

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1809

DATED 2nd October 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Subbiah, late Karunam
of Terraguntla Village, Nandyal Taluk, Kurnool
District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act of 1897, no appeal lies
to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8336

Sp 2-10-97

From Subhiah,
Thurnool St.

Order (Rev) No 1809 dt 2 Oct 97

The Petitioner is informed
that under section 23 of Act III
of 1895 no appeal lies to Government.

No.

Dated 18th Sept 1897.

Received 25.9.97

Registered 27.2.97

Enclosures one file

Spare copies.

Handwritten signature

And to the Petitioner with file of enclosures

30 Sep 97

20-7-10-97

SUBJECT.

Re: his claims for
the barman's post of
Yaraguntla village,
Kandyal Taluk.

By M 27 S

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

8064

REVENUE DEPT



The Government of Madras.

Most honored Sir,

Karnam Subbiah
plaintiff, Garragumla
of Vandyal & Kumodoli } Karnam Raghunatha
Garragumla of Vandyal &
Kumodoli district.
(defendant)

Summary appeal petition brought upon
under the defendant.

(1) Before the Revision, there were 3 curvans
to Garragumla village including my father,
and in the Revision my father was only
appointed to be the curvan of the village.

(2) After 7 years passed i.e. in the
month of December 1867, my father
was entirely sick and applied for leave.
Then my elder father (Raghunatha) has been
appointed to act as curvan. On the 30th
December 1867 my father died by the same
sickness. At the time of my father's death
I and my brother were in minority.

(3)

After my father's death, my elder father Ramiah reported to the Taluk Officer, Nandyal, that there are no other claimants to the Dead man or curman except he. i.e. my elder father (Ramiah). Accordingly he also sent pota pattana account in his name. On Ramiah's report Ramiah was appointed to act as curman of the village.

(4)

My elder father Ramiah told with my mother that my name was entered in the list, and during my minority he told that he was appointed to act as curman of the said village.

(5)

Believing the words of my elder father (Ramiah) we were kept quiet until 1876. In that year I asked Ramiah to give my appointment, then he told that he was appointed to be the curman and that I had no right of curmanship to that village. Upon that I brought a summary suit in the District Collector's Court. Removed

upon Ramiah under Regulation II
of 1881. Then the District collector
made enquiry on the report written by
Ramiah to the Taluk office at the time
of my father's death, and also being
the order of the Tahsildar of Nandyal to
the Divisional officer, appointed me
to act in command of the said village.

I also sent a petition to the District
Collector, Kurnool, to make enquiry in
the criminal side upon the false report
written by my elder father Ramiah at
the time of my father's death. As I have
not received any endorsement on my petition,
I again sent one petition to the District collector
Kurnool to make enquiry in the criminal
side, then that petition was referred to
the Divisional officer for enquiry and
disposal. Upon that the Divisional officer
notified both Ramiah and me, and some
enquiry has been made, and the Divisional
officer excused Ramiah for having done
such mistake as he is an old man and

that being the 1st offence.

(6)

Afterwards Ramial appealed to the Government. The Government directed that Ramial should act as curram until he dies. If the Government noticed me on the appeal petition of Ramial, all the treachery made by Ramial in this case would be made known to ^{the} Government.

If the Government hears the treachery made by Ramial and if they hear - i.e. if the Government sees all the Denisonal officer's record excusing Ramial that being the 1st offence, the Government ^{would} have never given him such order - i.e. ordering Ramial to act as curram until he dies.

Therefore I gave charge to Ramial.

(7)

After 6 months Ramial took charge from me, he died by some sickness.

Then I and the 2nd son of Ramial named Litoramial applied to the Deputy collector for the curram's post. The Deputy collector appointed me to act as curram seeing the orders of the Government as well as the collector.

(8)

These Litaraniats (2nd son of the deceased cumam Daniels) appeared before the District collector. Upon the petition the collector ordered Litaraniats to act as cumams. Therefore I gave charge to Litaraniats and applied to the Board of Revenue, Madras. The Board of Revenue has not given any endorsement on my appeal petition.

(9)

After some time that Litaraniats took charge from me, his eyes became blind by some sickness. Then as I was not in the village at that time, my brother Vembappan applied to the Divisional officer and the Divisional officer appointed my brother Vembappan to act as cumam.

(10)

Upon that the late Litaraniats' brother Vembatramiah by name brought a Summary Suit in the Divisional officer's Court under regulation VI of 1831 and through the Divisional officer made enquiry on its appeal and dismissed the suit.

(11)

Therupon Vembatramiah also appeared to the District collector, and the appeal was also dismissed in that Court.

(12)

Ventratramials also appeared in the Board of Revenue. The Board of Revenue ordered as per copy of the Genealogical tree herewith enclosed, that A's family i.e. the family of Ventratramials - i.e. Ventratramials and Brahmins should act ^{1st} as curmans to the college and afterwards the curmanships should come over to A's family i.e. Ventrappa's sons namely Ventrappa and Subbiah in that way. And the Board passing the above order appointed Ventratramial to act as curman. Therefore my brother Ventrappa gave charge to Ventratramials.

(13)

In November 1895 Ventratramials died and his brother ^{Brahmin} ~~Sitaramiah~~ already died before Ventratramials and ^{Sitaramiah} ~~Brahmin~~. No any other claimants in A's family.

(14)

I and my brother belonging to the A's family shown in the Genealogical tree as per copy herein enclosed, and some other Raghunath and Kristiah applied to the

James P. Duffin

upon me. The Head Asi collector
noticed me to appear before the court. I
appeared before the court as directed and
I presented my answer petition. The
Head Asi collector ordered Raghunath
to act as curran unjustly without seeing
my records, without taking any Rajpats
from my witnesses and without making
any enquiry at all. This may be clearly
shown to your honor if the court sees the
judgment passed by the Head Asi collector
and my answer petition and my records.

(16)

I applied in the District collector's
Court. The court dismissed the suit
appealed without making any enquiry
and without seeing my records.

(17)

True copy of the Judgment of the District
Collector taking away Ramiah from the
Curran's post in the year 1876 is herewith
enclosed.

(18)

My father Venkappa was 30 years old
has been taken in adoption by some relative
Chenchuraz by name. Before the revision
it was stated in the 1st place that there
were 3 currans and after Chenchuraz's
death

my father Venkappa acted as one of the
Curams till Revision, and in the revision
my father Venkappa was made the only
Curam of the Yarraguntla village.

(19) In the District collector's judgement on
my appeal petition, he states that as my
father was taken in adoption by Chenchung,
and therefore he states that I had no right
of Curamship. If it is true, before this
either the Divisional officer or the defendant
never showed this point in any record,
as my father had got good mirasi right
of Curamship. This will be clearly shown
to your honor if the court sees the judgement
of the District Collector.

(20) When there is a rule that the Curam's
post should stay in the family of the Curam
who was appointed permanently in the Revision
the post should stay in his family, i.e. in
my family. This lineage or mirasi right
is always missing as by the report written
by Ramiah to the Taluk office stating that
there are no any claimants to the deadman's
therefore I request the court to take all
these points into favourable consideration.

and I also request the court to give
 my appointment of Curmanship to Garra
 Jentala village at an early date.
 I on the act of kindness I shall ever
 hold in my life,
 I beg remain
 Sir
 Your most obedient servant
 J. V.

21

418-9-97

and I also request the court to give
 my appointment of Curmanship to Garra
 Jentala village at an early date.

I on the act of kindness I shall ever
 hold in my life,

I beg remain
 Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. V.

21

418-9-97

[Illegible handwritten signature]

Q = Copy heading only.