Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1714

DATED 15th Sept.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Inam Commr. to obtain and submit for the information of Govt. the statements filed by the Inamdars at the time of Inam Settlement in regard to the Istl. Inam of Thekkuttittai village, Chidambaram Taluk, S. Arcot Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ctd. 103	06/97						

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No.

26 AUG 97
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Order R. no 1714 d/15 Sept. 97

The Inam Commissioner is requested to obtain and submit for the information of Govt. the statements filed by the inamdars at the time of inam settlement. He is also requested to submit the statement referred to in para 2 of the collector's letter.

List

A.

11/9/97

To the Inam Comr

Dis. 20-9-97

From

The Inam Commissioner
Madras

Disposal No. 401

Dated 24 August 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.


Submitting report on the appeal petition of Arian, Toti of Therkuthittai village Chidambaram Taluk South Arcot District

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	833		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Bhuvanigiri
PETITION 12th April 1897

No.
PETITION
0000
REVENUE DEPT

REVENUE DEPT

To
The Honble Members of the
Government of Madras

The humble petition of Arian, Thoti of Mel Bhuvanigiri a Cheri or village of Chidambaram Talug, South Arcot District

Most respected Sirs

I am the Thoti of the Mel Bhuvanigiri village in the S. Arcot Dt for the last more than 30 years and am solely enjoying the Inam lands thereof with no partner claiming interest in them. This continued to be till 1892 when the Inam Dy Collector came and instituted certain enquiries regarding the Inam lands. It was at this time a Cooly of mine (divided from my family more than 40 years ago & during the life time of his father) Murugan came forward for the 1st time then and claimed interest in the lands and during my absence represented to the Dy Collector that he is a claimant to the office of Thotiship & that he has been enjoying part of the Inam lands. This is entirely false and in this deceitful subterfuge he was aided by the equally unscrupulous Village officers who also said he was enjoying portion of the Inam lands. In this way his claim came to be entered in the Inam Register

2 Soon after this I applied to the Collector of South Arcot that Murugan has no claims whatever to the Thoti office and that he had never anything to do with the Inam lands.

and that therefore his name should be omitted from the Inam Register. I was referred to the Inam ~~Registrar~~ Commissioner as it was a case already ~~referred to~~ disposed of by ~~him~~ him. I therefore applied to the Inam Commissioner & got the reply which I now enclose. It is said therein "that it appears from the Collector's report that Murugan also enjoys the Inam and that therefore Murugan's name cannot be removed."

3. As the supposed enjoyment of the land by Murugan seems to be the only objection raised I am prepared to show to your honor's Complete satisfaction that Murugan, beyond doubt, was never enjoying even so much as a Kuli of the Inam land.

I request your honor will patiently go through what I have to say and judge for yourselves, whether in the face of such facts Murugan could ever be enjoying any portion of the Inam lands.

4. The Inam lands for the office are as follows:—

Item I Acres 1.39 in S. no 336 wet in the village of Echangattukuppam in hamlet of Therkuthittai

II 3/4 of a Cawnie in the village of Therkuthittai

III 29 cents in S. no 312 wet in the village of Therkuthittai

IV 3.60 cents of land (dry) in S no 228 in the village of ~~Therkuthittai~~ Therkuthittai ~~Echangattukuppam~~

These are all the lands for the Inam office and this could be verified by the Inam Register.

Of the above lands Item I is in the enjoyment & possession of one Pavadai Padiachi of Echangattukuppam as the same was mortgaged by me, alone & singly, by a Registered deed dated 17th October 1883.

Item no II is under mortgage with possession & in the enjoyment of Venkatesa Naicker & Srinivasa Naicker of Sandapet by four Registered deeds dated 27th August 1888, 27th December 1890, 18th August 1893 & 10th August 1894 respectively. This deed was also executed by me singly.

