Revenue (189 7) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1590

DATED 25 August

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing M. Mali Reddi, acct'd of the district that under Sec 23 of Act III of 1895 as amended by Act IV of 1897 no appeal lies to Govt in this case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

O/18 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1107

List.

Order R no 5690 dt 25 Aug 97

The petitioner is informed that, under sec. 23 of Act III of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies to Govt in his case

18/8/97.

From M. Mahi Reddi
Cuddapah St

No.

Dated 10th August 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

To the petitioner with 2 Encs dtd 31-8-97

1st
23 Aug 97

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be appointed as the village munsiff of Masapetta group Rayachoti Taluk

Cancl 23/8/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3077		1 — July 96 —

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION
0673
REVENUE DEPT

CHIEF SECRETARY'S GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
15 AUG 1897

To

His Excellency
the Right Honourable
The Governor in Council
Fort St George.

May it please your Excellency,

The humble revision petition of Madithatti Maliredy of Collapalle village Royachoti Taluk against the decision of the Board of Rev. Rp. 3422 / 10.7.95 (herewith sent) most respectfully showeth:—

The collector in his proceedings dated 15th Apr 94 (herewith attached) has passed over the claims of your Excellency's petitioner for the village munsiff's office of Masapet village Royachoti Taluk.

It is admitted fact as would be seen from the judgment of the Collector abovementioned that your Excellency's petitioner is the Mirasdar of Masapet village which is the Cusba village. The Collector in his judgment further states that as your Excellency's petitioner

was not in office as Inamdar of
Nasapett village & as such cant
not claim preference. This is
quite Contrary to G.O. No 3077
dated 1st July 1892 where it
is not said that tmpl. one is
a registered office holder of
a Cusba village, his Inami
right ought not the recognised
because he is doing duties elsewhere.
in another village.

3. As regards Competency the Collector
thinks that tmpl your honor's petitions
is up to the mark still he is
not as clear as the other Man.
It is submitted that your Excellency's
petitions be not as clear as
the other Cant not stand before
his Inami right for the Cusba
village. The Board of Revenue
on an appeal preferred to it
has passed the resolution above-
mentioned to the effect that.

the Collector has discretion & as
such Could not interfere. This
Resolution is contrary to G.O. 3077
dated 1st July 1892 where the Govt
specially recognise the Inami right
to the Central Village in preference.
to the Inami right in other Inam
villages of the tmpl. As per G.O above
quoted Your Excellency's petitioner
ought to have been appointed as
Village Munsiff during the Revision
Under the above Circumstances your
Excellency's petitioner prays that
his Inami right be recognised &
order issued to appoint him as
the village Munsiff of Nasapett
village tmpl.

Cuddapah
10-8-93.

When given for copying ———
When copied ———
When examined ———
When signed ———
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.