CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA AND INDIAN STATES.

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1744

DATED 31st March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Collector of Chingleput to report the extent and assessment of each of the fields situated on the British side of the Vaiyapuru channel.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From The Resident in Mysore

Order

No. 705

Dated 20th
Received 23rd
Registered 23rd
} Jul 1897

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Durbar are willing, under certain conditions, to make over to the Govt of Madras ~~in lands~~ 32 acres, ~~and~~ 38 guntas of land of the Virupasamudram Village, Pavagada Taluk, on the British side of the Naga Channel from the Pargahi in Tumkur.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
20—	1[illegible]	Rev—	1[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1116 &	11-6-97		

No. 705- Ex 2

FROM

THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

FOREIGN & MADRAS
* 25 FEB 97 *
RECEIPT DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Revenue department

Dated Bangalore, 20th February 1897.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 1869 Mis. dated the 15th may 1896, and the marginally noted reminder, regarding the proposal of the madras Government to acquire 32, acres, 38 Guntas of land assessed at Rs 82-12-0 of the Virupasamudram village, Pavagada Taluk, Situated on the British side of the Narasapur Channel from the Parighi major tank, absolutely on payment of the Capitalized value of the revenues derived from them by the Mysore State, and to treat this transaction as a mere rectification of frontier. I have the honor to State that the Durbar have no objection to

No. 260 dated 19th December 1896

to make over the lands to the Madras Government for use on condition of that Government paying to the Durbar

(a) the Capitalized value of the present assessment on the lands, at thirty years' purchase, and

(b) the Compensation required to be paid to the present holders, to be settled hereafter under the Mysore Land Acquisition Regulation.

2. A Settlement by Court under the aforesaid Regulation of the Compensation payable to the owners of occupied lands is likely to be necessary under the circumstances explained in the accompanying extract of a letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District. The Durbar are taking proceedings under the Regulation to acquire the occupied lands and will complete them on hearing the decision of the Madras Government. A formal declaration Concerning these lands has been directed to be published in the Mysore Gazette.

3. The Durbar take exception to the proposed rectification of frontier involving, as it would, change of Sovereignty, and it does not appear to me expedient to press for this. Possibly the transfer of occupation on the terms stated (which are the same as those accepted by the Durbar in the case of the Bugadur tank - Vide this office letter No. 4736, dated the 22nd December 1896) will meet the requirements of the Madras Government.

4. The papers received with your letter of the 15th May 1896, are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Officiating Resident.

C№. 16 52/97

Note.

Please see letter to the Resident in mysore, № 1869 dt. 15-5-1896, regarding the acquisition from the mysore Govt. of certain lands in the Virupasamudram village.

That officer now reports that the mysore state has no objection to make over these lands to the madras Govt. for use on condition of this Govt. paying to the Durbar

(a). the capitalized value of the present assessment on the lands at thirty years purchase, and

(b) the compensation required to be paid to the present holders, to be settled hereafter under the mysore Land Acquisition Regulation.

But it appears that the Durbar take exception to the proposed rectification of frontier as that would involve change of sov

Re letter No. 112 Govt. of M.

Sec. (Works)

The land in Virasapamudram (mysore) is wanted in order that Govt may be able to repair & control a channel passing thereon. This land is 10 acres 29 grounds in extent, assessed at R 18.4.0 with Local Cess R. 1.0.3 & Irrigation Cess R 0.15.6, or a total annual charge of R 18.3.9 In order that Govt may have power to repair & control the channel it must be in the ownership of Govt. The papers show that this may be effected if the capitalized value of the Govt. (Mysore) charge upon the land & compensation to the owners (if any: it is now asserted that the land is waste) is paid to the Durbar

This, however, will leave the sovereignty of the land with Mysore. Govt as

c. 6/3/97.
R. 13/3/97.

and the Resident considers it inexpedient to press for it. ~~He does not~~

Orders are requested as to whether the above terms may be accepted. It will be seen that these are the same as those proposed by this Govt. in a case where the Mysore Govt. ~~are~~ wished to occupy certain lands in British India, and accepted by that Govt. (vide letter No. 613 dd 11.11.96 & Fy. No. 29 dd 14.1.97.) But attention is solicited to the notes under Fys. 440 dd 12.7.95 & 4069 dd 10.10.95, flagged A & B.

