

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 212

DATED 14th June 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sancty ~~extraordinary~~ ^{the re-arrangement of certain} the
village munsiff's charges in the
Eudiyatan taluk and a revision
of their establishment.


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	787/77						

Revenue (1896) Department.



CURRENT No. 9508

The Hon Mr. G. S. C. B.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras.

Order:

The calls & proposals which are supported by the Board of Revenue are approved and sanctioned

No. 5636 Misc.

Dated 19th December 1896.

Received 23.12.96

Registered 24.12.96

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting, to Government with the Board's support letter from the Collector of North Arcot recommending the re-arrangement of certain village Munsif's charges in the Gudiyatham Taluk and a revision of their establishments.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ag.

F.D.

8/12/97

8/12/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
BP. 80	28-2-96		
726	20-12-88		
537	27-6-89		
587	28-7-90		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,000
16-7-46



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

No. 5026 Misc., dated 19th December 1896.

Read letter from S.H. Wynne Esquire, Collector of North Arcot,
to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras
September
Ref on G.O. Nos. 1088 & 1136/ver of '96, dated 3rd-December 1896
And the following Reference thereon dated 18th September
1896.

The Collector is requested to explain the necessity for providing a vetli in addition to a taliari for the Melmangupam village which has only a beriz of Rs. 353 and a population of 153. The proposed Melmoyil-Melmangupam group is entitled to only ~~one~~ vetli under the sanctioned scale.

Only one taliari is proposed for the Mangupam village for which 2 taliaris are admissible under the scale. It is presumed that one taliari is sufficient to meet the requirements of the village.

In the Collector's statements dealt with in Board's Proceedings No. 80, dated 28th February 1896, the present pay of the karnam of the Melmoyil-Melmangupam group is shown as Rs. 7. The sum of Rs. 6 entered in the statement now under consideration is presumably a clerical error.

The note in the "remarks" column of the Collector's proposition statement assumes that the district scale for taliari is to be applied to each survey village in a group. But it will be seen from paras 5 & 25 of the Collector's letter dealt with in Board's Proceedings No. 726, dated 20th December 1888 that the scale should be applied to the kunsilf group as a whole.

Also letter from the Collector of North Arcot, Ref. on Gov. No. 1136/C of 1906, dated 5th December 1896.

Resolution

The Collector of North Arcot recommends the re-arrangement of certain Munsif's charges in the Gully than Taluk, as shown in the margin, as the villages were not properly grouped at the revision owing to a mistake made regarding the revenue and population of some of the villages concerned. The proposal involves no increase over the sanctioned expenditure.

2. For the village of Melwagupam which, it is proposed to place in charge of the Munsif of Melnoyil, the Collector recommends the provision of a special taliaari on account of the hills in the neighbourhood. On the other hand the sanctioned pay of the Munsif of Melnoyil has to be reduced from Rs. 7 to Rs. 6 with reference to the revised basis of the group.

3. The Board supports the Collector's proposals which involve an additional expenditure of Rs. 3 per mensem.

(True Copy & Extract)

K. W. Ramakrishna
21 DEC 1896
Asstt. Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt. P. D., with 2 letters & statement to be returned.

A. R. H.

Village	Revenue	Population	Special Taliaari	Sanctioned Pay	Proposed Pay
51 Melwagupam	1474	1513	1	7	6
52 Melwagupam	353	1074	1	7	6
53 Melwagupam	1136	4193	1	7	6
54 Melwagupam	1169		1	7	6
55 Melwagupam	930		1	7	6

2-9/1/97
R. S. S.

To the Board
with Encl.
H. G.
F. D.
Des-18-197

Read case 9508 of 9
C. O. No. 212 of 1897

The collector's proposals which are supported by the Board of Revenue are approved and sanctioned.

2. It is presumed that in the statement given on the margin of para 1 of the B. P., the entry of two veltis and one taliaari against the Marukambut machamer group is a clerical error for one velti and two taliaaris which is the staff applied for by the collector.

18-1-97
7 Jan 97

18-1-97