

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2189

DATED 29 Nov.

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Vijaya Raghava Pillay, late Karanam
of Yegyal Village, Sinduram Taluk, South Arcot
District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies
to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	10970		97				
	43 R						
	15-1-78						

Pub.
29.
2,000-5-8

Rev. Public (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 10020
4268



From Vijia Raghava Pillai,
of Geyzil village,
Tindivanam Taluk
S. Arcot district.
No.

Order

Dated

Received 11
Registered 12 } 11.97
Enclosures 20.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for a decision
in his case brought
against Raghava
Pillai, Daudan
of Geyzil.

Page
40.11.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The pet^r states as follows:
 His case has been
 pending from 8-4-91 the date
 of Mr. Tate's order (endorse-
 ment) on his pet^r. The
 case has ~~been extended~~ con-
 tinued till 1897. To say
 that section 13, Act II
 of 1895 applied to it is ^{not} ~~not~~
 correct. It might ^{not} have
 been disposed of ^{entirely} with
 reference to Regulation
 VI of 1831, and G. O. nos.
 1168 Rev. of 24-11-92 &
 484 Rev. of 7-6-93 and
 B. P. (L. R.) no. 670 of 23-11-93.
 The pet^r submitted the
 case for the considera-
 -tion of the Bd. of Rev. on
 three occasions but no jus-
 tice was done to him. At
 first, pet^r filed a suit in
 the Sub. Coll's Court in respect
 to Karamship of Zervil
 village and Sandari of
 Malsennambadi. It was

decided that no suit
can lie in respect of B
villages & Melvannambadi
being one of that kind
the suit was dismissed.
As regards Yeyyil being
A class village the Sub
Cm. admitted the Mirasi
right of both parties &
dismissed the Pet's claim
without going through the
records. The respondent is
a lame man & conducts
his work by engaging a
Jumastah. Another
Karasingapillai, Pet's
brother, was recommended
for the post in which he
acted once before. But
no notice was taken of this.
Madava Rao, Tahsildar
Patta, also recommended
the Pet. in vain.

Pet. appealed to C.M.
aft. the Sub C.M.'s orders.
The former dismissed the
appeal on the ground
that no suit can lie
in respect to A class village.

Pet. then appealed to the
Bd. of Rev. in vain for
his suit has been pend-
ing from 1891 to 97, the order
of 1895 quoted by the Bd.
does not apply to this case.
The delay pointed out
arose in the C.M.'s office
in granting copies of extracts.
The Act III of 1895 is not
repealed.

Pet. prays that
Govt. will not follow
the precedent set forth
by the Board in having
injustly disposed of his
Pet. The Bd. did not
take into their consideration
the fact of the petitioner's
grandfather one Tiru-
manatha Pillai in
accordance with the
Mirasipatti of Fashies
17 and 32, ~~being~~ dis-
charged the duties of the
Karnam of the amalga-
mated Selavannambadi
village for two reasons:

viz: (i) Zeyyil Seshu Pillai
being the younger brother of the
fraudfather & (ii) the
Zeyyil Selavulambadi
vattam (circle) having
been amalgamated
with Settopattu Taluk.
Respondent Raghava
Pillai was declared unfit
for service, being lame.
His brother Chinnappah
Pillai alias Narayana
Pillai has been declared
ineligible during his life-
time for his being directly
concerned in an Adampal
matter. His younger brother,
Vellaidurai Pillai alias
Tirumalai Pillai when
acting for Chinnappah
Pillai, gave out a lie that
the former was waylaid & beaten
by robbers while proceeding
to Mr's camp for Jamabundi
work. On this acct he was turned
out of Gunastak's post. Marjasa-
haya Pillai, respondent's
sister's son, is now doing the
duty. His father-in-law
Kannan of Karasingapuram,
17/11.

Chittoor district, was
sentenced to thirty months
imprisonment & a Paji-
-namah transfer.

Praying for justice
being done after perusing
all records submitted &
particularly those ^{now} in
the Mr's Office
filed in connection ^{with}
suit in his court by the
petr. The latter records
may be sent for ^{for} the per-
-sal of Govt. as well as the
-chancellor sent in by ryots in
favour of petr. Subsequent
- by.

X. Probably Rev. Suit
no. 115 of 1892.
30-1-93

Endowment of P. B.
Tate Esquire, Sub. Col.
dated 21st April 1891
recording the gift of
Ginnagiam P. B. to
the P. B. for the purpose of
educational purposes
in the village of
Capevernam, at
Applying to the P. B.
of the P. B. of the P. B.
and the P. B. of the P. B.
villages in Tindivanam
Taluk.

at
17/4/97.

The Seven Ancestral
-pillai on (p. 100)
in "Dag. 100, 101, 102"
of 25th Nov. '88)
include
Pillai's house and
field in Ranganatha
Pillai, his son
Sami Pillai, his son
Narayan Pillai, his
brother's son, Hari
-varatha Pillai, his son
Sundharaya Pillai, his
brother and sister, Na-
-gana Pillai, his son
Sukitai Pillai, his son
Narayan Pillai, his
brother's son & family
unit:—

Changraya Pillai, son
of Ranganatha Pillai,
is selected as Karanambaz
our ^{elder} ancestor inasmuch
as for six generations.

