

Revenue (1897) Department.

Misc.
ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2112

DATED 27th April

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing K. Muthiah, late clerk
in the Dy. Tahsildar's office at Pilem,
Cuddalore Dt. that the orders already
passed in his case are final.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C No 6392/97							

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3

Secy

From the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)

Misc No 2112 of 27th April '97
Order

No. 1405 Kris.

The petitioner will be informed that the orders already passed in his case are final and that further petitions from him on the subject will remain unnoticed by Govt.

Dated 13th April 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government petition from R. Krishnaiah, praying for a reconsideration of the order of Government passed in the matter of his dismissal from the post of clerk in the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Pileru, Cuddapah D.

To the petr. with Encls.
thru the Board of Res (L.R.)

Note.

The petr's dismissal was upheld by Govt in G.O. No 2032 dated 22.5.95. Rec put in a review petition and that was

26/4/97
29-4-97

V. M. V. 11.11.97

BACK PAPERS.

was rejected in G.O. No 1542 of 25.9.95

Then he came up with an appeal to the Govt of India and ~~there~~ ^{it} was with. held under rule 3(1) ^{See III} of the Municipal (B) Rules (G.O. No 546 of 4.3.96).

Now the petr again asks for a reconsideration of his case. There is however, ^{fact} nothing materially new in the present petition.

In the petition stitched into G.O. No 1542 of 25.9.95, he admitted that ~~he~~ ^{he} himself despatched the records to the Sub-Collector. but now he says that it was the despatches that sent them on - another false hood.

aka
23/4

Wls
26.4.97

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1542	Rev	25.9.95
	111/2	of 1896 & connected papers	
	546	Rev. 10.3.96	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Petition praying for restoration to employment with quite new facts for reconsideration

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
-2-MAR-1897
OUDDAPAH

His Excellency the Right Honble
The Governor of Fort St George,
in Council.

The humble petition of K Krishniah
late Clerk in the D. Tahasildar's office
Pils, Cuddapah Dist,

Most Respectfully Sheweth:

1 That the petitioner was dismissed from his post by the Sub-Collector of Cuddapah, by his proceedings Dis No ³⁴¹⁵ _{6.8.94}

2 The said dismissal is based on the report of the D. Tahasildar by C. Mahadevasastri, who says that the petitioner abstracted a complaint petition from the records of his office. This is not true by the enclosure A, extract from the Tappal Book maintained in the D. Tahasildar's office. The said petition and the Calendar statement in case No ⁷⁸ ₉₄ were sent by the despatching clerk to the Sub-Collector on 19.6.94 as shown in A. This has not yet come to the notice of any of the officers who disposed off the petitioner's appeals. Everyone believed only the wrong admission which he made thoroughly without knowing exactly the charge against him and by misunderstanding the meaning.

3 No officer hitherto believed that there

is truth in the petitioner's statement that the ~~Dr. Tahasildar~~
has falsified the diary and altered the date below his
signature ^{even} without initialling in the Complaint petition
which he took privately in his house on a Sunday on ^{date} 4th 1894.
This may be now clearly understood by observing
the glaring contradictions in the enclosures C & D
which show that the said Complaint was taken by
the Dr. Tahasildar on a Sunday and was recorded in the
Magisterial diary that the same petition was presented
on Monday at Warabje on 5th March 1894. The deposition
on the Complaint was taken ^{in Telugu} by the orders of the Dr. Tah.
on Sunday 4th March 94 privately in his house, but the petition
did not accompany the Dr. Tah. to Warabje on circuit on 5th
March 94 nor a fresh Complaint was lodged by the same Com-
plainant Mirdin a Mahammadan. This shows the Dr. Tahasildar
altered the date below his signature as marked in the enclosure C
and falsified the diary with some ulterior object. This the
Sub Collector says in his proceedings is quite untrue and hence
he unjustly passed the dismissal order. E

4 The Sub Collector says in enclosure D his private
letter to the Collector that the petitioner sent the petition to him
him ~~sub~~ abstracting it from the records of the office during
the Dr. Tahasildar's absence, ^{while} which he was under suspension.
This is perfectly untrue, because the petitioner was working
in the office, was not then under suspension, for this the office
attendance Register is a convincing proof. Moreover the Complaint
petition was sent by the Despatching clerk
along with Calandar Statement No. 78 on 19.6.94, after some
vehement dispute between the Dr. Tah. & the petitioner about the ^{irregularities} 94.


5 Finally the Sub Collector says in his letter
to the Collector enclosure E that the petitioner was insane

and thereby he was unfit for employment in
the Government Service and he therefore dismissed
him. This is absurd. The petitioner was made per-
manent in his post only two months prior to his
dismissal, after producing the age and health cer-
tificate from the district Surgeon Currapah and if he
were ~~un~~mad he would not have been made per-
manent in his appointment.

Under these new facts the petitioner
most humbly prays that the Madras Govern-
ment will kindly reopen the case which was
summarily disposed of in its orders Nos. ^{2032 Rev. 15.6.95} 22-5-95 & 25-9-95
and render him proper justice, else he will not
have consolation even to his right conscience,
as he was unjustly dismissed from the Service.
For which act of kindness and justice, peti-
tioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Petitioner begs to remain,
your Excellency's most obedient and
humble servant
K. Krishniah.

Kurabalakota
27. 2. 1897.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 13th April

1897.

No. 1405.

Miscellaneous.

READ — The following Endorsement of the Collector of Cuddapah, Dis: No. ^{Rev:} 2632 of 97, dated 2nd April 1897, on petition from K. Krishniak, late clerk in the Deputy Sahildar's office, Piler, Cuddapah District, dated 27th February '97, to His Excellency The Right Honorable The Governor in Council, Fort Saint George.

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras. The petitioner who was a gunastah in the Deputy Sahildar's office, Piler, was dismissed by the Sub-Collector for having abstracted a magisterial paper from office records and sent it to the Sub-Collector without orders for the purpose of supporting a serious charge against the Deputy

1
Ret 867

Deputy Tahsildar, his official Superior. He
appealed to the Collector and Board but
did not succeed. (vide Board's Proceedings
No. 100 dated 12th January 1895). He now
appeals to Government.

Resolution:-

Submitted to Government
with reference to its order, No. 1542, Revenue,
dated 25th September 1895.

/ True Copy Extract

Lidlwamikanu

15 APR 1897
Asst. Secretary
for Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, with
petition and nine enclosures
in original.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BRING KNANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.