

23.11.05

29-8-21

25-7-21

Revenue (1897) Department.

muco
ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2145

DATED 29th April

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the writing off the accounts
of the sum of Rs 196-10-11 reported to be
irrecoverable under the loans set in the
Memorandum.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3136



The Hon. Mr. Govt. C. Sec.

From

The Board of Revenue,
Rev. Sett. L. & Land Agri:
Madras

No. 2649 mis:

Order mis. no. 21458/29th April 1897

In the circumstances
reported, sanction is accorded for
writing off the accounts the sum
of Rs - 196.10.11 reported to be
irrecoverable under the Loans Act.

Dated 9th April 1897.

Received 10-4-97

Registered 18-4-97

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

21/4/97

To

The Secy. of Rev. Es. with encls.
The Audit Genl.

Rs
20-4-97

20-4-97

SUBJECT.

Loans

Requesting the sanction
of Government for writing
off the accounts the sum of
Rs 196-10-11 reported to be
irrecoverable under
Act in the Kurnool district.


20/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 9th April 1897.
No. 2679 ms.

READ

The following letter from the Collector of Kurnool, dated 10th March 1897 Ref: on Periodical no: 120/Ver of 96:-

In the year 1891-92 the grant of a loan of Rs 350 in 2 equal instalments was sanctioned under the special well rules for the construction of a well in Survey no: 100 of Eucabalem village, Cumbam Taluk with an ayacut of 6.71 acres to one Chegireddi Peddave of the same village on the security of fields Nos 99 and 100.

2. The Special Revenue Inspector B. Subramaniam Iyer had reported that the lands offered as security were

were worth Rs 400 and recommended that a loan of Rs 300 might be granted, but Mr Rky. S. Venkatasama Rao Naidu Esq, the District Deputy Collector, sanctioned the grant of Rs 350 the amount applied for, directing in his Proceedings No: 416 dated 29th July 1892 that "fresh security should be taken for the second instalment unless the work done with the first instalment together with the security now offered is then considered sufficient". The first instalment was paid on 2-9-92 and on the report of the Revenue Inspector C. Subbarao to the effect that work to the value of Rs 270-7-9 had been executed the second instalment was paid by the Tahsildar Mr Rky. Y. Narayana Rao on 12-2-94.

3. The well not having been completed within the period prescribed, an order was passed on 23-7-95 for the

the summary recovery from the borrower of the amount due by him viz. Rs 293-13-0 (Rs 350 principal and Rs 43-13-0 interest). This resulted in the realization of Rs 197-2-1 (Rs 52-8-0 by the sale of the movables and Rs 144-10-1 by the sale of the lands pledged) and the Tahsildar of Annabam recommends that the balance of Rs 196-10-11 may be written off the accounts as irrecoverable, as the borrower has since died leaving no property.

4. The present Deputy Collector of Annabam considers that the Tahsildar Mr Rky. Y. Narayana Rao is to blame for not having taken additional security at the time of the payment of the second instalment. The latter officer explains that as the value of work done plus the security originally taken then came to Rs 650 or nearly

twice the amount of the loan was sanctioned, he considered it unnecessary to insist on fresh security being given, and he certainly seems to have proceeded in accordance with the Deputy Collector's order of 1892.

5. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to recommend that the sum of Rs 196-10-11 (152-13-11 principal and Rs 43-13-0 interest) may be written off the accounts as irrecoverable.

6. The records connected with the case, the Deputy Collector's Proceedings, the explanations of M.D. Rg. of Narayana Row and B. Subramaniam Aiyar and true translations of the explanation of C. Subba Row and of his Azgi No. 65 dated 10th Feb 1894 are herewith enclosed for perusal.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government
2. A sum of Rs 350 was granted under the special Well Rules in two instalments in 1891-92 to one Chegrieddi Peddabbi of Zorabalem village of Ambam taluk in Kurnool district, for the construction of a well on the security of certain lands estimated at Rs 400. The borrower having failed to complete the well within the time allowed, order was issued for the summary recovery of the loan with interest thereon. The available moveable property and the lands pledged as security were proceeded against and sold for Rs 197-2-1. In crediting this amount, the Collector appears to have credited the full amount to 'principal' instead of first crediting to 'interest' the amount due under that head and the balance to

to 'principal'. The balance that remained according to the Collector's adjustment was Rs 152-13-11 under 'principal' and Rs 43-13-0 under 'interest' or Rs 196-10-11.

The Collector reports that there is no means of realizing this amount.

3. The lands were ~~ore~~ evidently overvalued at the first instance and no additional security was taken before the grant of the second instalment of the loan, as the value of the lands originally estimated plus the value of the work done was thought a sufficient security for the second instalment. The then Revenue Inspector, who is responsible for the valuation of the land explains that he was new to the work and that he based his estimate on the valuation set by the Kurnam and some

respectable inhabitants of the village and that if the work had been completed, the land with the well should have at least fetched the amount of the loan.

4. In these circumstances, the Board requests that sanction may be accorded for writing off the accounts the sum of Rs 196-10-11 reported to be irrecoverable.

(True Copy & Extract)

L. P. Samudra
Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
with enclosures (to be retained).

Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.

94-97