

Revenue (1897) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 149


DATED 10th March

[Despatch Abstract.]

approving the proposal to fix the
southern margin of the Nandikanuma road
as the boundary between the Nandyal & Sirine
Taluk in the Kurnool DC.

[illegible][illegible]

CURRENT No. 1576



*From the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)*

No. 614 Enis:

Dated 18. Febr. 1897.

Received 10 - 2 - 97

Registered 22- 2. 97

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government
letters from the Collector of Rangoon
regarding the Naudyal River
boundary.

Order Refu B.P. $\frac{614 \text{ mix}}{18.2.97}$

The Board is re-
quested to ^{submit} ~~forward~~ copies
of the letters returned to it
with O.O. No 4157 misc d
21st. Oct 1896.

These papers &c. not seen
to me. We required should
mention is whether the inclusion
of the handgama pass road in the
northern taluk entirely new to the

The Board of Rev: LA

Sec is objection, as stated in Rego
the notes in 90. 415-7 Rev. An offence
committed on the road will present no
difficulty but if a fight ^{takes place or a} of decency is
committed on the south end of the road, spectators
as to prohibition might arise. It is thought
however that the revised boundary might be
afforded. Dec. 21/11

St. Michaels Co. / 1891 / 1891


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,000
23-1-97.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 18th February 1897.

No. 674

Miscellaneous.

READ

Letter from C. M. Mulla, Esq., Collector of
Kinnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue, Madras, Ref. on C. No. 2979, dated
25th November 1896, and the following endorsement
thereon by the Conservator of Forests, Northern
Circle, No. R.C. 1119, dated 18th December '96.

— also the following ^{Forwarded} reference thereon dated
9th January '97.

The Collector is requested to return the
maps forwarded with Board's Proceedings —

H. 4897 mis.
30.10.96

— also letter from the same Office, R.C. No. 2979
of 95-96, dated 18th January 1897, and the following
endorsement thereon by the Conservator of Forests,
Northern Circle, No. 497.

23.1.97

Forwarded.

Resolution

Resolution:-

1910
The Kurnool Collector's letter
read above will be submitted to Government
with reference to its order No. 4157-mis.
21-10-96

2. The Board supports the Collector's
proposal to fix the Southern margin of the
Nandikanama road as the boundary between
the Nandyal and Sireel Taluks.

(True extract)

Recd
Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government,
Rev. Secyth, with 2 letters & 2 plans
to be returned.

The Hon: Mr. Secy. to Govt.

Print
11/3/97 P. S.

DECISION

9/3/97

To the Board of Rev: LR
with plans sent
Copy to the Intd. Secy.

10/10/97

2610
Read again G.O. No. 4157
21-10-96
also C.O. 1576 of 97

Order of 10th Mar: 1897, No. 149

In the circum-
stances reported, the Collector's
proposal, supported by the
Board of Rev:, to fix the Southern
margin of the Nandikanama
road as the boundary between
the Nandyal and Sireel Taluks
is approved

To
11/3/97
26/3/97

Government {1897} of Madras.
REVENUE.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1897.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 149, 10th March 1897.

MARCH.

Boundary.

Approving the proposal to fix the southern margin of the Nandikanama road as the —
between the Nandyal and Sirvel taluks in the Kistna District.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following G.O., Mis. No. 4157, Revenue, dated 21st October 1896:—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 4462, dated 30th September 1896:—

Read—the following letter from C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Jupad, 7th July 1896, Dis. No. 7-Ver.-Tour:—

It having been brought to my notice that the taluk boundaries which lie in the unsurveyed Nallamalais have never been traversed and are chiefly imaginary, I have the honour to suggest that advantage be taken of the presence of the forest survey party to get the boundaries properly fixed and surveyed.

The boundaries as shown on the two miles to the inch District maps are in some cases administratively inconvenient, and if the Board approves, I propose to make the following alterations; early orders are requested as the lines must be cut before the survey commences:—

(1) *The Sirvel-Nandyal boundary.*—Instead of the imaginary line shown on the map, adopt the Nandikanama pass as the boundary.

Reasons.—The pass is well marked on the ground by a broad high road, whereas the imaginary boundary shown on the map does not appear at all on the ground.

The road is already the boundary of the reserves north and south of it.

(2) *The Nandyal-Cumbum and Nandikotkur-Cumbum boundary.*—Starting from Rollapenta, great trigonometrical survey station, a straight line to the present trijunction of the three taluks; thence straight to the angle on the imaginary line, slightly south of, east of about $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles distant from Gundlabrahmeswaram great trigonometrical survey station.

Reasons.—The great trigonometrical survey station on Rollapenta hill forms a much better starting point than the imaginary point below it.

The great trigonometrical survey station will anyhow be used by the forest survey officials in order to connect their work with the revenue survey.

Rollapenta hill is a high well-marked point.

Long straight lines are easier to mark out, maintain and inspect than lines with many breaks.

(3) *The Cumbum-Markapur boundary.*—Starting from the point on the Cumbum-Nandikotkur boundary from which a line through Kuttalakonda great trigonometrical survey station produced would meet northernmost survey angle of Velugalapaia, that line to that point; and thence north-western surveyed angle of Bommalingam, and on in the same direction to meet the boundary of the Cumbum reserve; then that boundary to an angle three miles north of Magatur; and then to the north-eastern survey angle of Magatur; and then the east survey boundary of Magatur to the existing taluk boundary.

