

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2223

DATED 2^d Decr

[Despatch Abstract.]

Progs. of the P.M.S. authorizing
the Examiner of P.M. Accounts to admit
the expenditure incurred on the work
entered in the completion report of the
Mellore Division for Decr 1896. —
Communicated to the Board of Revenue

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1897} of Madras. PUBLIC WORKS.

227
2/12

Recd.

26 Nov

1897.

Regd.

26 -

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 989 I., 10th November 1897.

NOVEMBER.

Communicated to the Board
of Revenue in continuation of
G.O. No 1039 Rev, dated 25 May 94

List.

11/11/97

To
17 The Board of Rev (R.S.)
Des. 4-12-97

Expenditure

11/11/97

Authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts to admit the — incurred on
the work entered in the completion report of the Nellore division for December 1896.

K.P.S.
27-11-97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From R. N. H. REID, Esq., Executive Engineer, Nellore division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 29th January 1897, No. 351 S.E.

In submitting herewith copy of completion statement for December 1896, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the excess outlay of Rs. 263 incurred on the estimate for land compensation, Survapalli canal.

2. The excess is due to payment of higher rates by the Revenue Department than what was estimated, the lands being mostly inam.

ENCLOSURE.

NELLORE Division—Monthly report of works completed during the month of December 1896.

Item number.	Class number of estimate.	Name of estimate.	Sanction. Authority, number and date.	Amount of estimate.	Expended.	Saving.	Excess.	Percentage of excess, if any.
91	49	Survapalli canal, land compensation ..	G.O., No. 382 I., dated 11th May 1894.	Rs. 1,705	Rs. 1,968	..	Rs. 263	16

* Due to higher rates paid by the Revenue Department for inam lands together with percentage charges.

No. 680 N.L.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favour of obtaining the sanction of Government to the excess of Rs. 263 over the estimate of Rs. 1,705 sanctioned in G.O., No. 382 I., dated 11th May 1894, for compensation for land taken up for Survapalli canal.

6th February 1897.

(Signed) W. M. ELLIS, Ex. Engr.,
for Supg. Engineer, II Circle.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 12th February 1897, No. 12 N.L.

From L. L. WICKHAM, Esq., Executive Engineer, Nellore division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 2nd August 1897, No. 151 S.E.

With reference to your No. 737 N.L., dated 20th February 1897, on the Chief Engineer's memo., No. II/12 N.L., dated 12th February 1897, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of this office letter, No. 1047 M., dated 5th March 1897, to the Collector and his reply, No. 429/Ver. of 10th July 1897, enclosing Head-quarter Deputy Collector's explanation for the excess.

2. The completion report under reference is herewith resubmitted.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Executive Engineer, Nellore division, to the Collector of Nellore, dated 5th March 1897, No. 1047 M.

In forwarding herewith copy of Chief Engineer's memo., No. II/12 N.L., dated 12th February 1897, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to submit, for the information of Government, some explanation for the necessity for payment of larger amount, under land compensation for Survapalli canal than what was estimated by you.

2. The amount estimated for in your No. 618/Camp, dated 30th March 1894, for 75 cents of land in field Nos. 503 and 504 was only Rs. 666. But the amounts paid by you for the same are as follows:—

Award number.	Field.	Extent.	Amount paid.
No. 70, dated 22nd October 1896	..	..	..
No. 27, dated 20th December 1894	..	..	..
No. 115, dated 3rd July 1895	..	..	..
Total	..	0.74	885 9 8

3. A further extent of 10 cents of land in field No. 1495 as per award statement No. 25 of 20th April 1896, not included in the estimate, has been paid Rs. 44-4-5. The estimate was thus exceeded by Rs. 264.

4. In this connection I have to point out that money compensation was paid by you for 10 cents in field No. 1495 instead of exchange of land promised in your No. 191/Camp, dated 27th February 1893.

From E. SCOTT, Esq., Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Executive Engineer, Nellore division, dated 11th July 1897, No. 429/Ver.