Item no III is also under mortgage with possession & in the enjoyment of Chinniah Padiachi of ~~Pandaramputhur~~ Echangattukuppam by a Regd deed dated 19th June 1893

Item no IV is in possession & enjoyment of Ramarasamy Padiachi, moneyer of Pudiaraiyambettai, Chinna Thambi Padiachi of the village & Alagia Pillai of the same village. This land is enjoyed by them in lieu of interest on a certain sum advanced by them on my house

5. Therefore your honor will perceive that all the lands of the Inam are in the possession & enjoyment of third parties having been mortgaged by me singly. If it had been true that Murugan at any time enjoyed any portion of the land, those in whose favor the lands have been mortgaged should certainly have insisted upon Murugan also signing & executing the deed in conjunction with me. The documents conveying possession & enjoyment to third parties owing to my indebtedness are all many of them prior to dispute.

Rec'd. Roan. No. 5171 Appl.

and are all registered deeds.

6. I request you kindly will be good enough to ascertain the facts of the case and the value of the land as stated by Vadivelan & make enquiries and report to me one week before which date I also desire the case upon such a report. I am a man of large family & I have 4 sons. Shiva Ganesa Coolie forward 6 cut of kindly the Lands visited & claim whatever of the two sides Kokan Koti why he is not to be given the privilege of the Pathiram & Kuppam villages the waste & burials are given lands with the aid of the village Officers.

7. Therefore I request you kindly to peruse enquire & report for the above mentioned documents, explaining them & also call for a report from the Tahsildar & Registrar based upon an enquiry independent of the Revenue officials and be careful & the Court of any allegations; and if any allegations were found true to remove the name of Munsiff from the Service Register, & he was given in the appointment of the land.

Sd/- [illegible]

Most Obedient Servant

For Kaimi' Court Chidambaram

Remarks

* The Rank of Munsiff Rate B

Extract from the **Register of Village Service Inams in the Taluk of Chidambaram**

Number of the Case.	Name of Office.	Name of the Inamdar.	Number or name of Fields.	Wet or Dry.	Extent.		Assessment.			Jodi.			Quit-rent.			Remarks of the Deputy Collector.
					Acres.	Cents.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
1	2	3	4	5	6		7			8			9			10
Register No 8			No. 268 Thenkuttitai													
										As per Pannash of Fasli 1236 and the B Register of Fasli 1297						
†	Jodi	1 Aniyan		Dry												No. 1 in Column 3 is the Inamdar and a murasi dar. No. 2 is his brother. Both of them enjoy the Inam. Recommended to be confirmed. No. 1 being too old for work his son Kuttan is now doing duty as his gumastah. He
		2 Patchayan	190	E-1-0	3	55	7	8	5							
				Wet Crop												
			235	0-3-1	0	27	1	6	9							
			255	1-1-3	1	46	13	9	5							
			423	0-12-0	0	99	10	8	0							
		Total		2-1-0	2	72	25	8	2							
		Grand Total		4-12-0	6	27	33	0	7				20			

Paradai	Total W	2	5	8	18	6	0				
and Muthian	Grand Total	6	1	8	23	8	0	- - - - -		14	00

(Signed) C. S. Cole
Inam Comm.
10 Dec. 1895

T. Dno. 864

On Regr. No. 577 of 94
Ver
dated 21 January 1894
to the Inam Commissioner
(Signed) P. Krishnaiah
Deputy Collr.

Paradai and Muthian
have also to be included in Column
3, Vide Collector's letter Ref. no. 2187 dated
Enq
9 May 1891 to the Inam Commissioner
(Signed) P. Krishnaiah
Deputy Collector

(True Extract)

Recr
for Inam Commissr

Collector's Office

Amal an all requisite deeds.

6. I request you kindly will be pleased to ascertain the title in this case of the Karai by Varadiah Naika enquiry, independent of the one pointed out by the Karai Officers and to send a report. Plan a map of Karai Paradi & Karai Cowdi, Nari & Perumpalam common pasture & cart off kindly the Lands with no claim whatever. If he has been likan Kot why he is that he has been the private well of the Ekambaranathapuram villagers He wants to provide no dry lands with the aid of the village Officers.

7. I therefore request you kindly will be good enough to send for the above mentioned documents, examine them & also call for a report from the Tahsildar & Magistrate based upon an enquiry independent of the V. Officers statements and the Varadiah, and if any attempt may allegations, and if any attempt were by the people time to prevent the Karai of Murugan from the Pasture Reports, as he was sent in the report of the Lands.

