

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 15-85

DATED 23 Sept

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing N. Venkatappayya, Kistna
St. that under section 23 of Act III of 1895
no appeal lies to Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

18 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 7068

Order (Hon) No 1588-d/23 Aug 97 From N. Venkatarappayya, District St.

The petitioner will be informed that under section 23 of Act III of 1895 no appeal lies to Government.

No.

Dated 10th August 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Praying that the order dismissing him from the post of karnam may be

Note

The petitioner who was karnam. Can called gunastah or acting karnam, was dismissed from his post by an order of the Dy. collector dt 9.10.96. This order was on appeal upheld by the collector.

with

with enclosures

27-8-97

The petitioner then presented an appeal to the Board and the Board rejected it on the ground that more than one appeal is inadmissible in the matter of punishment of karnam gumastahs. He now appeals to Govt. No appeal lies to Govt. under Act III of 1895 & a draft is submitted accordingly. The Bd's endorsement seems open to question. The petitioner's appointment as acting karnam or karnam gumastah either was, or must under Sec 18 of the ^{Madras} General clauses Act I of 1891 be held to have been, made under cl. 4 or cl. 5 of sec. 10 of Madras Act III of 1895. The order dismissing him should also have been passed under sec. 7(1) of this Act. If so, he has the right of a second appeal to the Board under the proviso to sec. 23(1) of the Act. For orders as to whether the Bd may be asked to explain under what authority it holds that a second appeal ~~is~~ not admissible in the matter of punishment of karnam gumastahs.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Karnam Gumastahs are not auditing officers & that their applⁿ will not come under the Act.

12/11/97.

PETITION

0008

REVENUE DEPT.



His Excellency

The Governor in Council

Fort St. George

Madras.

Suble appeal petition of Randiyana Venkataswamy inhibitor of Chandalapatti State of Caranur of Narayanapuram, Brander Dy, Ristun Dist.

Most Humbly Sheweth,

In submitting herewith copy of proceedings No 2331 dated 9-10-96 of the Head & Dy Collector Ristun, dismissing petitioner from service & proceedings of the Collector Ristun dated 24-2-97 No 801 dismissing petitioner's appeal & copy of proceedings of the Board of Revenue No 1435 dated 18-2-97 rejecting petitioner's appeal petition on grounds that more than one appeal is inadmissible & as well copy of petitioner's appeal petition to the Board of Revenue dated 6-5-97, from Excellency's room petitioner appeals on the following points:-

1. That your Excellency's room & innocent petitioner having stated all her grounds of appeal in her appeal petition to the Board of Revenue (copy of which is herein enclosed) he has not re-capitulated them here.
2. That the Board's resolution of the 23rd of

that the petition is rejected no more than
one appeal is in-admissible, & quite
contrary to Law & Justice.

3. Petitioner thinks, that it is the duty of
the appellate authority when an appeal was
proposed, to send into it & render justice
promptly. If not, when the lower courts
do not take the trouble to send into the
case fully, in what other way can the
parties obtain justice.


4. That the Board were not even pleased
to send for the record. & hence it is
whether any injustice was done or not
to the petitioner.

5. That the petitioner does not in any way
obtain in the matter as he stated what
really occurred. He admitted before
the Dy collector he even now admits
of having received some money from
village servants as a loan (when they all
came to Head Sir for Jamabandi as he
was then in want of money, he having
expended what he brought from his house
because he was obliged to stay at the
Head Sir for a long time) on condition
of making it good in the next month.
But he has not received it as a bribe
now he wanted to buy at propitiate it. At 2
the advice of the dismissed Caravan

& the Revenue Inspector this case was
brought against him - but to the misfortune
of the petitioner, no enquiry was made by
any Officer of the truth of the case.

6. Petitioner was only an acting Caravan
for 6 months - & would he dare to commit
such acts which are illegal. He is only
20 years old & a peasant & if he is now
dismissed, all his future prospects will
be in vain. If your Excellency also is
of opinion after perusing the whole con-
fidence connected with the case, that
petitioner is guilty of the offence with which
he was charged; your Excellency's poor
helpless petitioner begs that your Excellency
may highly be pleased to cancel the dismissal
order passed by the Head Sir of collector
& confirmed by the Dist collector & consider
it as a resignation to look for his future bread
any where. For which act of justice & kindness
your Excellency's poor petitioner, shall a sin
duty bound ever pray.

Indrapati Singh
10/8/97

He begs to remain,
Most Respectfully,
Your Excellency's poor
humble & obedient servant


When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPER NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

S.F.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only