# Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

**G.O., No.** 1416

**DATED** 13th March

---

**[Despatch Abstract.]**

Requesting the Board of Rev. to state whether in the circumstances stated written, it has any objection to allowing a term for two years on the permanent appointment of B.C. Kamaraju, Probationary Inspector of Police in the Kistna Dt.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

# Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 176

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
24 FEB 97
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

*Order*

*From* The Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari, and Separate Revenue Madras.

Dis No. 532

*Dated* 11th February 1897

*Received* 24-2-97

*Registered* 25-2-97

*Enclosures* 14

*Spare copies*

SUBJECT

Submitting with remarks, to Government, appeal of B. C Kamarazu, Inspector of Police Kistna District against the Board's order, declining to grant him a lien on his permanent appointment in the Salt and Abkari Department until such time as he is Confirmed in the police.

(25-2-97)

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| fo 546 | | 7-9-96 Jud. | |
| | | Rev fo 3792 | 22-9-96 |
| Rev fo 2826 | | 17-7-96 | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

CURRENT No. 1080 of 1896

To

His Excellency the Governor in Council
Fort Saint George

Through the Deputy General of Police, Madras and
Through the District Superintendent of Police Kistna

May it please your Excellency

The humble petition of P. G. Kameraju Deputy
Police, Gudivada Division, Kistna District—Most
respectfully sheweth—

That your Excellency's petitioner was
till 22nd December 95, fourth grade Sub Inspector in the
Salt and Abkari Rev Depart, Rajahmundry circle, Go
davari District—

2nd On 22-8-94, he obtained permission of the
Commissioner of the Salt and Abkari Rev Northern
Range to join the Police Department as an Inspector
which place he holds at present on probation
for 2 years from 1st January 1896.

3 Before he was relieved in the Salt Department
(date of relief 21-12-95 afternoon), your Excellency's
petitioner submitted an application to the asst to
Commissioner, Cocanada Sub Div for 2 years leave on
his permanent post, 2 years being the probationary
period prescribed for a Police Inspector. This applica
was supported by the Deputy of the Rajahmundry circle

Ex: A-B.

Q = Copy heading o

se as will be seen from the enclosed post card. Ex: C

the assistant Commissioner declined to grant the
aforesaid leave stating that the Board's standing
order No 261 did not permit it. Your Excellency's
petitioner then represented to the assistant Commissioner
that the Board's standing order quoted above did
not apply to cases such as these where the pres-
cribed period of probation Exceeds 3 months;
that the petitioner's appointment was on probation
for 2 years; that the petitioner under the de-
partmental rules had to pass a departmental
Examination for confirmation, an Examination
which takes place only once a year and for which
one but those who put in a continuous
service of 6 months in the Department are allowed
to appear; and that the candidate is allowed
only two chances in the aforesaid Examination
whence arose the necessity for the present leave
period of two years.

The assistant Commissioner nevertheless refused Ex: E
to grant the petitioner's prayer and your Excellency's
petitioner was constrained to submit an
application for leave on loss of pay for two
years with permission to work in the Police
department during that period. But this

Ex: F.

application was also refused

5: Your Excellency's petitioner then appealed to the
Deputy Commissioner praying for grant of either
leave or leave for 2 years. But his prayer was

Ex: F 2.

not granted.

6. Your Excellency's petitioner thereupon submitted an
appeal petition to the Board of Rev Separate Ser
through the Deputy Commissioner asking for the same
time begged the latter to grant him 2 months
leave on Medical certificate to the said Department, 2 months
notice to the Police Department being required under
the Police Act Sec 19 Part XXIV of 1859 to quit
the Police Department but the Deputy Commissioner
refused to give any order pending the Board's

Ex: H.

orders and the Board ordered that your Excellency's
petitioner should report at once or forfeit

Ex: I

his appointment; that he would be allowed time
up to the end of April 96 to do so and that he
should be called upon to show cause why he
should not be punished for not having reported
at the end of the first 3 months. Your Excellency
will be pleased to observe that the time allowed
by the Board was until the end of April 96,
that is four months, which was in contravention
of the Board's standing order quoted above

se as will be seen from the enclosed post card. Ex: C

the assistant Commissioner declined to grant the
aforesaid leave stating that the Board's standing
order No 261 did not permit it. Your Excellency's
petitioner then represented to the assistant Commissioner
that the Board's standing order quoted above did
not apply to cases such as these where the pres-
cribed period of probation Exceeds 3 months;
that the petitioner's appointment was on probation
for 2 years; that the petitioner under the de-
partmental rules had to pass a departmental
Examination for confirmation, an Examination
which takes place only once a year and for which
one but those who put in a continuous
service of 6 months in the Department are allowed
to appear; and that the candidate is allowed
only two chances in the aforesaid Examination
whence arose the necessity for the present leave
period of two years.