With reference to your letter No. 1047 M. of 5th March 1897, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 2571 H., dated 29th June 1897 from my Head-quarter Deputy Collector, which gives reasons for the increased compensation paid.

Copy of Head-quarter Deputy Collector's No. 2571 H., dated 29th June 1897, to the Collector of Nellore.

With reference to your memo., Ref. No. 429/Ver., dated 22nd May 1897, I have the honour to state that the probable value of the land entered in column 11 of the draft declaration represents only the cost of land estimated at Rs. 1½ an akanam, and does not include the value of the trees standing thereon and percentage allowance, while the amount awarded includes them as shown in the annexed statement.

Item number.	Survey number.	Extent taken up.	Estimated value as per declaration.			Amount awarded.		
			Land.	Trees.	Percentage.	Land.	Trees.	Percentage.
Item No. I	504	0-18 or 102 akanams.	Rs. 153	..	..	Rs. 204	Rs. 21 1 10	Rs. 83 12 3
" " II	503 504	0-39 0-18 0-57 or 342 akanams.	513	..	..	513	31 15 8	81 11 11

Item No. 1.—The land taken up is part of the backyard of the dwelling house of one K. Seshacharlu, containing a garden and a small cottage. The prices of house sites in the locality vary from Rs. 4 to 2 per akanam, and it was not without a struggle that the party at last agreed to take Rs. 2 an akanam which is moderate. The trees were valued by the District Forest-officer and his valuation was accepted. Site No. 2 is not a back of any house, nor is it conveniently situated for building houses. Rs. 1½ an akanam as originally estimated was awarded, and the same was confirmed by the District Judge (vide copy of judgment enclosed). In this case also the valuation of the District Forest-officer for the trees was accepted. It will thus be seen that the amount awarded in excess of the estimate for the actual cost of the land is only Rs. 51, which is not much and which is due to site No. 1 being more valuable.

No. 989 I., 10TH NOVEMBER 1897.

Cost of land
Percentage

Rs.	A.	P.
38	8	0
5	12	5
Total	44	4 5

In the third case relating to award No. 25 of 20th April 1896, land in exchange was promised, but the party being unable to select suitable land in objectionable locality, consented to take money and the amount, as shown in the margin, was paid in consideration of the situation and value of land.

No. 303 N.L.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with reference to his No. II/12 N.L., dated 12th February 1897, with copies of Executive Engineer, Nellore, No. 1047 M., dated 5th March 1897, to the Collector of Nellore, and that officer's reply No. 429/Ver. of 11th July 1897.

The explanation given does not seem to be satisfactory, for an estimate of the cost of land should include all charges, such as percentage, value of trees, &c., which it is known must be eventually paid.

13th August 1897.

(Signed)

W. L. C. BADDELEY, Major, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, II Circle.

No. 232 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

In G.O., No. 382 I., dated 11th May 1894, an estimate of Rs. 1,705 for compensation for land taken up for improvements to the Survapalli canal, Penner anicut of Nellore.

2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, having, with his endorsement, that Rs. 263 more than the above estimate was paid for the land, he was asked to submit some explanation from the Collector as to the necessity for paying for the land at higher rates than originally estimated.

3. The Collector explains that the excess is partly due to the sanctioned estimate not having included the value of the trees standing on the land and percentage allowance, and partly to money compensation having been paid in lieu of land in exchange as originally proposed.

4. The Superintending Engineer, in submitting the Collector's explanation, remarks that it does not seem satisfactory, for an estimate of the cost of land should include all charges which it is known must be eventually paid.

5. In the circumstances reported, the excess may be passed.

30th September 1897.

(Signed)

W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER—No. 989 I., dated 10th November 1897.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to admit the expenditure incurred on the work entered in the completion report of the Nellore division for December 1896.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 112 I. of 1897-98.

(True Extract.)

[Signature]
Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

With 2 copies

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

READ WITH DUTTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.F.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.