Reasons.—The villages of Magatur, Gannapalli and Velagalapaia with their hamlets, although shown even in the most recent maps as belonging to Markapur taluk, were transferred to Cumbum taluk in 1878 (*vide* G.O., * No. 900, dated 12th June 1878); the taluk boundary should have been altered at the same time, but as it was not done then, the presence of the forest survey party now affords an excellent opportunity of effecting the necessary alteration.

As regards details.—The forests immediately south of the Mantrala Ghât road (near Rollapenta) are more accessible to the Markapur than to the Cumbum ranger.

As Velagalapaia has to be transferred from Markapur to Cumbum, the straight line through Kuttalakonda is the shortest and most suitable boundary.

Further east, by adopting the existing reserve boundary which is already cleared, the clearing and maintaining of an extra line is saved.

Still further east, the eastern survey boundary of Magatur is adopted as it is already in existence and Forest survey operations may not extend so far east, so that if a new line through the hills were chosen it might remain unsurveyed, which would be inconvenient.

(4) *The Markapur-Nandikotkur boundary.*—Starting from Rollapenta, great trigonometrical survey station, a series of straight lines through the following great trigonometrical survey stations in the order in which they are named: Devarkonda, Gundlakonda, Gunikuntavodu, Gunamalapakonda, Pantrallakonda, and thence slightly west of north for about $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles to the Kistna river, meeting it at the point at which a stream is shown on the map as flowing into it.

Reasons.—From both a revenue and a forest point of view, Markapur taluk is a much lighter charge than Nandikotkur taluk. The forests transferred to Markapur by the change proposed are more accessible from Markapur than from Nandikotkur. The line proposed is considerably shorter than the existing (imaginary) line; hence less work necessary in clearing and maintaining the line.

2. The above proposals do not entail any expenditure which would not be incurred but for them; on the contrary they will reduce the expenditure which must be incurred sooner or later (and the sooner the better) in order to accurately define forest range limits, and to sub-divide the Nallamalais into blocks, each of which can be treated as a separate item.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 15th July 1896, R. C. No. 86.

Forwarded. I think the proposals good from a forest point of view as arranging for convenient sub-division.

Reference, dated 19th August 1896.

The Collector is requested to furnish the Board with a sketch showing clearly the position of the taluk boundaries as existing at present and the alterations proposed in them.

Read—the following letter from C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 1st September 1896, Ref. on C. No. 2979 of 1895-96:—

[Reference.—Board's Reference on General Register No. 4123.]

I have the honour to forward herewith a district key-map in two sheets showing the taluk boundaries as at present existing on paper, and as proposed; where no change is proposed, the revised line is broken. The existing imaginary taluk boundaries in the Nallamalais are also range boundaries.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 10th September 1896, R.C. No. 553.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Mis. No. 4462, dated 30th September 1896.

Submitted for the early orders of Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's proposals.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

R. C. C. CARR,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letters and two maps to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.

Order—Mis. No. 4157, Revenue, dated 21st October 1896.

The proposed alterations in the boundaries of the taluks referred to are approved and sanctioned, subject to the substitution of some more suitable line than the Nandigama pass for the Sirvel-Nandyal boundary. It is undesirable that a road should be taken as the frontier, as questions of jurisdiction may arise in the case of offences committed thereon. The boundary of a forest reserve on either side should, if possible, be adopted so as to leave the roadway wholly in one taluk or the other.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

G. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
„ Judicial Department.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 614, dated 18th February 1897:—

Read—the following letter from C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated 25th November 1896, Ref. on C. No. 2979 of 1895-96:—

With reference to paragraph 2 of Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 4897, dated 30th October 1896, I have the honour to suggest that the Nandyal-Sirvel boundary be defined as the *southern margin of the Nandikanama road*; this will throw the road entirely into the Nandyal range, and thus obviate the difficulty foreseen by Government while maintaining a well defined existing line as the practical boundary. The road itself forms the south boundary of one and the north boundary of another reserve, being itself free from reservation, so that by adopting the south margin of the road, we at the same time adopt the north boundary of the Sirvel reserve as the taluk boundary.

If this proposal is not approved, it will be necessary to cut and maintain an artificial boundary, which will ultimately cause confusion, as part of the Sirvel reserve will be thrown into Nandyal range.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 18th December 1896, R.C. No. 1119.

Forwarded.

Reference dated 9th January 1897.

The Collector is requested to return the maps forwarded with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 4897, dated 30th October 1896.

Read—the following letter from C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated 18th January 1897, R. C. No. 2979 of 1895-96:—

With reference to Board's Reference on General Register No. 14 of 1897, I have the honour to forward herewith the two maps received with Board's Proceedings, No. 4897, dated 30th October 1896.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Venkalapuram, 23rd January 1897, Dis. No. 497.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Mis. No. 614, dated 18th February 1897.

The Kurnool Collector's letters read above will be submitted to Government with reference to its order, Mis. No. 4157, dated 21st October 1896.

2. The Board supports the Collector's proposal to fix the southern margin of the Nandikanama road as the boundary between the Nandyal and Sirvel taluks.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed)

R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two letters and two plans to be returned.

ORDER—No. 149, Revenue, dated 10th March 1897.

Miscellaneous.

In the circumstances reported, the Collector's proposal, supported by the Board of Revenue, to fix the southern margin of the Nandikanama road as the boundary between the Nandyal and Sirvel taluks is approved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

G. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),
with plans and enclosures.

Copy to the Judicial Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

40 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature:

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

READ ADMIN G.O., No. DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH BILLS.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP BEING MEANT FOR DEBATE
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- H = Print heading only.