5/3/97. Secy. to Govt.

Instead of 10, & two cantons probably two resolutions of the Durbar, under which circumstances which we did take over only two villages with the channels passing originally proposed, & the Resident has addressed accordingly.

We have been told that the widening of the channels is absolutely necessary.

Fgn. A.4A 12.3.97 [illegible]

channels passing through it will be subject to [illegible] Bijawar courts, & offences committed on it will be under the cognizance of the Bijawar authorities. The total extent is only 10 acres & this is a very important matter.

Nevertheless it is of some importance, especially as it has been pointed out to us that the Govt. of I. don't like us in a position which makes them entirely dictate in any way the Native States.

It was, therefore, proposed that Govt. should take up all the land between the channel & British territory, paying the capitalized value of the Govt. charges (by annual & commutation) to owners, & that the whole of this extent should be leased under British Government by a Rectification of Boundaries. This extent is 22.4.4 acres assessed at Rs 63 (I don't know what this exactly amounts to).

The Resident now says that the Ajaigarh Durbar is willing to give up the ownership of the land, but not the Sovereignty, so that it will need consent to the rectification of boundaries. We did [illegible] writing by Engl[illegible]

Secy. to Govt.

Note

The Hon. Member says that we should take over only the land through which the channel passes and says this land is 10 acres and 29 grounds. With reference to this it is respectfully submitted that the figures quoted represent only the extent of the two survey fields 24½ and 25 which the Collector of Banda first proposed to acquire for repairing the channel. It is true that para 2 of the Collector's letter under No. 440 dd. 12.7.95 — produces the impression that the channel passes through both these and only these fields; but please see the rough sketch flagged C. This shows that while the channel does not flow through or by survey field 25 it flows through or by 24, 27, 40 and 42 also, and that the breach, the proposal to close which led to this correspondence occurred at the portion held up 24. It thus

are
it view to exercise effective control
for in the channel not only in the
matter of the execution of repairs
as also in the matter of utilis-
tation of its water, the Govern-
ment wishes to acquire Survey
the field $\frac{24}{2}$; it should also acquire
for the other four fields named above.
a Besides these five fields there
Ce are only three other fields namely
de 25, 26 and 41 on the British
side of the Channel. No information
the is available as to the extent and
de assessment of the last mentioned
Be two fields [illegible]
to 2. Although Mr Nicholson
de originally proposed the acquisition
pl of fields $\frac{24}{2}$ & 25, he subsequently
modified his views and
recommended "the desirability
in every way of acquiring all
the fields situated on the eastern
side of the Channel from the
Durbar [illegible] to avoid further
unnecessary correspondence
and complicated disputes if
we have to proceed subsequently
[illegible] other point than that
above
[illegible] to in the rough
Sketch"

Tyrrell 8.4.97

G.O. No 440. Rev. dated 12th Jun
95. calls on Chief Cº to report
this in fm. Has it come?

[illegible]

Flagged D

and should acquire fields
$\frac{24}{2}$ $\frac{24}{1}$ 27 40 & 42,
Ask him Cmdr what is
the exact extent to be
involved.

JS
24/3/97

CA.
17 March 97

Sketch."

3. In the above circumsta
orders are requested as to whe
it would be sufficient to acqu
only Survey fields $\frac{24}{2}$ and 2

18/3/97.

Dept. No.
1123/2/97

Q.P. Mis No. 1744 dated 31st March 97

The Collector of Anam
pura is requested to re
the exact extent and
assessment of each
of the fields referred to
in para 1 of his letter
dt 31.1.96, Ref and C.R. 15
of 1894.

11/3/97

Forwarded
To the Collector of Anantap
dt 3-4-97
CA.
Sottrell

hen given for copying ____
hen copied ____
hen examined ____
hen signed ____ } 189

AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

ERS TO C. No.

GOVERNMENT		A	A	A	A	A	A	A
GOVERNMENT								
DITTO								
NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only

hen given for copying ____
hen copied ____
hen examined ____
hen signed ____ } 189

AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

ERS TO C. No.

GOVERNMENT		A	A	A	A	A	A	A
GOVERNMENT								
DITTO								
NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only