[Signature]

the family as
Venkataraya Pillai
has no issue. In

The senior branch
for Tashil / Kaya-
Sami Pillai & fort.
3rd Amaram Pillai
is shown in the records
bearing date 26-3-93
and 16-3-93 in the
Tashil office.

a True copy of the
document submitted
to the Sub Collector
25-5-96

(signed) Vijayaraghavan
Pillai.

Copy of the endorsement
No. 34908
passed on 1st 11th sub-
mitted on the 5-9-94
H.M. and received on
5-10-94 -

Petitioner being
unstamped. Petitioner
has already been dis-
posed of. If he has
superior claims, he
may appeal to the
Board. He has done
so accordingly.

18-9-96 (2) Vijayaraghavan
Pillai.

Copy of what is stated
in para 6 of G. O.
dated 24th Nov 1892,
No. 1168 Rev.

If a single village
is formed into a single
circle, the claims otherwise
instituted will be adjudicated
according to former provisions.
The points set out
above are applicable.

the family as
Venkataswami Pillai
has no issue. In

The senior branch
for Tashil / Kaya-
Sami Pillai & fort.
3rd Amaram Pillai
is shown in the records
bearing date 26-3-93
and 16-3-93 in the
Tashil office.

a True copy of the
document submitted
to the Sub Collector
25-5-96

(signed) Vijayaraghavan
Pillai.

Copy of the endorsement
No. 34908
passed on 1st Feb
mitted on the 5-9-94
H.M. and received on
5-10-94 -

Petitioner being
unstamped. Petitioner
has already been dis-
posed of. If he has
superior claims, he
may appeal to the
Board. He has done
so accordingly.

18-9-96 (2) Vijayaraghavan
Pillai.

Copy of what is stated
in para 6 of G. O.
dated 24th Nov 1892,
No. 1168 Rev.

If a single village
is formed into a single
circle, the claims otherwise
instituted will be adjudicated
according to former provisions.
The points set out
above are applicable.

taken in this light.

ad
17/11/97.

This is a mortgage deed
executed on the 18th day
of Chittirai, Quam year,
corresponding to the 23rd 97
April 1875 by Panneya
- Kott Pillai, son of Kott
- Kasam Pillai Thimma
- Lai Nutha Pillai,
Kannan and Adi-
Kannan Pillai in
residing in Geyyal
village No. 529, Tandi-
yannam Taluk, in
favour of Palani Nai-
mar, son of Sari Ann
- da Naimar of Tattai,
in the Subdistrict of
Arani, N. Circ. District
for a consideration
of Rs (50) fifty (being
49-8-0 in cash + 0-8-0
in stamp) by pledging
certain pottah lands
belonging to the mortgagor.
On demand they pro-
mise to pay the
account with interest.

...one for ...
 ...and ...
 ...his ...
 ...

63
 17/11/97-

(11)

PETITION

0010

REVENUE DEPT

CURRENT No. 177

சென்னைப் பொதுத் திருவிவரத் துறை
 ஸ்தலத்திலுள்ள சென்னை



சென்னைப் பொதுத் திருவிவரத் துறை ஸ்தலத்திலுள்ள சென்னை 17-11-97	சென்னைப் பொதுத் திருவிவரத் துறை ஸ்தலத்திலுள்ள சென்னை 17-11-97
---	---

சென்னைப் பொதுத் திருவிவரத் துறை
 ஸ்தலத்திலுள்ள சென்னை
 17-11-97

2) சென்னைப் பொதுத் திருவிவரத் துறை
 ஸ்தலத்திலுள்ள சென்னை
 17-11-97

3) சென்னைப் பொதுத் திருவிவரத் துறை
 ஸ்தலத்திலுள்ள சென்னை
 17-11-97

Handwritten notes at the top left of the page.

Handwritten notes on the left margin, including the date 17/11.

Main body of handwritten text on the left page, containing several numbered entries (1, 5, 6) and detailed notes.

Main body of handwritten text on the right page, continuing the numbered entries and notes.

- [illegible]

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

Handwritten text at the top left of the page.

14-8-97... 14-9-97... 2328...
Handwritten text in the top left section.

(14) 2521... 2-6-96...
Handwritten text in the middle left section.

(15) 21-11-92... 7-6-93...
Handwritten text in the middle left section.

(16) 11-5-92... 11-5-92...
Handwritten text in the middle left section.

(17) 22-7-97...
Handwritten text in the bottom left section.

Handwritten text in the top right section.

(18) 6-5-97... 19-11-92...
Handwritten text in the middle right section.

(19) 22-1-90... 2573...
Handwritten text in the bottom right section.

၂-၁၁-၈၇ အစောတမူ၍

$\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{23} (1197)$.