

Revenue (1897) Department.

Miss
ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2106

See
DATED 27th April

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Informing Col^l of Tanjore that
Govt. has no objection to Mr. Daclachan
being put in charge of Pottukottai.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CNo 7760/97	4889		22-9-97				

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 3246

Bel

From

The Collector of Bangalore

Order

No.

Dated 21-11-97

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that a Divisional officer is urgently wanted for Pattankottai taluk.

HC 21-11-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To *Madras* From *Collector*

Words. Days. Hours. Minute. Official Instructions.

To *Madras* From *Collector*



Word Form.

Handwritten text in the body of the telegram form.

IX. Sent to IX. Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 21 4 97

Handwritten note at the bottom right corner.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 65

From

W. B. Syling, Esq.

Ag. Coltr. of Tanjore

No.

Order

Dated 22nd April 1897

Received 23-4-97

Registered 23-4-97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that for certain reasons M. R. Ay. Sivagranam Pillai cannot have charge of Pattukottai taluq.

Can you not put
Sivagranam Pillai in
charge of Pattukottai.
21/4/97.

HC 23-4-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 5525

Telegram
From

The Collector of
Bangalore

Order

No.

Dated 22-4-97

Received "

Registered "

Enclosures

Spare copies


SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed
whether M R Ry. Sada Channu
may be placed in charge
of Pattakottai instead
of M R Ry. Sivagranam
Pillai

HC
22-4-97

Tanjore Collector's Office,
VALLAM,

22/4/97.



My dear Stokes

As regards

The charge of Pallikottai
Taluk — Sivagnanam
Pillai cannot have
charge of it, ~~because~~
his present work (1)
because the jama bandy
has to be done (2)
because complications
would arise as to his pay.

HC
22.4.97

any but well explained is temporary
change. I am anxious that the
advice of the A.S. in Revenue
that the rule says that an A.S.
should be present the A.S. may be
put in charge. But I fear the
unfamiliarity of the A.S. in Revenue
with the change of divisions, will
be a hindrance, therefore the
presently preferred is more

I would strongly
deprecate interrupting
the Kumbakonam
House Tax Revision
as it should be carried
through at an early
date, if once dropped
one can never tell
when it would be taken
up again. Moreover
continuity and the
greatest importance
of no Deputy C.D.
is available, I have
ventured to suggest.
Whether Dadachari

Sivapannam. Wada-
-chang ~~Shygi~~
was with you at Shygi
last year & has been
out ^{on a long trip} ~~with~~ Andrew
This year I believe so
he ought to be equal
to it.

Yours sincerely,

W. H. H. H.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 3325

Telegram
From

The Collector of
Bangalore

Order

No.

Dated 22-4-97

Received "

Registered "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed
whether M R Ry Sada Chamy
may be placed in charge
of Pattukottai instead
of M R Ry Sivagunnam
Pillai

HC
22-4-97

57-583-161
LS

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

D. 1,000-20-2-96.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Serial Nos 3296 & 3325

From the Collector of Tanjore

Dated 21 & 22 April 1897.

Am. Mr. G. S. S.

FOR ORDERS.

In the first paper read above, the Collector of Tanjore wired to say that a Divisional officer was urgently wanted for Pattukottai Division & that Jamabandi was being delayed. He was asked to report whether Sivagnanam Pillai could not be put in charge of Pattukottai. In reply he states that if Sivagnanam Pillai be placed in charge of Pattukottai the special work on which he is now employed viz revision of house tax registers in Kumbakonam would suffer & that Mr Dada Chariji may be placed in charge of Pattukottai temporarily. There seems to be no objection to Mr Dada Chariji being put in charge of taluk now. He has nearly 16 months service & has passed ~~taluk~~ standard.

Yes
24/4/97

Will do well.

24/4/97.

23/4/97.

22/4/97

23/4/97.

G. S. S.

FOR ORDERS.

Read C. Nos 3296 & 3325 of 1897.

G.O. No. 2106 of 27th April 97.

Recorded with reference
to the following telegram to the
Collector of Bangalore:-
"Govt has no objection to Dadachanje
being put in charge of Pattakottai"

17/4/97

~~Collector of Bangalore~~

26/4/97

Note. The telegram has been despatched

26/4/97

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.