Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2233

DATED 3rd May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board of Revenue to report in consultation with the Collectors concerned upon the advisability of splitting up some of the talukas in the Deccan.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Re: the expediency of splitting up some of the taluks in the Godavari & Kistna Districts

No.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

"I quite agree, but feel inclined to go further and to ask whether it is not pretty well time to split up some of the taluks. It seems to me that it must be almost impossible for a Tahsildar to effectively supervise the collection of such large amounts of revenue as fall to most of the Godavari (and probably also Kistna) Tahsildars. The Secretary knows more about this than I do and will perhaps consider this suggestion."

with reference to the Hon: Mr. Bliss' note on page flagged A in C.O. 3821 of 24. 9. 96, extracted on the margin. The accompanying statement exhibits in one view particulars as to:-

(1) Population

(2) Total extent

(3) Extent excluding Zemindari, inam and forests

(4) Extent of occupied area

(5) Number of ryotwari villages

(6) Number of pattas issued

(7) Beriz and

(8) The rank held under each head ~~[illegible]~~ by the average taluk in each district of the Presidency.

The figures have been compiled from the talukwar details furnished in the statement printed at pages.

...ps 70 to 73 in G.O. 711 of 31. to. 95

2. It will be seen from these details that some of the taluks in Tanjore are as ~~[illegible]~~ heavy as those in Godavari and Kistna.

The following draft is submitted:-

G.O. Mis

The amount of revenue that has to be collected in some of the taluks in Godavari, Kistna and Tanjore districts, appears to the Govt. to be so large that it must be very difficult for the Tahsildars concerned to effectively supervise its collection. The Board of Revenue will accordingly be requested to consult the Collectors concerned on the point and report it what manner relief may best be afforded to the Tahsildars.

FOR ORDERS.

To the Board of Rev: L.R.

Note. One of the ways of affording relief to the Tahsildars concerned is

is to split up the taluks as indicated by the Hon: Mr. Bliss C.I.E. But it seems unnecessary, if not undesirable, to suggest this in the order, as there are also other ways - such as redistribution of ~~[illegible]~~ villages &c.

[illegible]
20/10/96.

Statement showing certain particulars re: the average ... in the several districts.

	Districts	Population according to the Census, 1891	Total Extent	Extent excluding Zemindaris and forest area	Average occupied area, based on the figures of 5 fasalis ending 1310	number of ryotwari villages	Number of pattas issued in fasli 1300	Basis, based on the average of 5 years ending fasli 1300.	Remarks.
			Sq. miles	Sq. miles	Sq. miles			Rs	
(1)	Ganjam	240,651 (6)	834 (3)	562 (3)	189 (11)	415 (1)	157,911 (12)	256,564 (13)	
(2)	Vizagapatam	174,338 (12)	709 (10)	167 (19)	67 (19)	263 (15)	14,646 (21)	146,792 (15)	
(3)	Godavari	170,765 (13)	596 (17)	381 (15)	140 (17)	114 (22)	9,432 (17)	483,213 (3)	* Figures for Bhadrachalam not available. The taluk has not been taken into account in striking the average.
(4)	Kistna	149,539 (15)	627 (14)	515 (6)	272 (3)	104 (25)	16,824 (9)	456,394 (4)	⊗ Figures for Nuzvid not available and the taluk has not been taken into account in striking the average.
(5)	Nellore	136,073 (17)	756 (7)	437 (12)	169 (14)	66 (19)	11,495 (17)	261,068 (12)	
(6)	Cuddapah	115,643 (22)	793 (4)	572 (5)	172 (13)	98 (17)	16,119 (11)	184,535 (17)	
(7)	Anantapur	93,315 (24)	671 (12)	608 (2)	186 (10)	108 (14)	8,834 (19)	132,216 (20)	
(8)	Bellary	110,086 (23)	726 (8)	622 (1)	265 (5)	109 (13)	12,475 (14)	184,376 (18)	
(9)	Kurnool	102,226 (25)	939 (11)	599 (3)	223 (8)	87 (18)	12,340 (15)	197,612 (16)	
(10)	Chingleput	200,416 (11)	515 (25)	318 (18)	158 (15)	245 (8)	15,443 (13)	293,279 (8)	
(11)	N. Arcot	162,695 (16)	501 (26)	335 (16)	136 (18)	182 (9)	22,475 (6)	273,200 (11)	
(12)	S. Arcot	270,356 (3)	643 (13)	493 (10)	268 (4)	326 (2)	44,298 (1)	491,481 (7)	
(13)	Tanjore	247,568 (5)	412 (20)	287 (19)	187 (12)	268 (4)	19,485 (5)	608,532 (1)	
(14)	Trichinopoly	274,543 (4)	726 (9)	516 (8)	318 (2)	249 (7)	32,450 (2)	341,555 (5)	
(15)	Madura	253,978 (7)	678 (11)	476 (11)	251 (6)	120 (21)	26,948 (3)	289,078 (9)	
(16)	Tinnevelly	212,899 (9)	598 (16)	335 (17)	249 (7)	98 (15)	22,540 (4)	304,175 (6)	
(17)	Coimbatore	200,484 (10)	786 (5)	586 (4)	367 (1)	149 (11)	21,474 (10)	301,653 (7)	
(18)	Salem	218,066 (7)	858 (2)	425 (14)	213 (9)	312 (3)	21,187 (10)	210,291 (22)	
(19)	S. Canara	210,472 (8)	780 (6)	429 (13)	..	257 (16)	8,985 (18)	281,267 (14)	
(20)	Malabar	290,913 (2)	621 (15)	554 (7)	147 (15)	48 (25)	22,612 (7)	205,536 (23)	

