

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2236

DATED 3rd Dec.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Collector of Coimbatore to submit a copy of the draft application presented by the petitioner Vyapuri achari to the Tahsildar of Dharafoomana and his order thereon.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.



CURRENT No. 4864

From

The Collector of
Coimbatore

Dis No. 3838 of '97
Rev

Order

Dated 11 November 1897

Received 15

Registered 17

Enclosures Oll.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting a copy of
Dharapuram Tahsildar's Endorse-
ment bearing No. 1106 dated 5th
July 1895 on the petition of Vya-
puri Asan the petitioner refers
to in the G. O. filed with this number

Regisclerk
17.11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
20	1538	Rev.	1-10-97
	all	Comm.	1-10-97
	1622		1-10-97
	2431		1-10-97
	1576		1-10-97
	1219		1-10-97
	709		1-10-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1106			
4-3-98			

Translation of the petition presented by the memorialist to the Sub. Collector, Coimbatore, on 21. 5. 95.

The petitioner states that he applied in 1892 and in 1894 for the paramboke land measuring 9.65 acres and bearing survey no 383 in Attimarutha-palaiyam village, Dharampuram taluk but that he did not receive any orders. He hears that one Anasari naikun jaho is not a resident of the village, has applied for the same paramboke and that the Revenue Inspector inspected the land. The petitioner prays that as he is a prior applicant and a resident of the village the land may be assigned to him on darkhast.

Dis No. ³⁸³⁸Rev of 97

Coimbatore DISTRICT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated 11 November 1897.

FROM

Alan Butterworth Esquire

Acting COLLECTOR OF THE

Coimbatore DISTRICT,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Revenue DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 1808 R dated
1st October 1897, I have the honour to submit
a copy of the Charafuram Tahsildar's Endor-
sement No. 1106 dated 6 July 1895 on the
petition of Vyapuri Asari the petitioner
therein referred to.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Mr. Stokes

Jr. Ag Collector

CS Rev
13.11.97

C no 9881 of 97

L. O. (Pon) 2236d/3-12-97

It does not appear either from the petition of 21.5.95 to the Sub. Collector, Coimbatore, copy of which has been forwarded, or from the Sub-Collector's proceedings thereon of 11.7.95 that any application for land was made in the first instance to the Tahsildar. It would therefore seem that the Sub-Collector's order was the first on the case. In the Collector's endorsement no. 3589 of 28.11.96, ~~it is~~ ^{it is} however, stated that the draft application presented by the petitioner was rejected by the Tahsildar and that the appeal to the Sub-Collector was also rejected. If so, the Collector is requested to obtain and submit a copy of the application made to the Tahsildar and his order thereon.

[Signature]
2/12/97

To the Collector of Coimbatore
Per. 6-12-97
[Signature]
2 Dec 97

[Signature]
1-12-97

I don't quite understand
By this correspondence the have
been started but it must
apparently was gone on
into the position - made
clear *[Signature]*