

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1984

DATED 13th April 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

approving & sanctioning 13 and
proposals re: village establishment
for certain main villages in Tanjore.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras.

No. 1091 Hls.

Dated 22nd March 1897.

Received 25th

Registered 26th

Enclosures 5.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govt. with remarks
correspondence re: village esta-
blishments for certain inam villa-
ges in Tanjore.

Approved
26/3/97

Order


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	139		1896-10-12
	11-1-96		28-3-96
	423		17-7-96
	28-1-96		
	15-1-96		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

3,006
10-12-96.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)

No.1091 Misc.,dated 22nd March 1897.

Read the following :-

- Letter from J.Andrew,Esquire,Acting Collector of Tanjore,to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras,Ref.on Cur.No.4102/ver of 1896,dated 5th October 1896.

And the following Reference thereon dated 23rd October 1896

In his letter Ref.on Current No.2000/ver dated 3rd October 1895,the Collector reported that he had issued orders to dispense with the services of the separate village establishments employed in certain Ardhamaniyam villages and to entrust their work to the village officers of adjacent Government villages in accordance with the recommendation made in the scheme for the revision of village establishments in the Shiyali and the Tiruturaipundi taluks.But this scheme has since been returned by Government for further revision and in para 2 of the Government Order communicated with Board's Proceedings No.230,dated 25th June 1896,it was considered ~~inexpedient~~ ^{expedient} to group inam villages with Government villages for purposes of village administration.The Collector is requested to state what arrangements are now in force and what arrangements he would propose for the future,for the conduct of the village administration of these inam villages. It is presumed that the establishments of these villages were originally ~~remunerated~~ ^{remunerated} by deductions from the village collections.

- Also letter from J.Andrew,Esquire,Ag.Collector of Tanjore,to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue

Resolution

The papers read above relate to the village

establishments of the marginally noted Ardhamanyam (half grant) villages in the Tanjore District.	Villages	Extent acres	Assess- ment Rs.
2. Up to the end of fasli 1303, each of these inam villages had a village establishment of its	Maharajapuram Melpathi	1804	2711
own costing Rs. 180 per annum and paid by deductions from the village collections. During fasli 1304 and up to 13th October 1895 in fasli 1305, the pay of these establishments, amounting to in all to Rs. 461-9-10 was met from the Village Service Fund. On the date last mentioned, the establishments of the inam villages were dispensed with, and the villages were clubbed with the adjoining Government villages. Village	Maharajapuram Kilpathi	2226	2137

Cess is also levied from the inamdars as shown in the margin with effect from fasli 1305.

Villages	Amount of Cess per annum Rs.
Maharajapuram Melpathi	193
Maharajapuram Kilpathi	113 Rs. 306

3. The Government having in its order No. 139 Misc., dated 11th January 1896 declined to allow the debit of Rs. 461-9-10 to the Village Service Fund to stand, the Collector was instructed in Board's Proceedings No. 423 Misc., dated 28th January 1896 to transfer it to Land Revenue. In his earlier letter read above, the Collector reported that the Accountant-General would not accept the debit to Land Revenue without the sanction of Government and requested that the necessary sanction might be obtained. The Collector was asked to report what arrangements he would propose for the future conduct of the village administration of these inam villages with reference to para 2 of Government Order No. 184 Rev., dated 2nd May 1896 in which it was considered inexpedient to group inam villages with Government villages.

4. The Collector now recommends that the two inam villages may be grouped together, and allowed an independent staff of village officers as shown in the margin. He also recommends that the proposed establishment may be

- 1 Karnam Rs. 7
- 1 Munsiff Rs. 5
- 1 Vetti Rs. 3

paid by deductions from the total collections of the villages as was done previously. The Board supports these proposals, which, if approved, may take effect from fasli 1307.

5. As regards the debit to Land Revenue of Rs. 461-9-10, being the cost of the establishments of the inam villages for the period from 1st July 1894 to 13th October 1895, the Board considers that the debit should stand in so far as it relates to fasli 1304 and that the remaining portion of the charge, which relates to fasli 1305, should be transferred to the Village Service Fund, to which the collections of the Village Cess in the inam villages during that fasli have been credited. The sanction of Government is requested to these proposals. As the inam villages were clubbed with ryotwar villages in October 1895, the sanction of Government is also requested for the collection of Village Cess made from the inamdars during faslis 1305 and 1306 under Section 7 of Madras Act IV of 1893. In future, as already observed, the inam villages will, as desired by Government, be served by their own establishments.

(True Copy & Extract)

L. Swaminathan
24 MAR 1897
Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, R.D., with 4 letters, in original (& 1 statement) (to be returned).

The Collector of Tanjore.

Md. R. H.

by P.H.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting in the top left corner of the left page.]

The Hon Mr Grose C.E.

Ap 13/4/97

JH
11/4/97

Read cro. 2675 of 97
 C.P. No. 1984 of 13 April 97.
 The Board's pro-
 posals in paras 4 and
 5 of ~~the~~ ^{its} Pros read
 above are approved
 and sanctioned

7/4/97

To the Board L.A.
 with Encls.
 Ag.

Des. 17-4-97

(R.
 6 Apr 97)

c. 8/4/97

EX 5/4/97