The assistant Commissioner nevertheless refused Ex: E
to grant the petitioner's prayer and your Excellency's
petitioner was constrained to submit an
application for leave on loss of pay for two
years with permission to work in the Police
department during that period. But this

Ex: F.

application was also refused

5: Your Excellency's petitioner then appealed to the
Deputy Commissioner praying for grant of either
leave or leave for 2 years. But his prayer was

Ex: F 2.

not granted.

6. Your Excellency's petitioner thereupon submitted an
appeal petition to the Board of Rev Separate Ser
through the Deputy Commissioner asking for the same
time begged the latter to grant him 2 months
leave on Medical certificate to the said Department, 2 months
notice to the Police Department being required under
the Police Act Sec 19 Part XXIV of 1859 to quit
the Police Department but the Deputy Commissioner
refused to give any order pending the Board's

Ex: H.

orders and the Board ordered that your Excellency's
petitioner should report at once or forfeit

Ex: I

his appointment; that he would be allowed time
up to the end of April 96 to do so and that he
should be called upon to show cause why he
should not be punished for not having reported
at the end of the first 3 months. Your Excellency
will be pleased to observe that the time allowed
by the Board was until the end of April 96,
that is four months, which was in contravention
of the Board's standing order quoted above

ours Excellency's petitioner begs to submit that
when the Board in the circumstances of the case
seemed fit to grant him 4 months leave in
violation of its standing order, it could with
perhaps a little more of liberality have done perfect
justice to the case by granting him leave for 2
years which was the petitioners prayer, a prayer
which under the rules of the Department and the
circumstances of the case was but reasonable
The petitioner was therefore driven to the painful
necessity of submitting his resignation repeating
his representations that he was not allowed
sufficient time to enable him to the order granting
him leave up to the end of april 96 having
been received by him on or about the 6th may 96;
that the time required under the Orders for
him to resign his place in that Department
was not granted him; that the Board standing
order quoted above upon which application to
the Boards resolution was based was not
intended to apply with rigidity to special
cases such as his; that finally the Board
when it could relax so far its standing
order as to grant him a months time in
excess of the period allowable thereunder,
could with greater justice to the case have

could have relaxed it further.

8. The petitioners resignation was forwarded to the Board by the Deputy Commissioner with the recommendation that as the rules do not allow of the grant of a leave for a longer period than 3 months, the resignation might be accepted as the Board was pleased to accept the Deputy Commissioners recommendations and in doing so accepted the petitioners resignation

9. The petitioner begs to represent to your Excellency that in similar cases the Board have recommended officers who have been permitted by their Official superiors to take up appointments as Police Magistrates for a limited period of 2 years and the Government has also been pleased to accept the Boards recommendation and by relaw G.O. No 75 16 Rev dated 4th Septr 1888 on which Board standing order No 267 is based. As a case in point the petitioner begs to quote G.O. No 382 Financial dated 18th June 1896 in which sanction was accorded to the Boards recommendation that the clerk then under report who had been permitted by the the Rev Inspector

Ent: j

Ent: Pa 286
18-7-96

the Collector of Anuradhapura to take up appointments
& draw Salaries he allowed a lien on their
permanent appointments for this period of probation.
Your Petitioner's case is practically on all fours
with those reported therein and he could wish
for no better arrangement in his favour than those
recorded by the Board in their own Resolution
No 1979 dated 30-4-96 embodied in B. P
No. 248 of 7-7-96 dated Revenue and on which
the aforesaid G.O was passed.

Your Excellency's Petitioner represented
all these circumstances to the Deputy Surveyor
& Assistant circle who after full consi-
deration of the circumstances of his case
advised him to address the Board once more
through his (Petitioner's) official superiors Ex: K
The Petitioner accordingly submitted another
petition, like the present one, to the Board
through the proper official channels But
the Board declined to reconsider the matter Ex: L
and the Inspector General of Police was pleased
to pass thereon the following Endorsement:- Ex: M.
"The probationary Sub Inspector may submit his
submit his application for relaxation of lien on his
former appointments. Necessary recommendation
will be made to Government with reference to
the G.O quoted by him".