Note for the Secy

As directed by
I submit herewith
statement show
for each taluk in
Godavari, Kistna
Tanjore Districts an
each of the first a
second grade talu
in the rest of the Pres
dency, (1) the Populati
(2) the occupied area
(3) the number of Patt
& (4) the Beriz. The
figures in col. 12 show
the "weight" of the ~~...~~
different taluks an
the rank of each tal
according to this
"weight" is given in col. 13.
While col. 14 ~~showing~~
shows the rank according
to Beriz alone.

Please also make another
list arranged according
to Land Rev: alone
and according to the
sum of Land Rev:
Income Tax & Abkari.
8/11/86.

Office.

The work of a Taluk Tehs:
will depend on the four factors
mentioned in regard to Land
Revenue alone. But much of
his work arises in respect
of Income Tax and Abkari.
Please show separately these
two figures. add the sum of
their logarithms to the 10th
col. of the statement.
take out the 6th root
and arrange the Taluks
in a list according to the
weight so obtained.
8/11/86.

27 Oct 86

... 10 to 13 in G. G. 711 of 31. 10. 95

Logarithm of the figures entered in Col: 2	Logarithm of the figures entered in Col: 3	Logarithm of the figures entered in Col: 4	Logarithm of the figures entered in Col: 5.	Total of Cols: 6 to 9 or the logarithm of the product of Cols: 2 to 5.	Logarithm of the fourth root of ~~Col~~ the product of Cols: 2 to 5.	Weight of each tank	Rank of each tank according to weight	Rank according to Beriz alone	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11		13	14	15
5.5098394	2.3926970	4.3797767	5.5084638	17.7907769	4.4476942	2834.6	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.4169632	2.2405492	4.2381465	6.01003226	17.90569116	4.47642279	2951.8	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.3623412	2.2148438	4.1609485	5.8599551	17.5970886	4.3992721	2576.8	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.0863365	2.0644580	3.9970000	5.7103628	16.8590677	4.2147669	1697.1	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.3097324	2.0791812	4.0655050	5.8442416	17.2986602	4.3246650	2118.6	[illegible]	10	
5.4083774	2.0293838	3.9560000	5.8141583	17.2087120	4.3021780	2052.9	[illegible]	13	
5.2354905	2.2013971	3.8807850	5.3793182	16.6971908	4.1742977	1438.2	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.1341959	2.2148438	3.8100180	5.1943255	16.3534632	4.0883658	1256.5	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.1637247	2.1398791	3.6853134	5.2464419	16.2354291	4.0588573	11451.4	[illegible]	50	
5.1388963	2.0827854	3.5516930	5.2177523	15.9911270	3.9977817	9949.5	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5.3478314	2.5670264	4.4600555	6.0038912	18.37530242	4.59382560	39248.7	[illegible]	1	
5.0730582	2.2552725	4.1215927	5.8410045	17.2909279	4.3227320	21024.8	[illegible]	11	
5.2599522	2.5550944	4.3826113	5.9061734	18.1038313	4.5259578	33570.5	[illegible]	5	
5.1523220	2.5390761	4.4215875	5.5679752	17.6809508	4.4202377	26317.1	[illegible]	32	
4.9161643	2.2764618	4.0675172	5.2121529	16.4722962	4.1180740	13124.2	[illegible]	53	
5.1941729	2.5563025	4.2725610	5.5907238	17.6137602	4.4034400	25318.6	[illegible]	30	
5.1418166	2.5797836	4.2745042	5.5612445	17.5573489	4.3891572	24509.7	[illegible]	34	
5.1027800	2.4424798	3.9383696	5.2464691	16.7300985	4.1825246	15223.8	[illegible]	49	
5.0272559	2.0000000	3.7662641	5.2265458	16.0200658	4.005164	10116.2	[illegible]	51	

