Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1965

DATED 29 October

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the Petr. A. Lakshmikanta Rao, Nellore Dt. of his appointment as Kurnam of Pakala Village, Kandukur Taluk, Nellore Dt, ~~that~~ under S. 23 of Act III of 1895; no appeal lies to Govt:

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

$\frac{O}{18}$ 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8672

Rs 29/10/97

From A. Lakshmikantam Rao. Nellore St

Order (Rou) dt: 19658 29th October (97)

The petitioner is informed that under section 23 of Act III of 1895 no appeal lies to Government.

No.

Dated 8th Oct: 1897

Received 14.10.97

Registered 15.10.97

Enclosures 1

Spare copies.

Init
To
The petitioner with enclosures. } 3-11-97

Note. The Sub. Collector's order in this case ~~is appealed~~ is dated 9-6-96.

28 oct 97

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be appointed as karnam of Kakaka village. Kandukur Taluk. Nellore Dt.

Read 29/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
1 OCT 97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
CHIEF SECRETARY
12-OCT-1897

PETITION

His Excellency the Governor in council
Fort St George, Madras.

1897

REVENUE DEPT

The humble representation of Ampayani Lakshmi
Kantha Row Late Karanam of Pakala Village, Kandukur Taluk,
Nellore District.

May it please your Excellency.

The petitioner humbly begs to submit to your Excellency.

1. That the Karanams office of Pakala, Kandukur Taluk was disposed of by the Board in its Proceedings No 5117 dated 14th November 1896 on a report from the Collector of Nellore.—

2. That before the disposing of the case against the Petitioner (Respondent referred to in the Board's Proceedings) he ought to have been heard so that he might show cause for the decree passed in his favour by the Sub Collector of Nellore not being set aside.

3. That the Essential test of right to succeed to a village office being the right to succeed to the property in the manner described in Sub Sections (2 & 3) of section 10 of Act III of 1895. the petitioner is prepared to prove that the Boards appellant in the Boards Proceedings above quoted has no right whatever to succeed.

4. That the Boards appellant above referred to is not less than 14 degrees removed from Gopalah the late Karanam whom the Petitioner succeeded, and therefore the said appellant has no right to succeed to his property before the Petitioner, who under Hindu Law is a nearer claimant, being the daughter's son of the last male holder's brother and hence entitled as a Dauhitra and Brinagotra Sapinda.

the Board's appellant who is only a Samanadaka). The Petitioner has also been brought up from his Youth by the last male holder and is the person who is legally bound to perform his obsequies & take his property.

5. With reference to G. O No. 215 Rev 22.3.86 and the Board's Proceedings No 822 2-4-86 thereon, the Collector of Nellore issued circular No 63 1886 from which it is clear that the Inamdar right holder should be possessed by his grandson - provided he has no sons of his own, though his daughter, other than any other divided member of the same parenthood though, and I believe this order is even now not annulled.

6. In this connection I beg to state that, under similar circumstances, the Inamdar right of a grandson though a daughter has been recognized to your Excellency's Revenue Board as well more valid than that of the divided members of the same family in case of the Village Inamdar's appendants of Muppalam 4 Villages in the Kavali Taluk, Nellore District.

7. I further beg to state that, to my great misfortune, in the present case, no notice has been taken of my Inamdar right as against the unsustainable bases upon which his opponent Rakala Vemgopaiah, built his claims, this latter being decidedly the divided member of my grandfather's family for the past years.

8. That the petitioner is qualified by Examinations for the Karanam's place, but that Vemgopaiah the appellant in the above Board's Proceedings is an aged man of about 50 years and has not passed the requisite examinations and that he cannot prepare the Village accounts which he gets done by his Gumastah.

9. That all the Petitioners claims have been clearly recognized and set forth in the Acting Collector's letter No 3870 25-10-96 to the Board of Revenue.

10. Taking all the above circumstances into your honors kind considerations, I humbly beg to your honors Excellency be pleased to appoint me as Karanam of Rakala Village Vendurthy

Kandukur Taluk, Nellore District and protect me and my poor family.

11. Copies of Judgment in Summary suit No 30 of 1896 of Collector's Letter No 3870 / 25-10-96 of the Board's Proceedings No 5117 / 14-11-96 and of the Board's No 1969 / 31-5-97 are herewith submitted for perusal.

I most humbly beg to remain
Sir
Your most obt servant.

8-10-97

Petitioner

When given for copying ___
When copied ___
When examined ___
When signed ___ } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.