Revenue (189) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1731

DATED 17 Sept.r

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring Chowdi Reddi and another's petition to the endorsement already given regarding Kurnam affair and informing them that no notice will be taken of any further communications from them on the subject.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
12 SEP 97
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

From H. A. Lisle Esqre I.C.S. Ag Private Secretary to H.E. the Governor.

No.

Dated 6 September 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT. Transfers a deputation petition dated 30 August 1897 from Chowdi Reddi and Hanuman Rao late Reddi and Karnam respectively of Bommagadi praying for a grant of Inam lands in reconsideration of their case.

Order R. No 1731 dt 17 Sept 97.

The petitioners are referred to the Endorsement already given and informed that no notice will be taken of any further communications on the subject.

17.9.97

List

R.

14 Sep 97

To the petitioners with Enclosure of 2

Aug. 23-9-97

By order

H. A. Lisle

Ag Private Secretary.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The petrs pray for a reconsideration of Govt. R No. 663, dated 8th March last, on the following grounds:-

The petition on which the above order was passed is an appeal against their dismissal which was ~~for~~ based on the fact of their having been fined in 1894 and cannot therefore come under Act III of 1895. The Board in passing orders on the petrs' case said that it was "unable to interfere" "the conviction being still in force". Many people who have had convictions are holding offices and it is unjust that a dismissal should be enforced in the case of the petrs alone. The lower courts have done the petrs injustice and the petrs should deem themselves unfortunate if Govt is not pleased to enquire into the matter. Subsequent to the conviction the Collr. on the recommendation of the Tahr. simply let off petrs. with a severe warning and did not deem it fit to remove them from office. The petrs. are petty officials and poor & no appeal was made against their unjust conviction. It is now too late to prefer an appeal to the High Court. As the petrs were not disturbed from office for 18 months after their conviction they thought

thought they were secure and were not anxious to appeal against their conviction. Under the circumstances the petrs pray that H.E. the Govr in Council will be pleased to admit their appeal and extend to them the mercy which was not denied to many offenders during this Jubilee year. The petrs are young and can serve Govt. many years more. They pray in conclusion that their dismissal may be set aside and that if it is not feasible to restore them to the appointments they held, they may be appointed to other village offices than the above.

C.G.
12.9.97

PETITION

8788

REVENUE DEPT

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only