Names of Districts and Talaks.	Population according to census of 1891.	Occupied area in sq. miles (Average 1873 to 1877-78 and Fasli 1300)	Number of Pattas in Fasli 1300.	Beriz only average of the five years ending F. 13		Logarithm of the figures entered in Column 2.	Logarithm of the figures entered in column 3	Logarithm of the figures entered in column
1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8
Kistna (contd.)								
Guntur III	166,817	328	17,501	(21)	492,118	5·2222455	2·5158738	4·2430
21 Bandar IV	198,382	109	11,210	(22)	278,559	5·2513559	2·0374265	4·0496
Nellore								
22 Nellore I	197,912	228	17,768	(1)	679,249	5·2964721	2·3579348	4·2496
23 Gudur II	186,009	166	15,769	(2)	330,155	5·2695340	2·2201081	4·1978
Cuddapah								
24 Cuddapah II	154,899	202	20,751	(3)	274,556	5·1900488	2·3053514	4·3170
Bellary								
25 Bellary II	180,353	405	17,017	(40)	292,633	5·2561233	2·6074550	4·2308
Kurool								
26 Koilkuntla II	86,544	201	11,961	(5)	277,577	4·9372370	2·3031961	4·0777
Chingleput								
Madurantakam II	263,137	219	17,852	(6)	418,516	5·4201820	2·3404441	4·2516
North Arcot								
Walajapet I	239,302	223	29,502	(7)	465,193	5·3789463	2·3483049	4·469
Arcot II	175,580	171	29,102	(8)	402,808	5·2444997	2·2329961	4·4639
27 Wandiwash II	177,534	207	33,642	(28)	403,992	5·2492816	2·3159703	4·5268
South Arcot								
1 Tindivanam I	316,018	399	53,826	(9)	631,199	5·4997118	2·6009729	4·730
2 Villupuram II	301,746	295	50,431	(65)	538,9	5·4796415	2·4698220	4·702
3 Chidambaram II	282,275	269	37,951	(8)	750,2	5·4508263	2·4297523	4·5792
Tanjore								
4 Kumbakonam I	377,658	215	22,390	(10)	1,090,4	5·5770987	2·3324385	4·3500
5 Tanjore I	410,447	290	42,723	(6)	763,7	5·6132571	2·4623980	4·6306
Nannilam II	215,907	224	20,340	(11)	963,1	5·3342569	2·3502480	4·3083
Negapatam II	220,348	149	17,254	(12)	499,0	5·3431091	2·1731863	4·2368
Mayavaram III	244,835	211	16,292		760,5	5·3888717	2·3242825	4·2119

219
840
87760
1314
1401160

...ntered in 4.	Logarithm of the figures entered in Column 5. 9	Total of cols. 6 to 9 or the Logarithm of the product of the cols. 2 to 6. 10	Logarithm of the fourth root of the product of cols. 2 to 5. 11	Weight of each Jalak 12	Rank of each Jalak according to weight 13	Rank according to Berig alme	Remarks.
1629	5.6920701	17.6732523	4.4183131	26,200.7	26	21	
1056	5.4449795	16.7833675	4.1958419	15697.9	45	42	
3385	5.8320284	17.7360738	4.4340184	27,165.5	12	12	
2142	5.5187231	17.2061694	4.3012923	20,012.1	43	37	
3390	5.4386379	17.2510771	4.3127693	20,548.0	41	45	
4830	5.4663232	17.5607846	4.3901961	24,558.2	30	40	
1675	5.4433756	16.7625762	4.1906440	15,511.2	46	43	
3769	5.6217120	17.6340250	4.4185062	26,212.4	25	26	
6515	5.6676332	17.8647359	4.4661840	29,253.9	17	22	
4228	5.6050981	17.5465167	4.3866292	24,357.3	32	29	
6818	5.6063062	17.6984399	4.4246100	26,583.4	23	28	
9921	5.8001663	18.6318431	4.6579608	45,494.7	1	14	
7976	5.7315558	18.3837169	4.5959292	39,439.3	5	16	
4232	5.8751817	18.3349835	4.5837459	38,348.3	8	8	
5541	6.0376058	18.2971871	4.5742993	37,523.1	13	2	
7617	5.8829456	18.5892624	4.6473156	44,393.1	2	6	
9709	5.9838973	17.9767548 9	4.4941887 2	31,202.5	7	4	
6378	5.6981232	17.4813084	4.3628271	23,058.3	35	20	
7144	5.8813641	17.8064927	4.4516233	28.289.4	19	7	

