

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No: 2219

DATED 3rd Mar

[Despatch Abstract.]

coming to the court of Wards with regard
to the observations in re the loan of the
services of K. Suryanarayana temporary
employed as Rev Insp in the P. H.
Kinnedy Estate.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2472-0-35						
	= 0009						
	15-3-17.						

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.



From *The Secretary*
to the Comptroller and Auditor General.

Order

No. 466 Ans.

Dated 23rd March 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures


Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks for the sanction of Govt. application for the loan of the services of K. Suryanarayana temporarily as a Rev. Inspector in the Poda Kinneri Estate.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date
	1825		4639
	1556		7-11-1
	19-160		155
			9-1-
			16

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Dated 23^d March — 1897

No. 466 Ans

READ Letter from the Collector of Ganjam Refers C No.
1003
ew. /96 dated 1st March 1897.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of
Government.

2. The services of Narini Suryanaraya-
na, an attender in the Gangam collector's
office on Rs 8 per mensem, were lent to the
Comptrolward under Government Order
1825 Revenue dated 8th May 1894 for employ-
ment as clerk in the Pettakimedi mana-
ger's office on Rs 15 per mensem. He was
subsequently appointed to act as a Revenue

Suspect

Inspector in the same estate on a salary of Rs 20 per mensem, temporarily from 1st April to 3rd June 1896: but the sanction of Government for the payment of pensionary contribution on the increased salary was not applied for. This was pointed out to the Collector by this office: and the present application is the result.

3. Under the impression that the delegation of authority made in Government Order No. 886 Revenue dated 19th September 1891, does not cover cases which have to be dealt with under clause (2) of Rule IV of Article 806 (as recently amended) of the Civil Service Regulations, the Court resolves to submit this case for the orders of Government and recommends that in view of the fact that the duties of a Revenue Inspector are more onerous and responsible than those of an attender in the Collector's office, the payment of contribution on the increased salary calculated with reference to Articles 120, 821 & 823 of the Civil Service

Regulations

Regulations may be sanctioned.

H. Suryanarayana has since reverted to his permanent post in the Manager's office.

4. The orders of Government are also requested as to whether the authority, delegated to the Board in the undermentioned Government Orders may be exercised in cases falling under Rule IV (2) of Article 806 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Government Order No. 886 Rev. dated 19th September 1891.
— " — " — 660 Rev. dated 23rd November 1896.

Vide G.O.
No. 4639 Rev.
17-11-96

5. Attention is ^{invited} solicited to the remarks of the Accountant General in his letters of the 29th September 1896 No. $\frac{S.A. 2}{212c}$ and 23rd October 1896, No. $\frac{S.A. 2}{282c}$ and to Government Order No. 15 Revenue dated 9th January 1897 from which it will be seen that the sanction of the Local Government is at present

sent

necessary in such cases.

(True Extract)

Receives
Secretary

To.

The Secretary to Govt. R.O.

thru' the Accounts Dept.

with one letter (to be put in.)

See

No. 958
12C

Fort St. George
Accountant General's Office
8th April '97

Submitted. H. Suryanarayana who has already been serving in the Poddakurichi Managers Office on a sanctioned salary of Rs. 15/- was appointed to act as Revenue Inspector in the same Estate with effect from 1st April '96. The pay of the appointment is Rs. 20/- and he acted in it from 1 April to 3 June '96 both days inclusive and paid contribution to Government on his salary of Rs. 19 (Pay Rs. 15 + Acting allowance Rs. 4) at one sixth thereof or Rs. 3. 2. 8 a month. By reference to Article 120 in the Courts Proceedings, it is presumed that Suryanarayana acted in a vacancy caused by the absence of the permanent incumbent on Privilege leave. If so his claim to an acting allowance for the first 30 days of his acting appointment would be barred by Article 120 of the Civil Service Regulations and he would be ineligible for paying pensionary contribution at a rate higher than formerly. Further the payment of contribution at the increased rate was unauthorized since his transfer to the post of Revenue Inspector was not sanctioned by Government. It cannot now be sanctioned with retrospective effect as such sanction would be contrary to the ruling in the Government of India letter No. 360 dated 6 November 1890.

As regards the delegation of powers it is pointed out that there is nothing in Article 806 of the Civil Service Regulations authorizing a local Government to delegate the powers in the same way as the Madras Government has done in the marginally quoted orders under the authority noted in it by the note under Article 815 of the Regulations. Hence the request made by the Court of Wards can be complied with only with the sanction of the Government of India.

As regards the temporary increase of salary proposed for Suryanarayana it is observed that if the local Government is satisfied that the case falls under clause 2 of Article 806 (18) of the Regulations, there can be no objection to sanction being accorded to the proposal.

W. Michael

Offg. Accountant General
to the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

G.O. No. 886 and 660 Rev
of 19. 9. 91 and
23. 11. 96 respectively

12 3/4

9/3-57

The Hon Mr Goss CE

JG
2/5/97

In the court of Wards }
with letter }
AG. }
64.7-5-97

2

2/4-97

Read case 3101 of 97
E.O. mis no. 2219 of 3rd May 97.
The AG's remarks
will be communi-
cated to the court
of Wards.

2. With reference to
paras 4 and 5 of the
court's Pro^s read above,
the Govt considers
that such cases of
temporary transfer
as fall under Rule
iv (2) of art 806 of the
C.S.R. ~~may~~^{should} continue
to be submitted for
~~the court's~~ its order.

1/4/97

Note

Cases in which
the transfer to foreign
service does not exceed
six months and in
which the salary
exceeds the limit pre-
scribed in Rule iv (2)

of act 806 of the C.S.R
and not likely to occur
often and it is pre-
sumed, therefore,
that it is unnecessary
to address the Govt
of India, requesting
that the local Govt
maybe authorized
to delegate its powers
of sanction in such
cases to the Board
of Revenue.

18-
26 Apr 97
1974/57

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only