

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2243

DATED 4th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the proposal of the Collector of
Kuala Lumpur to remit an income tax of Rs 10 worth
levied ^{divided 1896-97} from one A Suramania Pillai of the Palghat taluk

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3412



The Hon. Mr. Bliss C. S.

4/5/97

Order in re no. 22438 of the May 1897.
From The Secretary to the
Commissioner of Salt, Abkari
and Separate Revenue Madras.

The Collector's recom-
mendation which is sup-
ported by the Board of Rev.
is approved and sanctioned

Mis No. 1545

Dated 14th April 1897

Received 26-4-97

Registered 27-4-97

Enclosures

Spare copies

28/4/97

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government for
sanction letter from the collector
of Malabar requesting that
an inequitable assessment
made by the Tahsildar of
Palghat may be cancelled

2

The Board of Rev (Sep Rev)
dis. 6.5.97
note

The case comes up to
put for orders as there is
no appeal to the Board District
Collector or the Board again

30/4/97

against the decision of the Dy
Collector as Commissioner of the
Division (vide Sec 27 of Act
II of 1886 passed A)

MM
27. IV. 97


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1,000
19-4-95.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 14th April 1897.

Mis No. 1545.

Read the following letter from the collector of
Malabar Region A.P. 117 dated 31st March 1897.

"I have the honour to report for the orders of the
Board the case of one Sivarama patten of Vata-
haucheri amson, Palghat taluk. He was as-
sessed by the Tahsildar of Palghat to an
income tax of Rs 10 on estimated income of Rs 500
as shown in the margin for the year ending
31st March, 1897. He objected to the assessment
but his petition was dismissed by the Tahsildar
as being out of time. Against this order he pre-
sented a revision petition to the Head Assistant
Collector stating that he was not able to pre-
sent the objection petition in time as the as-
sessment notice was not communicated to
him

Moneylending Rs 274.
Trade in ATM — . 200
House rent — " 16
Rs. 590

him by the amison officials and that he came to know of the assessment only when the distraint notice was issued against him. The Deputy collector in charge of the Head Assistant Collector's Division rejected this petition on the ground that his allegation that the assessment notice was not communicated to him was false without inquiring into the petitioner's liability to assessment. On the petitioner applying to me I requested the Head Assistant Collector to enquire and report on the petitioner's allegation that his income fell below the taxable minimum. The Head Assistant Collector now reports that the petitioner was assessed by the Tahsildar this year "on the very same estimated income (calculated on the very same details) as in the previous year without any additional information or evidence" although his last year's assessment was remitted by the Head Assistant Collector on revision petition.

I therefore recommend that the assessment imposed on the petitioner may be remitted."

Resolution

Submitted to Government with

the request that the collector's recommendation may be sanctioned.

(True Copy and Extract)

Edmund. M. D. S.
Assistant Secretary

To The Secretary to Government Revenue Department
Copy to the Collector of Malabar.

Edmund. M. D. S.
22/4/17.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189.
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

E.B.—

- = Copy in full.
- 3 = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only