219
640
8760
1314
140160

C

Names of Districts & Talukes	Population according to the Census of 1891	Occupied area in Sq. Miles on the average of 5 years ending fasli 1300	Number of pattas in fasli 1300	Beriz or the average of the 5 years ending fasli 1300	Logarithm of the figures entered in Col. 2	Logarithm of the figures entered in Col. 3	Logarithm of the figures entered in Col. 4
Tanjore (Contd.)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Mannargudi IV	187,434	190	18,576	(17) 522,095	5.2728484	2.2787536	4.2689522
Sheyali V	119,803	111	6,457	(35) 346,053	5.0784677	2.0453230	3.8098290
Tiruturaipundi III	180,308	201	18,445	(14) 428,262	5.2560151	2.3031961	4.2658787
Pattukottai III	271,374	89	12,620	(33) 102,607	5.4335682	1.9493900	4.0105094
Trichinopoly							
Trichinopoly I	360,829	278	30,925	(19) 501,043	5.5573015	2.4440448	4.4903097
Musiri II	282,619	336	39,000	(32) 376,128	5.4512014	2.5263393	4.5910646
Madura							
Madura II	261,195	151	24,971	(40) 271,025	5.4169648	2.1789769	4.3974359
Tinnevelly							
Tenkarai I	287,603	352	41,636	(15) 581,014	5.4587934	2.5465427	4.6194690
Tinnevelly II	184,728	202	18,894	(31) 385,690	5.2665318	2.3053514	4.2763239
Srivilliputtur II	190,517	276	34,978	(23) 332,045	5.2709338	2.4409091	4.5437950
Coimbatore							
Erode I	247,008	441	29,555	(13) 442,312	5.3927111	2.6444386	4.4706310
Palladam II	270,390	573	31,667	(25) 426,241	5.4320067	2.7581546	4.5006069
Salem							
Namakal II	300,047	185	18,673	(37) 217,588	5.4771894	2.2671717	4.2712141
Trichengode II	248,679	276	20,387	(41) 275,176	5.3956392	2.4409091	4.3093533
Salem I	417,379	344	36,972	(27) 413,507	5.6205306	2.5365584	4.5678729
Malabar							
Ponnani I	449,290	190	40,462	(34) 320,423	5.6525365	2.2787536	4.6070473
Palghat II	372,133	191	35,369	(7) 285,195	5.5706982	2.2810334	4.5486228

Logarithm of the figures entered in col. 5	Total of cols 6, 6, 9 or the logarithm of the product of cols 2 & 5	Logarithm of the fourth root of the product of cols. 2 & 3	Weight of each Taluk	Rank of each taluk according to weight	Rank according to serial nos.	Remarks.
9	10	11	12	13		
5.7177496	17.5383038	4.3845759	24,242.4		17	
5.5391117	16.4727314	4.1181828	13,127.5		35	
5.6317095	17.4567994	4.3641998	23,131.3		24	
5.0112771	16.4952947	4.1238237	13,299.1		55	
5.6998750	18.1915310	4.5478827	35,298.8	11	19	
5.5753856	18.1439409	4.5359852	34,354.6	13	32	
5.4330093	17.4263869	4.3565967	22,729.9	37	46	
5.7641876	18.3889927	4.5972482	39,559.3	4	15	
5.5862497	17.4344568	4.3586142	22,835.7	36	31	
5.5211970	17.7768349	4.4442087	27,810.5		36	
5.6457288	18.1535095	4.5383774	34,544.4	12	23	
5.6296552	18.3204234	4.5801058	38,028.2	9	25	
5.3376350	17.3532102	4.3383025	21,792.3		48	
5.4396106	17.5855122	4.3963780	24,910.2		33	
5.6164829	18.3414448	4.5853612	38,491.2	7	27	
5.5057237	18.4450611	4.6112628	40,856.7	3	29	
5.4551419	17.8554963	4.4638741	29,098.7		41	

Names of districts & Taluks	Population according to the census of 1891.	Occupied area in Sq. Ms. on the average 5 years ending fasli 1300.	Number of pattas in fasli 1300.	Being an average of 5 years ending fasli 1300.
1	2	3	4	5
Ganjam				
Berhampur II	323,474	247	23,976	(2) 322,42
Godavari				
Ramachandrapuram I	261,194	174	17,304	(3) 1,023,369
Narsapur II	230,325	164	14,486	(7) 724,361
Bhimavaram IV	121,994	116	9,952	(8) 513,280
Tanuku III	204,048	120	11,628	(10) 698,621
Amalapuram III	256,081	107	9,053	(13) 651,866
Ellore IV	171,985	159	7,603	(14) 239,50
Jernagudem V	136,209	164	6,458	(15) 156,4.
Rajahmundry IV	145,789	138	4,846	(16) 176,37
Peddapuram V	137,688	121	3,562	(17) 165,10
Kistna				
Nepalle I	222,757	369	28,844	(1) 1,099,00
Nuzividu II	118,310	180	13,231	(6) 693,43
Gudlavalla II	181,940	359	24,133	(5) 805,69
Palnad III	142,011	346	26,399	(11) 369,80
Vinukonda V	82,445	189	11,682	(12) 162,987
Narasaraopet III	156,377	360	18,731	(20) 389,694
Sattenapalle IV	138,617	380	18,815	(21) 364,130
Naidupeta V	126,701	277	8,677	(22) 196,388
Bezwada IV	106,477	100	5,838	(23) 168,479

Note

With reference to the Secretary's instructions of the 8th November last, three statements are submitted, showing how the taluks in the Godavari, Kistna, and Tanjore Districts and the first and second grade taluks in the remaining districts, stand, if arranged

A — according to Land Revenue alone;

B - according to Land, Abkari, and Income tax revenue; and

C. according to their weight as determined by (1) Population,
(2) occupied area,
(3) Number of Pattas,
(4) Land Revenue,
(5) Abkari revenue
+(6) Income tax revenue

2. The items taken into consideration when the grading of the taluks was last revised, were

(1) Population,
(2) Total area,
(3) occupied area,
(4) Number of Ryotwari villages,
(5) Number of pattas
(6) Land Revenue (~~Ryotwari~~)
(7) Number of revenue papers disposed of.

