

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2009.

DATED 13th April 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Recording Board's Progs. passing orders
on the question of apportionment of
quit-rent in cases where every compo-
nent field of an inam shows an excess.*

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. —



7/12/4/5)

Let Hon Mr Epsom C1697

Order was No. 2009413th April 13/4/97
Recorded.

From
The Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement, Land
Records and Agriculture)
No. 217

Dated 11th March 1897
Received 26th
Registered 26th
Enclosures
Spare copies

1897.

19.
24/4/97

SUBJECT.

Quit-rent
Passing orders on the question
of apportionment of —
in cases where every com-
-ponent field of an inam
shows an excess.

24/4/97

29/6/97

28/3/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	B.P. 720 2-11-92		5-5-16 A.M. 16-12-92

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

MADRAS.

Printed March th.

Proceedings, 11th March 1897, No. 217.

MARCH.

Quit-rent.—Passing orders on the question of apportionment of — in cases where every component field of an inam shows an excess.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(REVENUE SETTLEMENT, LAND RECORDS AND AGRICULTURE).

READ—the following letter from W. O. HORNE, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Department of Land Records and Agriculture, dated 13th June 1896, Ref. on C. No. 1887:—

I have the honour to request the orders of the Board of Revenue on the following matter relating to the apportionment of quit-rent on a portion of an inam relinquished.

2. Title-deed No. 174 of Vemulapudi in the Golconda taluk comprises four inam fields, viz., survey Nos. 352 and 374, dry, and 106 and 126, wet, of which survey No. 106 has been relinquished by the inamdar. The subjoined statement gives the particulars of the extent, assessment, quit-rent, &c., of the inam:—

Survey number.	Survey area.	Settlement assessment	Quit-rent.	Excess charge imposed by the Settlement department as per inam excess account.	Total of columns 4 and 5.
1	2	3	4	5	6
352	ACS 12 88	RS. A. P. 9 11 0	4 12 0	RS. A. P. 7 14 0	49 0 0
374	3 85	2 14 0		1 2 0	
106	6 79	36 11 0		31 14 0	
126	1 10	4 10 0		3 6 0	
Total ..	24 62	53 14 0	4 12 0	44 4 0	49 0 0

The demand on the retained portion will be Rs. 49 minus Rs. 33-5-0 or Rupees 15-11-0 calculated according to the instructions issued in B.P., dated 2nd November 1892, No. 720, as shown below:—

Survey number.	Survey area.	Settlement assessment.	Apportionment of net charge for excess area on field containing excess.	Column 3 minus column 4 the result representing the settlement assessment of the remaining portion of the fields treated as inam.	Apportionment of the quit-rent on the several fields with reference to their assessment in column 5.	Total charge for quit-rent and excess area on each field.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
352	ACS. 12 88	RS. A. P. 9 11 0	RS. A. P. 8 0 0	RS. A. P. 1 11 0	RS. A. P. 0 13 0	RS. A. P. 8 13 0
374	3 85	2 14 0	2 6 0	0 8 0	0 4 0	2 10 0
106	6 79	36 11 0	30 0 0	6 11 0	3 5 0	33 5 0
126	1 10	4 10 0	3 14 0	0 12 0	0 6 0	4 4 0
Total ..	24 62	53 14 0	44 4 0	9 10 0	4 12 0	49 0 0

In the examples given by the Board in its Proceedings above quoted, there is no excess in each component field, as in the present case, though there is a net excess on the whole; and, consequently, I doubt whether the Board's orders apply to cases where, like the one in question, there is an excess in each component field. In such cases, I think, the total excess charge made on the field relinquished, as well as the proportionate quit-rent payable on it, should be deducted.

READ—also letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 3rd January 1897, Ref. on C. No. 1887.

ABSTRACT—Requesting the Board to pass early orders regarding the apportionment of quit-rent on portion of the inam covered by T. D. No. 174 of Vemulapudi in the Golconda taluk.

RESOLUTION—dated 11th March 1897, No. 217.

The Collector's view is correct. The instructions given in the addendum to Standing Order No. 125 issued in B.P., dated 2nd November 1895, No. 720, refer only to cases in which the excess area of an inam holding is the net result of an excess in some, and a deficiency in others, of its component fields. But where there is an excess in every component field, the deduction to be made on account of the relinquishment of a field is the sum of the excess charge payable as per inam excess accounts on that field and the proportionate quit-rent thereon determined with reference to the difference between the settlement assessment and the said excess charge.

(True Copy and Extract.)

R. B. Srinivasan
Secretary.

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.

Copy to all other Collectors.

" the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department. ✓
" the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" the Inam Commissioner.

Exd. C. A. Thumbusawmy.

Why not adopt the same in col 5
of the first stat