Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1534

DATED 13th Aug

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from B. Krishnayya, Cuddapah Dt., disposed of under Para 7 of C. Rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 6620

Petn

Order R. Cno. 1534 8/13th Aug. '97.

Para X of C. Rules.

Jle 13/8/97

From B. Krishnayya,
Cuddapah N.

No.

Dated 25th July 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying that the Collector's order that the petitioner should not be reemployed in this Dt. may be cancelled

To the Petr with Encls
dtd. 17-8-97
a/k
1/8

Cno 11010/97 = Qo 28 R
7.1.98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

C383

REVENUE DEPT.

To.

The His Excellency The Governor

in Council Fort St. George.

The humble memorial

of B. Krishnayya of Pulivendla

Pulivendla Taluk cuddapah

District, most respectfully

showeth.

That your honor memo-

-rialist was acting as Taluk

Gumastah of Pulivendla Taluk

in the year 1896.

2. That in the month of

March 96 there was a complaint

against one Sungaramsingh

the then Taluk Head-accountant

of Pulivendla that he misre-

-presented the fact of his

having joined the duties

on the 29th of February 96

after 7 days casual leave when

it was alleged he actually

joined the duties on the 30th idem.

3. That the Divisional officer held an enquiry on the subject and the petitioner gave a statement that the said Head-accountant joined the duties on the 29th February 96.

4. That similarly The Tahsildar, Stationary-Sub Magistrate, the Taluk Shroff and 2 more gumastahs gave statements that the said Sungaramsingh joined the duties on the 29 ~~th~~ idem.

5. That the Divisional officer held that it was untrue that the said sungaramsingh joined the duties on the 29 ~~th~~.

6. That the collector thereupon passed an order that the memorialist's acting appointment should cease and he should not be re-employed during his stay in the District. (An authenticated copy of the order is herewith submitted for perusal).

7. That since passing of the said order the same collector has confined on the said Sungaramsing the principal culprit, if at all he is one, a more responsible place ~~i.e.~~ Serastadar and 2 ~~nd~~ Magistrate.

8. That the Tahsildar who at the time of the enquiry was only holding an acting appointment was made permanent since passing of the ~~order~~ said order.

9. That your Memorialist was employed in the famine as Head Mastery on Rupees 15 per Month.

10 That the Collector having been informed of the same ordered his immediate removal and called for an explanation from the Tahsildar as to how the memorialist was employed without the matter being brought to his notice even though he was employed out-side the Revenue department.

11. That in consequence of the order of the Collector the petitioner is starving in these famine days though his supposed offience is considerably less henous than that of the two responsible officers above referred to. (The Head-accountant and Tahsildar who were actually promoted).

12. That the Memorialist then memorialized the famine commissioner who stated that that was not a subject with which the Board was concerned.

13. That the Memorialist begs to submit that the Government orders are very clear as to the re-employment of the dismissed servants that they are ineligible only when orders to that effect are published in the Fort St. George Gazett.

14. That if the Collector

had really thought that the supposed misconduct of the memorialist was so very grave he would have recommended the removal of the two more responsible officers above mentioned.

16. That the Memorialist therefore prays that the arbitrary orders passed by the Collector in his case may be reversed and he be declared a free man to seek employment anywhere in the District even during the stay of the present collector.

For such act of kindness the Memorialist shall as in duty bound ever pray.

Ethirayya
Petitioner 25/7/1896.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT,								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only