Vide page 10 of
G.O. 711 d. 31-10-95

(R.
17 mar 97)

Statement showing the rank of taluks according to Land Revenue
(All Taluks in Godavari, Kistna and Tanjore and the 1st & 2nd Class taluks in other districts.)

Taluks, their present grading & the Dts in which they are.	Land Revenue	Rank according to Land Revenue	Rank according to the total of Land Revenue, Abkari & income tax Rev.	Rank according to Weight
Ramachandrapuram I (Godavari)	1,190,981	1	2	4 +
Kumbaconum I (Tanjore)	1,189,750	2	1	3 +
Repalle I (Kistna)	1,176,516	3	3	10
Nannilam II (Tanjore)	1,009,624	4	4	18
Bapatla II (Kistna)	884,925	5	7	16
Tanjore I (Tanjore)	875,423	6	6	5 +
Chidambaram II (S. Arcot)	821,121	7	11	15
Mayavaram III (Tanjore)	798,193	8	9	13
Narsapur II (Godavari)	772,062	9	10	19
Nellore I (Nellore)	710,936	10	12	11
Amalapuram III (Godavari)	692,766	11	14	32
Gudivada II (Kistna)	675,944	12	13	41
Tindivanam I (S. Arcot)	672,172	13	17	12
Tanukus III (Godavari)	639,592	14	15	[illegible]
Trichinopoly I (Trichinopoly)	638,759	15	8	1
Villupuram II (S. Arcot)	585,961	16	19	14
Bhimavaram IV (Godavari)	559,973	17	21	48
Mannargudi IV (Tanjore)	547,887	18	22	33
Srivaikuntam I (Tinnevelly)	521,940	19	26	[illegible]
Negapatam II (Tanjore)	508,042	20	20	17
Tiruturaipundi III (Tanjore)	448,883	21	28	39
Walajapet I (N. Arcot)	440,664	22	5	6 +
Salem I (Salem)	437,541	23	16	2 +
Guntur III (Kistna)	433,832	24	25	20
Wandiwash II (N. Arcot)	432,875	25	34	47
Erode I (Coimbatore)	431,727	26	29	25
Musiri II (Trichinopoly)	422,205	27	33	[illegible]
Madurantakam II (Chingleput)	420,153	28	31	34
Palladam II (Coimbatore	419,900	29	32	21
Arcot II (N. Arcot)	399,945	30	35	35
Berhampur II (Ganjam)	392,579	31	24	8 +
Sattanapalle IV (Kistna)	391,431	32	[illegible]	[illegible]

Statement showing the rank of taluks according to Land Revenue.
(All Taluks in Godavari, Kistna and Tanjore and the 1st & 2nd Class taluks in other districts) (Contd.)

Taluks	Land Revenue	Rank according to Land Revenue.	Rank according to the total of Land Revenue, Abkari & Income tax Revenue	Rank according to Weight.
Narasaraopet III (Kistna)	370,669	33	39	30
Bandar IV (Kistna)	369,647	34	23	24
Shujali V (Tanjore)	365,942	35	37	52
Palnad III (Kistna)	360,177	36	42	37
Bellary II (Bellary)	357,423	37	18	7 +
Srivilliputtur II (Tinnevelly)	352,950	38	43	43
Gudur II (Nellore)	347,790	39	41	46
Tinnevelly II (Tinnevelly)	333,921	40	38	23
Ponnani I (Malabar)	320,423	41	44	26
Madura I (Madura)	307,439	42	27	9 +
Tiruchengôd II (Salem)	288,949	43	46	40
Palghat II (Malabar)	285,195	44	47	44
Ellore IV (Godavari)	276,132	45	30	31
Cuddapah II (Cuddapah)	275,827	46	40	28
Koilkuntla II (Kurnool)	270,913	47	49	42
Namakal II (Salem)	226,101	48	48	37
Rajahmundry IV (Godavari)	224,133	49	45	45
Nandigama V (Kistna)	202,756	50	50	48
Bezwada IV (Kistna)	183,052	51	51	50
Peddapuram V (Godavari)	171,810	52	53	54
Vinukonda V (Kistna)	168,264	53	54	55
Yernagudem V (Godavari)	140,511	54	52	53
Pattukottai III	129,288	55	55	57

Statement showing the rank of taluks according to the totals of Land Revenue, Abkari and Income Tax Revenue. (All taluks in Godavari Kistna and Tanjore and the 1st and 2nd class taluks in other districts.)

