

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2019

DATED 5.11.97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing petitioner P.
Keerappa of Rattru, Quarter Sahib.
REVENUE
ma st. that further Communi-
cations I. in him re. a certain
darkst case will remain
unnoticed by Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 915

From P. Verrappa
of Pothur, Surtur taluk,
Kistna dist.

Order (1901) 2019, d/5.11.97

The petitioner is referred
to L. O. 1762 Rev of 9-9-96 and
informed that further communi-
cations from him on the subject
will remain unnotified.

No.

Dated 14-10-97

Received 20-10-97

Registered 23-10-97

Enclosures

Spare copies

[Signature]

[Signature]

To

The petitioner
with enclosures.

66-10-11-97

4 Nov. 97

SUBJECT.

Submit an appeal against
the Bdi order in a certain dar-
khast case.

5.11.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1752	R.	9-9-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

16.
19/10/97

PETITION

1821

REVENUE DEPT.

His Excellency, the Governor

in Council, Madras

The humble appeal of Palegani

Veerappa of Pottur, Gunter to

Distro District

May it please Your Excellency

Petitioner is a poor inhabitant of Pottur, Gunter to, Distro District. He is 70 years of age. He has 5 sons. Their separation from him and their other pursuits has so far tamed petitioner's affection for them that he is determined to invest the little he possesses in charity and for public good. With a view to accomplish this object, petitioner raised a choultry on the Gunter Madras road in Pottur village just in the centre of the tract (14 miles) where there is no kind

of roof for travellers to cover their heads. This tract is waterless. Petitioner dug a well at the place, and it tapped a fresh water spring.

2. To attach an imam to the said choultry, petitioner applied for D. M. No 455 in Pather village. This is peculiarly suited for the purpose, because it is close to the constructed choultry. Though the land applied for from is bounded on three sides by petitioner's lands, and as such he has the best claim for it, a systematic and continuous fraud has been played upon him by the lower subordinates, and his attempt to establish a charity has been baffled. Petitioner begs leave to expose the fraud; and how he has been made a victim of technicalities, notwithstanding the great elasticity of Darkest rules.

3. Petitioner was applying for this land since 1893; and he was once put off by an endorsement that the land is required for

for a Local Fund Quarry. Petitioner carried the matter to Local Fund Engineer and President of Local Board; and succeeded in getting an order that there was no necessity for a Local Fund Quarry. The order came to the Carnan who, being petitioner's enemy, concealed the fact from him, and secretly took an application from one G. U. Venkanna. The Tahsildar attaching too much importance to the priority in the date of application gave the land to Venkanna. This order was as usual communicated to petitioner quite late, because of Carnan's enmity. Petitioner appealed to the Sub Collector who, being struck with the unquestionable justice of petitioner's case, recorded the following order.

The Copy of Mr. Gillman's order of 23-8-95

Darabharat appeal No 4
1895

Appellant

Respondent

Palaganu Venappa
of Pottur, Guntur ty

Muzfarazu Venkanna
of Pottur, Guntur taluk.

2. No 45's of Potter village was applied for by
two persons on the following dates.
Palagani Veerappa — 3.11.94
Mupparasa Venkanna — 17-10-94.

Both are the residents of the village, and
both holders of adjoining lands, as the Tahildar
gave the land to the first applicant Ven-
kanna.

2. According to the strict letter of the
Board Standing Order, the Tahildar's decision
is correct, but consideration of equity demands
I think that the land should be given to
appellant.

3. Appellant first applied for the land in
1893, and was then informed that it was
required for quarrying purposes by the Local
Fund Department. In the beginning of 1894,
he applied again to the Local Fund Engineer
and was informed that the subject of grant
to another party was before the President
District Board.

A further petition to the President resulted in an endorsement dated 1.8.94, that the land was required for a quarry. Appellant again petitioned the Local Fund Engineer and was informed that the land was not required by the Local Fund Department, and that he should apply for it under the Darakhart rules. This endorsement is dated 31.10.94. Appellant presented his Darakhart petition on 3.11.94, only to find that he had been forestalled by respondent.

4. I think that appellant, who has been ceaselessly striving to get the land since 1893, deserves to be looked upon as ^{the} ~~an~~ applicant. Respondent's application is said to have been put in at the instigation of the Carnam, who got early information of the relinquishment of the land by the Local Fund Department.

5. I hold that the land ought to be

granted to appellant. I reverse the
Tahsildar's decision and direct that patta be
given to appellant.

(Gunter
28 8 1885)

Signes / W. W. Gillman
Ag Sub Collector.

The order is so succinct and strong that it
leaves no room to doubt the justice of petitioner's
case, and it was unhesitatingly upheld by
Collector. The Board of Revenue, being unable
to find any flaw in it, had recourse to
a technicality to upset it. They say the
Sub Collector's order was passed after the
issue of the patta. How can petitioner who
has implacable enemies in Carnam and other
Subdivisions, know, when the order was passed,
to enable him to appeal in time. Their
attempt has been to keep petitioner in utter
darkness as to what is going on about his
case, and so petitioner is deprived of an opportunity

of doing a charitable act, simply because the lowest Government officials manage to keep him in ignorance of what is going on.

4: Orders were passed to issue patta to petitioners also; this of course was not carried out on account of the enemy noted above; but no sooner the order came in favor of petitioners' enemy, it was carried out in no time. So petitioners ^{is} ~~to be~~ put to loss on account of this conspiracy. The argument in his favor and that of his opponent are noted below.

(a) I am an adjacent holder on almost all sides.

(b) I was applying for the land since 1893 and took up a lot of trouble in making it available for cultivation by petitioners to Local Fund department and other connected departments.

(c) I want the land for charitable purposes.

My opponent is not adjacent holder except in an insignificant corner. My opponent put in his application in 3.11.94. How can he be the 1st applicant? The Sub Collector realized this but the Board has failed to grasp it. My opponent wants it for selfish purposes.

The petitioner is aware that a second or
third appeal does not lie as a matter of right
in Daskhart cases; but he ventures to put
forth his grievances in the hope that thorough
going Governor like your Excellency will
take compassion on petitioner and the object he
has in view; and see how he has been
defrauded - time after time, and finally how
he has been made a victim of technicalities
serving ineffectual purposes. He prays
that the record may be called for, and
D. No. may be assigned for choultry.

For such want of Kinsmen

He begs to remain

Your Excellency's Very

Obedient Servant

Shree Narayan

9/14/10/1837
14/10/1837

15 = 1

15/10/1837

(15)

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED



CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DIL TO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Don't be

Regular
Miscellaneous No.

of 189 .

[illegible]

FOR WHAT CURRENT.		To whom given.	When taken out.
No.	Year.		
10548/77			9-12-77
4719/98		A. J. 16/5-7-98	

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 9472

From

The Board of Revenue,
Revenue Settlement, &c

No. 8801 omis.

Order No. 2020 R.

Referred to the Accountant-
General for favour of remarks.
(Signed) J. Stokes,
Secretary to Government.

Fort Saint George. }
5th November 1897. }

Dated 1st }
Received 3rd } November 1897.
Registered 3rd }
Enclosures 3
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of
Government, with the Board's recom-
mendation, Endowment from the
Collector of Kurnool Submitting
the Head Assistant Collector's letter
recommending the grant of Travelling
allowance to one Mohanavelu Mudali-
-yar, a minor Irrigation Overseer,
for journeys made on account of
famine duty.

J.H.S.

L.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 2021

DATED 5.11.97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Acknowledging receipt of 42
copies of a report on the inspection of
mines in India for the year ending
30.6.96 by Mr. Grundy.