Revenue (189) Department

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1505

DATED 18th March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording BP passing remarks on letter from the Coll^r of Cuddapah explaining about the insufficiency of relief works opened in his Dt:

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

S

CURRENT No.

The Hon'ble Mr. Grant C.S.I. Member
H.E. The Governor

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
19 MAR 97
SECRETARIAT RECORD

Mr. Todd's letter seems to me satisfactory, but has any order been passed on Mr Rao's 25.2.97 letter yet?

JG
18.3.97

Yes, the paper is to be recorded, but the order has not yet issued
From Vide G.O. No. 1977
This must be by tomorrow
The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue
Revenue - L. R. & Agri.
Madras
No. 1726 Mis:
JG
15/3/97

Order

Dated 8th March 1897.
Received
Registered
Enclosures
Spare copies

There is really nothing to show that there was any inefficiency or delay in opening works in Cuddapah. The only fact is that a few people went to an hour's per work close to their village to earn their bread. The B.C. does not open with a view to test Todd or his wages but this whole business is an example of the way any official who does not run with the stream can be baulked by unscrupulous persons.

SUBJECT.

Passing remarks on the letter from the Collector of Cuddapah explaining about the insufficiency of the relief works opened in his district.

G.O. mis No. 705 dt 18th March 97

Recorded

11/9/57.

C. 10/3/97. R. 15/3/97. R. 18/3/97.

Letter 20/3/97 (Telt) RS 18-2-97

11/18/57.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
130	160 & 7	25-2-97	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Cuddapah Collector's Office
Dated 6th March 1897

Current No. 94/Four of 97.

From

A. C. Tate Esquire
Collector of Cuddapah

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Revenue Settlement and Director
of the Department of Land Records
And Agriculture
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following remarks with reference to the first two sentences of para 5 of Board's Proceedings, dated 25th February 1897, No. 760 (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture) received by me this morning.

7
1
2115
7/4/A

2.

2. Speaking of Anantapur District, the Board says "The people are generally in good condition, but are now beginning to flock to the relief works, and in Tadpatri Taluk, the Board understands some are coming in from Cuddapah. This is not as it should be, and the Collector of that District will be requested to lose no more time in opening a sufficiency of works for all the distressed in his district."

3. At the outset, I desire to state that I have been lately grossly misrepresented and libelled in the public press. Up to the present, I have raised no question about nor refused to sanction the opening of any relief work in the district, which any of my subordinates recommended to be opened. On the contrary, I directed on the 11th February, the opening of two relief works in Cuddapah Taluk, which the Tahsildar and deputy Collector reported to me would not be required until the middle of March. The representations to the contrary made about my action have doubtless been inspired by certain of my subordinates, whose conduct is unsatisfactory and who are expecting to be punished.

4. With reference to the Board's remarks now under reply, which implies that I am losing time in opening a sufficiency of works and that it is not proper that people should come from Cuddapah District for works in Tadpatri Taluk, I have the honour to repeat that

that none of my subordinates have reported the necessity for further works and that I have ascertained from the Assistant Engineer in charge of the Anantapur District work alluded to that out of a total of 1861 workers, only 139 belong to Cuddapah district and these 139 people belong to a few villages situated on the very border of this District closest the relief work in Anantapur. It will be practically impossible to open separate relief works in every village where there are a few labourers to work for famine wages and unless this is done there is no way of preventing the people of villages on the District

border going a short distance over the border to a work in the adjoining District. When the Rajoli project is started in this District (and I have already asked for permission to start it), there is every likelihood that during the next 3 months, it will attract several labourers from the adjoining District of Kurnool. This seems to my judgment quite as it should be, and I think that it is quite unnecessary in the case of relief works near a District boundary that the workers should all belong to the District in which the work is situated

5. I have asked the Executive Engineer on the 11th February

February and again on the
27th February to start the
Sinhadripalle-Kondapuram
road work, and it will..
supply labour to the people
of this District allocated
by the Board within a
reasonable distance of
their homes. I understand
that the work will be
started to-morrow. Even
after it is started, I fully
expect that the Cuddapah
boundary will continue
attending the adjacent
work in Anantapur.

6. In Conclusion, I beg
that, if the views herein
Expressed do not Commend
themselves

themselves to the Board, a
specific order may be issued
as to what I should do, and
to say that I consider I
have lost no time in opening
a sufficiency of works in
my District, and that it
is disheartening to find
oneself publicly blamed
before any Explanation
is called for, and feeling
Conscious that the blame
is undeserved. I respectfully
request that this Explanation
may be forwarded to
Government before orders
are issued on the Pro-
-ceedings which have
impelled me to write.

I have

Fthis letter.-

I have to
Rejoin A.C. Tate
Collector 6/3/87

(True Copy)

R. V. Srinivasan
Secretary

Ex 82/87

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement ,Land Records & Agriculture)
Dated 8" March 1897. No:1726 Mis:

Read letter from the Collector of Cuddapah dated 6" March 1897 C. No: 94/Tour.

Resolution.

It is satisfactory to note that Mr: Tate can assure the Board that no very large numbers have gone from his district to seek relief in Anantapur, but it is not so satisfactory that he should have first learnt of the distress in the locality from this having occured.

2. As to the 5 works, which the Collector ordered to be opened on 11", one of them was not opened till the 22" of February and the other Yerraguntla-Vempalli road has not so far as the Board is aware, been opened as yet. The Colledtor promised the Famine Commissioner on the 15" ultimo that this work would be opened in 4 days.

3. The Board cannot agree with the Collector that a sufficient ~~No.~~ of works had been opened in his district. Up to February 22" ,only three works ~~had been kept~~ the same started early in November- had been kept going ~~on~~- .

4. Of course coolies should go to the nearest work, no matter in what district.

(True Extract)

R. V. Srinivasan
Secretary.

To.

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept:

The Collector of Cuddapah.

Ex 87/87

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only