11. Your Excellency's Petitioner therefore begs
most respectfully to submit this his humble
petition - through the Inspector General of Police
for the benign consideration of Government
and begs to entertain a sanguine hope
that your Excellency's Government will be
pleased to bestow due consideration upon the
matter and grant him a concession which
has already been allowed by the Board in
other cases perhaps less deserving than the
petitioner's

The petitioner humbly begs to remain,

Your Excellency's most loyal and obedient Servant

Gedinara
8th December 96

D M Kamaras
Inspector of Police
I Div.

Ex: A to M inc

POLICE HEADQUARTER OFFICE
TO DEPT
KISTNA

Forwarded through the Deputy

Insp. Genl of Police, Nilgiris Range

Petitioners case is a hard one.

J. N. Nasir
Ag. Supt of Police

Camp Nuzvid
13.12.96

CURRENT No. 2078 of 1896

Jamiat
19.12.96.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS

# Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue.)

Dated 11th February, 1897.

No. 532, Dis

Read Endorsement of the Inspector General of Police. No 215 D dated 20th January, 1897 Submitting the appeal petition of B. C. Kamaraju. Inspector of Police Kistna District.

Resolution.

Submitted to Government.

2- The appellant having in his representation to the Deputy Commissioner, in May 1896. expressed his readiness to resign his post in this department his resignation was accepted by the Board at the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner.

× × ×

(True Extract)

Assistant Secretary

Returned to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department with appeal.

1897 Copy .. Inspector General of Police. with 1 endorsement

C. No. 1716 of 1897

For orders.

The petitioner who was a Sub Inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue in the Godavari district took up, with the permission of the Dy Commr N.F. a Police Inspector's appointment in the Kistna Dt with effect from 1st January 1896. As the probationary period prescribed for a Police Inspector was 2 years, the petitioner, before leaving the Salt & Abkari Dept applied to the Assistant Commr Cocanada Sub-Dn for the grant of a lien on his permanent post for that period. His request was refused on the ground that it was opposed to Bd's S.O. No. 261. His appeal to the Dy Commr and the Board likewise failed. The Board, in its Resolution of 21-4-96 ordered that the pets should revert to his permanent post with the end of April '96 or forfeit his appointment. The

The Hon. the Mr. Bliss C.S.I.

Ask the Bd if it has any objection with refce to the recent orders allowing an extension of the probationary period in certain cases.

BS
11/3/97

C. 10/3/97.
R. 12/3/97

Resolution, it is said, did not reach the petitioner till 5th May '96, and as it did not enable him to give two months notice to the Police Dept of his intention to leave it, he submitted a resignation which on the recommendation of the Dy Comm. was accepted by the Board. The petn now comes up to Govt. with a request that the concession granted to several others under similar circumstances may be extended to him.

2. The Inspr of Police in forwarding his memorial, states that the petitioner's case is a hard one.

3. Confirmation in the Police Inspector's post being subject to passing a departmental examination which is held only once a year and is open only to men who have put in a continuous service of 6 months in the Department, it will no doubt be hard to apply S.O. no 261 to such cases.

Lien for two years has of late been granted in several cases under similar circumstances - [illegible] provide. Nos. 3792 d 22-9-96 and 28 d 4-1-97 and 2826 d 17-7-9

It is all my will to talk of hard cases but the case of this man whom the Govt will keep on subjection fasting the also in force in mind. It is true that in the Police Districts some encouragement has been given to get good men into the Police.

AR 9/3/97

Read C. No. 1716 of 1897

G. O. Mis. No: 1416 dd 13th May 1897

The attention of the Board will be drawn to the marginally noted orders in which Govt thought it fit to grant lien for two years on their permanent assets to certain individuals ~~under similar circumstances~~, and it will be requested to state whether it still has any objection to allowing the same Concession to the petitioner.

13/5/97.

A.R.
13.3.97

From orders.

Dds. 17-3-97 { To the Board of Rev (Sep. Rev).
with. Copy of Go 3792 dd 22-9-96
+ Go. 28 d 4-1-97.

P/c 13/3/97

G.O. 2826 dd 17-7-96.
" 3792 dd 22-9-96.
" 28 dd 4-1-97

P = Copy abstra...
Q = Copy heading o...