Taluks		Totals of Land Revenue Abkari Revenue and Income tax.	Rank according to the figures in Column 2.	Rank according to Land Revenue alone	Rank according to weight
1		2	3	4	5
Kumbakonam	I (Tanjore)	1, 417, 196	1		3
Ramachendrapuram	I (Godavari)	1, 314, 126	2		4
Repalle	I (Kistna)	1, 274, 385	3		10
Nannilam	II (Tanjore)	1, 129, 914	4	4	18
Walajapet	I (N. Arcot)	1, 041, 440	5	22	6
Tanjore	I (Tanjore)	1, 031, 685	6	6	5
Bapatla	II (Kistna)	962, 508	7	5	16
Trichinopoly	I (Trichinopoly)	951, 481	8	15	1
Mayavaram	II Tanjore	937, 228	9	8	13
Narsapur	II Godavari)	933, 915	10	9	19
Chidambaram	II (S. Arcot)	914, 616	11	7	15
Nellore	I (Nellore)	873, 508	12	10	11
Gudivada	II (Kistna)	831, 315	13	12	41
Amalapuram	II (Godavari)	827, 250	14	11	32
Tanuku		801, 489	15	14	29
Salem		745, 572	16	23	2
Tindivanam		742, 976	17	13	12
Bellary		697, 195	18	37	7
Villupuram	II (S. Arcot)	660, 807	19	16	14
Negapatam	II (Tanjore)	660, 313	20	20	17
Bhimavaram	IV (Godavari)	641, 622	21	17	48
Srannargudi	II (Tanjore	625, 262	22	18	33
Bandar	II (Kistna)	614, 442	23	34	24
Berhampur	II (Ganjam)	586, 675	24	31	8
Guntur	III (Kistna)	569, 721	25	24	20
Srivaikuntam	I	565, 892	26	19	22
Madura	I (a)	536, 193	27	42	9
Tirutturaipundi	III (Tanjore)	506, 567	28	21	39
Erode	I (Coimbatore)	506, 111	29	26	25
Ellore	II (Godavari)	487, 310	30	45	31
Maduranthakam	II Chingleput	485, 435	31	28	34
Palladam	II Coimbatore	484 583	32	29	21.

Statement showing the rank of taluks according to the totals of land Revenue, Abkari and Income Tax Revenue. (All taluks in Godavari, Kistna and Tanjore and the 1st and 2nd class Taluks in other districts).

Taluks.		Totals of land Revenue, abkari Revenue, and Income Tax.	Rank according to the figures in column 2.	Rank according to land Revenue alone.	Rank according to weight.
1		2	3	4	5
Tenali	II (Trichinopoly)	475, 502.	33	27	
Wandewash	II (N. Arcot).	457, 378	34	25	47
Arcot.	— do —	452, 222	35	30	35
Sattenapalle	IV (Kistna)	449, 924	36	32	50
Shiyali	V (Tanjore)	448, 255	37	35	52
Tinnevelly	II (Tinnevelly)	436, 874	38	40	23
Narasaraopet	III (Kistna)	426, 758	39	33	30
Cuddapah	II (Cuddapah)	418, 109	40	46	28
Gudur	II (Nellore)	395, 231	41	39	46
Palnad	III (Kistna)	391, 564	42	36	37
Srivilliputtur	III (Tinnevelly)	378, 428	43	38	43
Ponnani	I (2)	368, 593	44	41	26
Rajahmundry	IV (Godavari)	367, 684	45	49	45
Tiruchengode	II (Salem)	355, 221	46	43	40
Palghat	III (Malabar)	341, 806	47	44	44
Namakkal	II [illegible]	300, 657	48	48	37
Kalkuntla	nurnool)	296, 494	49	47	42
Nandigama	II (Kistna)	269, 598	50	50	48
Berwada	IV (Kistna)	243, 245	51	51	50
Jyrnagudem	V (Godavari)	240, 210	52	54	53
Peddapuram	— do —	230, 508	53	52	54
Vinukonda	V (Kistna)	186, 146	54	53	55
Pattukkottai.	III (Tanjore).	179, 769	55	55	51.

