Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1686

DATED 8 Sept x

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Ramalingam Pillai late Moniem Dummiff of Thoppampatti Dharapuram Taluk, Coimbatore Dt. that under Sec 28 of Act III of 1895, no appeal lies to Govt.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189 7) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue,
Madras

Order

No. 2548 Routine

Dated 27th August 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks, to Govt the memorial of Ramalingam Pillai in re:his dismissal from the mokasam Munsiff office of Thoppampatti, Dharapuram Taluk, Coimbatore District.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Petn No 1418/Rev/97

PETN

711

RECEIVED OFFICE OF THE 29 JUL 1897 COIMBATORE.

To

REVENUE DEPT.

His Excellency the Right Honorable,

Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,

Governor of Madras in Council.

THE humble petition of Ramalingam Pillay, late Village Munsiff of the Thoppampatti Villages in the Dharapuram Taluq, Coimbatore District.

Showeth:—

1. That your petitioner is the hereditory Munsiff Monigar of the Thoppampatti Villages in the Dharapuram Taluq of the Coimbatore District and has served the state as such for a period of twenty years during which no fault was found with the performances of his duties by his superiors.

2. That by certain proceedings of the Sub-Collector of Coimbatore, dated the 26th October 1896, No. 3376 Rev. of 1896 your petitioner was dismissed from his appointment for the reasons therein stated (Copy annexed).

3. That your petitioner unsuccessfully appealed against the order of dismissal to the Collector and the Honorable Members of the Board of Revenue, and craves leave to refer to the proceedings of the Collector herewith submitted.

4. Your Petitioner humbly begs to submit that the Collector has tacitly conceded that the hypothesis of fraud is open to considerable doubt, and only confirms the order of dismissal on the score of your petitioner's accounts, being badly and irregularly kept. The Collector has however failed to recognise the many conflicting interests your petitioner had to contend with in the factious community in which he performed his duties, and did not realize the difficulty of making the collections in question promptly and punctually. The alterations in the tandal, though perhaps improper, could not be avoided owing to the late payments of the ryots and their recurring breaches of faith.

5. Your petitioner submits that the penalty of dismissal for mere delay in collections and remittances is unnecessarily severe and inequitable, and would also venture to crave that in this year of the Diamond Jubilee of our Most Gracious Sovereign Lady the Queen Empress of India, when felons and criminals have obtained pardon and remissions of their sentences, Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to overlook and condone the omissions and neglect of duty for which your petitioner has been punished and restore him to office. Your petitioner has been out of office since the date of the Sub-Collector's order.

And your petitioner shall ever pray.

26-7-97 (sd) Ramalingam

Petn. No. 1418/Rev/97

(1)

3,000
10-12-96.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue)

No. 2548 Roudim, dated 27th August 1897.

Read the following :-

1. Again B.P.No.2180 Misc., dated 10th June 1897, declining to interfere in the matter of the appeal petition of Ramalinga Pillai re: his dismissal from the post of Munsiff monigar of Thoppampatti village, Dharapuram Taluk, Coimbatore District.

2. Also letter from Herbert Bradley, Esquire, Collector of Coimbatore, Petn.No.1418/Rev. of '97, dated 18th August 1897.

--------:0:--------

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government.

3. Under Section 52 of the Madras Hereditary village Officers Act III of 1895, the Board's order in the case is final.

(True Extract)

K.Swamkannu
27 AUG 1897
for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt.R.D., with letter & petn. and ... papers to be returned.

M.R.H.

C no 7614 of 97

L. O. (Rev) No 16868/8 Sept 97

The petitioner should be informed that, under section 23 of Act III of 95, no appeal lies to Government.

W.S.

Lrid

To The Board of Rev (L.A.) with enclosures

Copy to the petitioner.

Desp. 13-9-97

L.R.
6 Sep 97

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
SMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.