Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1592

DATED 25 Sept

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Purvatarāju Venkayya, late Curnam of Narayanareddipalli, and Matakatla, Rayachoti Taluk, Cuddapah Dt. that under section 23(1) of Act III of 1895 no appeal lies to Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

15 AUG 97 REVENUE DEPT.

pps 2 97

List

Order R no 1592 d/25 aug 97

From H. A. Sim Esq dcs
Sg Private Secretary to
H. E. the Governor.

The petitioner is informed that under Sec 23 (1) of Act III of 1895 ~~the decision of the Board of Revenue is final in this case and that~~ no appeal lies to Govt: ~~against it~~

11/8/97.

No.

Dated 17th August 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dy 12th August 1897 from Puvvataraju Venkayya late Karnam of Narayanreddipalem & Mutakatla, Rajahmundry Tq, Cuddapah Dt, praying that he may be more leniently dealt with.

(By order)

~~To the Board of Revenue~~ Petitioner

dcd. 31-8-97

R.
23/8/97

Asst Secy Private Secretary

Asst
23/8/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

To

His Excellency Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock,
G. C. M. G., G. C. I. E.,
Governor of Madras.

The humble memorial of, PURVATARAJU VENKAYYA, *late Curnam of Narayanareddipalli and Motakatla Rayachoty Talúk, Cuddapah District.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

The humble memorialist was Curnam of Narayanareddipalle Since Fasli 1295. The village of Motakatla was clubbed during the revision of village establishment in 1893. The memorialist bears testimonies of his good conduct and the satisfactory way in which he has discharged the duties of his Office.

2. The memorialist has been dismissed from Office by the Collector for no fault of his. He therefore humbly endeavours to approach His Excellency with this memorial as he is debared by Section 23 Act III of 1895 with perfect hopes of Justice being done to him. Had the Board of Revenue passed its decision on the merits of the memorialist's case justice would have certainly been done to him, but it has simply declined to interfere simply because the Collector requested to up hold his order of dismissal.

3. His Excellency's humble memorialist has thus been deprived of obtaining a favourable decision from the hands of the Board of Revenue. The memorialist therefore humbly craves His Excellency for mercy and admission of this memorial as a special case.

4. His Excellency's Memorialist humbly begs to submit that during the Jammabundy of Fasli 1305 he was directed on the 30th April 1896 to prepare Jammabundy account No. 12 for both the villages which contained 320 and 210 puttahs respectively within 26 hours without considering the possibility or otherwise of their preparation within so short a time. The two villages in question contain 17 Tanks under which remission was disallowed and actual cultivation and

waste had to be extracted from Adungal which process will take a good deal of time and No. 9 had to be prepared simultaneously. After going through these processes, account No. 12 had then to be closed thus settling the demand of each puttahdar. His Excellency's humble memorialist should necessarily take time in preparing them and give these over finally which took him till 4th May 1896. The Collector being too hasty and supported by Tahsildar who bore ill feeling towards the memorialist passed the order of dismissal for this simple and trifling fault (delay in completing account No. 12). Several other Curnams have completed their accounts subsequent to that of memorialist but their conduct was left unnoticed. The severity of the Collector in punishing the memorialist extended only in this case but not in the case of others who were also equally to be blamed for the delay in the preparation of account No. 12.

5. His Excellency's humble Memorialist was earning Rs. 7 per mensem and protecting 8 souls. Having thus been deprived of his liveli hood especially at this time of distress he was put to the necessity of going door after door on begging.

6. In conclusion His Excellency's humble Memorialist respectfully and earnestly prays to have a glance at this memorial and favour him with the means of living and protect his family and children.

His Excellency's humble memorialist
begs to remain,

Late Curnam of Narayanareddipally
and Motakatla Rayachoty Taluk,
Cuddapah District.

Dated 12 August 1897

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only