C

Tahulls. 1	Population according to the census of 1891 2	Total occupied area in acres 3	Number of Pattas in fasli 1305 4	Land Revenue 5 Rs	Abkari Revenue 6 Rs	Income-tax 7 Rs	Sixth root of the multiple of each cols: 2 to 7, or the weight of each tahulu 8	Rank according to weight 9	Rank according to Land Revenue 10	Rank according to total revenues 11
Districts										
Trichinopoly I (Trichinopoly)	360,829 ~~330,220~~ 270,210	178,306	38,385	638,759	278,832	33,840	156,840 ~~[illegible]~~ 149,460	1	15	8
Salem I (Salem)	417,379 349669	257,487	40,169	437,541	283,173	24,858	153,917 149,323	2	23	16
Kumbaconam I (Tanjore)	377,658 323351	142,181	30,230	1,189,750	199,182	28,264	149,183 145,038	3	2	1
Ramachandrapuram I (Godavari)	261,184	116,656	18,174	1,190,981	112,008	11,137	142,462	4	1	2
Tanjore I (Tanjore)	410,447 356057	202,113	51,467	875,423	143,603	12,659	137,624 134,401	5 6	6	6
Walajapet I (N. Arcot)	239,302 228817	159,548	32,206	440,664	581,034	19,742	135,596 135,493	6 5	22	5
Bellary II (Bellary)	180,353 120886	328,650	18,019	357,423	305,749	34,023	124,878 116,823	7	37	18
Berhampur II (Ganjam)	323,474 297821	165,176	26,392	392,579	173,062	21,034	112,386 110,850	8 9	31	24
Madura II (Madura)	261,195 173767	112,133	26,540	307,439	195,537	33,217	108,158 101,043	9 8	42	27
Repalle I (Kistna)	222,757	241,912	31,160	1,176,516	89,049	8,820	107,596	10	3	3
Nellore I (Nellore)	197,912	163,536	19,683	710,936	141,539	21,033	105,349	11	10	12
Tindivanam I (S. Arcot)	316,018	285,809	57,460	672,172	65,169	5,635	104,224	12	13	17
Mayavaram III (Tanjore)	244,835	139,760	22,915	798,193	125,222	13,803	101,554	13	8	9
Villupuram II (S. Arcot)	301,746	204,589	54,541	585,961	67,327	7,519	99,976	14	16	19
Chidambaram II (S. Arcot)	282,275	179,692	43,696	821,121	87,701	5,794	98,704	15	7	11
Bapatla II (Kistna)	181,940	242,123	25,819	884,925	64,792	12,791	97,022	16	5	7
Negapatam II (Tanjore)	220,348 161127	105,735	18,243	508,042	124,779	27,492	95,121 90,290	17 19	20	20
Nannilam II (Tanjore)	215,907	145,750	26,0[illegible]	1,009,624	112,492	7,798	94,786	18 17	4	4
Narasapur II (Godavari)	230,325	118,426	163[illegible]	772,062	149,908	11,945	92,227	17 18	9	10
Guntur II (Kistna)	166,817	210,581	1864[illegible]	433,832	120,747	15,142	89,656	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

Note The red ink entries in columns 2 & 8 exclude the municipal population.

Taluks. 1.		Population according to the census of 1891. 2.	Occupied area in acres. 3.	Number of pattas in force in 1305. 4.	Land Revenue. 5.	Abkari Revenue 6.	Income-Tax. 7.	Sixth root of the multiple of columns 2 & 7 or the weight of each taluk. 8.	Rank according to Land Revenue.	Rank according to Land Revenue & Abkari Revenue.	Rank according to Land Revenue, Abkari Revenue & Income-Tax.
Palladam	II (Coimbatore)	270, 390	377, 169	33, 787.	419, 900	58596	6087.	89560	21	29	32
Srivaikuntam (Tinnevelly)	I (Tinnevelly)	287, 603	228, 445	44, 856	521, 940.	35496	8456	87915	22	19	26
Tinnevelly	II (Do)	184, 728	133, 608	20, 700	333, 921.	78021	24932	83207	23	46	38
Bandar	IV. (Kistna)	198, 384	84, 395	13, 087.	369, 647	229278	15517.	81271	24	34	23
Erode	I (Coimbatore)	247, 008	292, 050	31, 403	431, 727.	70448	3936	80454	25	26	29
Ponnani	I (Malabar)	449, 290	121, 600	46, 453	320, 423.	41186	6984	78501	26	41	44
Dharapuri	II (Trichinopoly)	282, 419	226, 976	44, 233	422, 205	49573	3704	77691	27	27	33
Cuddapah	II Cuddapah	154, 899	141 367.	23, 866	275, 827	132054.	10228	76142	28	46	40
Tanjore.	III Godavari	204, 048	80, 543	20 : 0 12 582	639, 592.	153161.	8736	74877	29	14	15
Narasaraopet	II (Kistna)	156, 377.	235, 702	20, 403	370, 669.	42356	13733	73662	30	33	39
Ellore	IV (Godavari)	171, 985	127, 129.	8103	276, 132	195284	15894	73040	31	45	30
Amalapuram	III (Godavari)	256, 081	79, 484	10, 009.	692, 766	126084	8400	72842	32	11	14
Mannargudi	IV (Tanjore)	187, 434	123, 564.	22, 430	547 887	70086	7289	72515	33	18	22
Madurantakam	II (Chingleput)	263, 137	146, 870.	19, 327.	420 153	58418	6864	70750	34	28	31
Arcot.	II (N. Arcot)	175, 580	124, 186	32, 469.	399 945	57340	5939	67714	35	30	35
Sattenapalle.	IV (Kistna)	138, 617	247, 696	19, 706	391 431	51681	6812.	67339	36	32	36
Palnad.	III (Kistna)	142, 011	226, 753.	27 158	360 177	32,206	9181	67326	37	36	42
Namakkal.	II (Salem)	300, 047	130, 079.	20 909	226 101	67331	7225	66529	38	48	48
Tiruttuvaippuri	III (Tanjore)	180, 308	144, 541.	22, 37	448 883	57866	5818	65535	39	21	28
Tiruchengode	II (Salem)	248, 679.	186 904	22, 50	288 949	62544	3728	64272	40	43	46
Gudivada.	II (Kistna)	118, 310.	143, 711.	15, 85	675 944	49971	5400	60529	41	42	45