Sd/ G. Pennycuick
Most Obedt Servt
for Inam Commr

Translation of Memorandum

To The Head Assistant Collector, Thanjavur

Sir,

South Arcot

No. 1678/Var

Collector's Office
Cuddalore
Dated, 10 August 1897.

From
A. E. Cunningham Stuart Esq. M.C.S.
F.H.S., F.R.S., F.R.G.S.
Collector of South Arcot.

To
The Inam Commissioner
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to your letter R.C. 289 dated 12th June 1897. I have the honour to report for the information of the Government appeal petition of Ahiam Lotti of Kambarthitti village and Thambiah Lotti Inamdars of Kambarthitti village as follows:-

1. The Lotti Inams of Kambarthitti which measures 6.18 acres is comprised in the following:

in the District of South Arcot

Division of the Inam Commissioner.			As per new Settlement. Area. Acres.	Cents.	Assessment. Rs.	A.	P.	Excess area over and above that entered in Column 6 after allowing the village average and 10 per cent. Acres.	Cents.	Assessment on the excess area. Rs.	A.	P.	Revised Quit-rent. Rs.	A.	P.	Remarks.
11			12		13			14		15			16			17
			Manu.													
1			2	3	4			5	6							
C	a	p	A C	A.C	A. C			A. C	A.C							
761	1/4	2	927.84	1.22	0 -12			1.34	1.32							
Confirmed in the names of the individuals in Column 3 of Murugan	228	D	3	60	5	2	0									Murugan and Sakkalan have also to be registered as Inamdars as per Collector's letter Ref-
	312	W	0	29	1	7	4									
	336	W	1	39	9	12	4									
	480	W	0	90	7	3	0									

following:-

S. No.	Extent
2281	3.60
312	0.29
336	1.39
480	0.90

6.18

All these have been admittedly long in the enjoyment of strangers by virtue of mort-gages with and without possession. (vide accompany-ing statement). The Inam-dars omitted to bring this fact to the notice of the settling officers at the time of enfranchisement and managed to obtain a Title Deed deceitfully.

The Title Deed must therefore be cancelled and the Inam resumed and fully assessed.

3. The applicants repudi-ation of Mirasi enjoy-ment of the Inam is untenable as a matter of fact. Some of the Inam lands have been mortgaged both by the appellant and Mirasi and is different persons by separate regis-tered deeds.

4 The inclusion of Mirasi and

and his brother's name
in the Title Deed was
recommended for the reason
stated in this office Ref. on
No. 3197 Ver dated 21 January 1894.

I have &c.

(Signed) H. Subbaraya Iyer
For Collector

(True Copy)

Disposal No. 401

Submitted to Government
together with an extract
from the Inam Register relating
to the case, and with the
appeal petition and its
enclosure with reference
to Government Order
No.

No. 830 R. dated 30 April 1897.

2. The name of Murugan
which the petitioner requests
to be excluded from the Inam
Register and the Title Deed
was included therein on the
report of the Collector to the
effect that Murugan was
also enjoying the Inam in
question.

appeal petition
is what is referred
on the previous
page.

3. From the appeal herewith
resubmitted and from
the Collector's report above
it now appears that the
Inam lands have all been
in

in the enjoyment of strangers
by virtue of mortgage and
should therefore be resumed
and fully assessed, after-
Cancelling the Title Deed
already issued, on the ground
that it has been obtained
by fraudulently omitting
to bring the fact of mortgage
to the notice of the settling
officer at the time of enfran-
-chisement.

Inam Commissioner's office
Madras. 24 August 1897

Recm
For Inam Commissioner

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189


READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only



Order on Petition no. 13 of 1897.

The following endorsement was sent to the petitioner on 27th August 1896 on his previous petition; —

"From the Collector's report it appears that Murugan is also in enjoyment of the Inam. The petitioner's request cannot therefore be complied with."

Inam Commissioner's Office,
Madras, 13th February 1897.

C. D. M.
Inam Commissioner.

To

Aruan
Thoti of Mel Bhuvanagiri
Chidamboram Taluk.

Dr /

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Record-keeper.

Regular— / Miscellaneous No. [illegible] of 1897.

For what Current.		To whom given.	When taken out.
No.	Year.		
[illegible]		[illegible]	4-6-97