

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1596

DATED 26 Aug

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring Petitioner's Mr. Sripura Reddi, Karnool
St. to Enclt No 2810 & 19th July 1894 and informing him
that no further petitions from him on the subject
will be noticed by Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 7067

26-26-8-97

List

Order R no 1596 d/ 26th Sept 97

From M. Tripura Reddi,
Kurnool St.

The petition is referred
to Enst no 2810^{19 July 1894} and
informed that no further petitions
from him on the subject will be
noticed by Govt.

1/10/97

No.

Dated 9th August 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may
be appointed as the Reddi
of Doddireddipalli,
Mankapur Taluk.

In the Petition dated 1-9-97

24/8/97

24/8/97

RE-17

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	4926		6.11.95
	1036		26.6.95
	4625		15.11.95
	667		18.7.96
	714		5.1.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

0667

CHIEF SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPT.
13. AUG. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The Secretary to Govt. of Madras
St George Land Revenue
Madras

The humble petition of Koorva
Tripura Reddi resident of Doddireddipalli
hamlet of Komare markapur taluk
Kurnool District submitted respectfully
Sheweth:

(1) That the petitioner begs
to submit that at the reorgani-
zation of the village establishment
held in 1862 (1) Kundampalli (2)
Ranganapalem (3) Doddireddipalli
were added to Komare and the
father of the petitioner who was a big
Birasidar was appointed Reddi of
Komare and its hamlets.

(2) That when the Government in its
order no. 714 of 1879 ruled that a
separate Reddi should be appointed
to Doddireddipalli one of the hamlets
of Komare, the then Tahsildar of the
instead of acting according to the
order above referred to to nominate
a separate

2

a separate man to Doddinellipalli transferred the petitioner's father, who was the Reddi of Casba to Doddinellipalli hamlet of Komarole and nominated Pheemineni Sunderayya to Casba Komarole without taking the consent of the petitioner's father.

(3) That in 1880 the petitioner's father's post was conferred on the petitioner as the father ^{of the petitioner} retired on account of dolage that as Sunderayya the assistant Reddi who was appointed in accordance with the order no: 714 of 1872 was dismissed from service one Basuayya a cousin of the dismissed incumbent was nominated for the post and that Basuayya is still the Reddi of Komarole.

(4) That the Government in its order no: 667 of 1890 has ruled that the assistant Reddis appointed for hamlets should be removed, that to act up to the order the petitioner was removed from service though the petitioner's father and the petitioner were holding continually

Continually the post of Reddi since
the time of Revision in 1862 instead of
removing the Substitute Basanappa
who succeeded consequent on the
dismissal of Yanderappa who was
appointed under order no: 714 in 1872

(5) The points therefore to be ~~considered~~
considered regarding the right of
the petitioner are as follows:-

- i Whether the meaning of order
no: 667 directing the removal of
assistant Reddi was only to
remove such men that were
appointed in accordance with
order no: 714 of 1872 or was to
remove the petitioner who is
the heir of the Revision holder
and who was for convenience
or for some other cause
transferred from Casbar to hanleth
without taking his consent?
- ii Whether such arrangement
made by the then Sahsildar
against the order of the Government
was justifiable and is the
applicant to suffer by such
unjust action of the then Sahsildar?

iii

iii Whether the man now
referred is competent
to hold the office or is

6 Under these circumstances the applicant
keeps to submit to a certain the truth of
the statements made in this petition by
referring this application either to the Sahsildar
or to the Divisional officer of the Division
for reasonable enquiry and to restore the
petitioner who is unjustly removed by the
wrongful transfer by the then Sahsildar
misconstruing the meaning of the order
no. 711 of 1872. There has been no provision
they to submit, either in the Gazette or
in any Government order for the transfer
of the village officials from one place
to another but it was made here which is
quite against for which act of kindness
and charity the petitioner as in duty
bound must pray for your long life and
prosperity. The petitioner keeps to remain
Honored Sir,

The pictures kept to remain
most Honored Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Boarkapou

Date. 9.8.77

Petitions

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.