Koilkuntla	II (Kurnool)	86544	133341	12366	270913	21055	5526	59635	42	47	49		
Srivilliputhur	II (Tinneveley)	190517	182304	36516	352950	20846	4632	59241	43	38	43		
Palghat	II (Malabar)	372133	122240	4899	285195	40250	16361	58924	44	44	47		
Rajahmundry	IV (Godavari)	145789	104828	4985	224133	124822	18729	58461	45	49	45		
Gudur	II (Nellore)	186009	124531	13449	347790	40143	7298	56270	46	39	41		
Wandiwash	II (N. Arcot)	177534	151215	35060	432875	21143	3360	55411	47	25	34		
Nandigama	V (Kistna)	126701	181759	9098	202756	55262	11580	54835	48	50	50		
Bhimavaram	IV (Godavari	121994	80828	11008	559973	74674	7075	54551	49	17	21		
Bezwada	IV (Kistna)	106477	67746	6366	183052	149052	11141	49069	50	51	51		
Pattukottai	III (Tanjore)	271374	60519	13646	129288	41267	9214	47040	51	55	55		
Shiyali	V (Tanjore)	119803	72774	9016	365942	78726	3587	44835	52	35	37		
Yernagudem	V (Godavari)	136209	107302	6247	140511	93952	5747	43661	53	54	52		
Peddapuram	V (Godavari)	137688	95931	4156	171810	51365	7332	38912	54	52	53		
Vinukonda	V (Kistna)	82445	137155	13108	168264	12444	5438	34505	55	53	54		

Note. The mangalore taluk (III class) is omitted from this statement as its occupied area is not known. The fifth root of the ~~sum~~ product of the other 5 items is 91475.

23/4/97

revenue

s

× The Hon. Mr G. Stokes

and

I doubt agree – Total Area is certainly a factor in determining the amount of work & it seems to me clear that the more villages there are, & therefore the more separately Karnams each with their separate sets of accounts, the more work the Tahr. must have. BS

1. Population
2. occupied area
3. Number of Pattas
4. Land Revenue
5. Income tax revenue
6. Abkari revenue.

I doubt if equal weight should be attached to each of these factors. For instance, a taluk may have a good deal of Zemindari in it (e.g. Salem), which adds to population but does not give much work. Again, it is not so much the amt. of the Abkari revenue as the way in which it is raised that affects work. The system of the separate sale of Shops & the T. T. system must have greatly increased the work of Tahrs. However, in this respect they are by this time mostly on a par, as these systems have now been introduced into almost the whole Presidency. It is not necessary, however, to consider the matter in detail at present. It is clear to me that many Tahrs. must be overworked, for I incline to think that as a rule a Land Rev. of 5 lakhs is as much as a Tahr. can reasonably be required to deal with – In my opinion it is bad

Economy to give Tahrs more to do than they can possibly do properly. I believe that with smaller Taluks they wd collect more revenue. Indeed, I believe & have often said that it would pay to have a covenanted officer in charge of every Taluk. The refce to the Bd. should certainly be made but I would put it so that it may be apparent that we are not desirous of juggling with the classes of Taluks or contemplating the appt. of more Dy Tahrs, but think that we want more Taluks.

BS
24/4/97

I agree
JG
25/4/97

Dr. Sc.
27/4/97

CP.
19 apr 97

24/4/97.

G O Mis. No. 22338/3rd May 97.

The amount of revenue that has to be collected in some of the taluks in the Godavari, Kistna, Tanjore and a few other districts ~~appears to be~~ is so large, that it must be very difficult for the Tahsildars concerned to effectively supervise its collection and the Govt considers that it would be advisable to split up some of the taluks, creating ~~[illegible]~~ additional ones, if necessary, so that no taluk charge may be heavier than can be ~~[illegible]~~ efficiently managed by a single officer of the Tahsildar's grade.

The Board will be requested to consider the point and submit

[illegible] thinks that what is wanted that the Trav. charge [illegible] to that he can himself [illegible] of it & that the necessities [illegible] the position [illegible] by creation of more Dr. Trav.

in consultation with the collectors concerned.

[illegible]

From orders

[illegible]

29 apr 97

To the Bd of Rev (L.R.)

Dec. 7-5-97

...en for copying _____
...pied _____
...examined _____
...signed _____ } 189

...O., No DATED

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only