affairs.

That your petitioner ventures to hope that your Excellency will be pleased to take the trouble of perusing his petition to the Board. In the meantime the land for the improvement of which he took the loan and under which so much difficulty and expense is now stands sold to a stranger for a very low price of about Rs 320 for failure to pay the first instalment of the loan.

That according to the rule 21 the first instalment should commence after the work yields a return, but in your petitioner's unfortunate case, neither the work is finished nor any return yielded.

That in the circumstances your petitioner humbly solicits that your Excellency will be pleased to cause the sale of the land to be cancelled before the sale is confirmed and also order for payment of a further loan of

for on the security of machines &c
to enable him to complete the work
as also pass orders so that the first
instalment may commence when
the work begins to yield a return.

For which act of indulgence
your petitioner as in duty bound
shall ever pray.

19th January 1897 } P. V. Subbiah F. T. S.
Levinkatore }

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

F.P.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only

A A A A A A A

toon
on ten
due to