Revenue (189) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1353

DATED 16th July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from the inhabitants of Perigapalem village, Kirmaldur Taluk, Colmigleprayed for disposal of under par 4 of C. Rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

SECY. GOVT. MADRAS
1ST JUL 97
REV. DEPT.

D.No. 1753

From H. A. Stuart Esqre I.C.S.
Ag Private Secretary to H.E. The Governor.

List

Order R No. 1353 of 19th July 97

Para 4 of Rules.

No.

Dated 10th July 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

To The Retitioners. Dld. 22.7.97

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated 7th July 1897 from the residents of Periyapalaiam village No. 192, Tiruvallur Taluk, Chingleput District praying that Kannamma Pillai, the late Karnam of their village who was dismissed, may be restored to his former post.

By Order

Ag Private Secretary.

Ord. 26/7/97

Wtd. 10/8/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

R 5

(True Copy)

To

His Excellency Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock
G.C.B.&G.; G.C.I.E.
Governor of the Presidency of Fort St George
&c &c &c
Madras.

PETITION

The most humble and respectful
Memorial of the Inhabitants
of the Periapolium Village No:192,
Tiruvallur Taluq, Chingleput District
who have set hereunto their
Signatures and Marks

Most respectfully sheweth: ——

1. That Ramanuja Pillai, Karnam
of the said Periapolium Village whose
Ancestors had been hereditarily
holding that Office, having by
hard mistake committed a
trifling mistake in Revenue
Accounts, the Collector of
Tiruvallur Mr: Krishna Rao
Avl: who was in charge of the
Taluq then, ignorant of
revenue affairs, had imposed
upon that Karnam, the said
Ramanujapillai, a harsh
punishment of dismissal

from

from his service. This seems rather very unfair and inequitable. The said Karnam had thereupon appealed to the Zillah Collector and to the Board of Revenue against the action of the Collector concerned. Both these authorities have rejected the Karnam's appeal to his great misfortune.

3. Messrs Thiruvengada Pillai, Ivar Gyasawmy Pillai, the father and the grand father of the dismissed respectively, and their ancestors had all held the office of Karnam of Periapolium Village till their life without any fault of blot on their part nor without entertaining any notion of deprecating Government. The son of such a noble family should of course be expected to be much more honest in his actions. Your Excellency's humble Memorialists may be allowed to express here that they were all very kindly treated by the dismissed and his forefathers during their regime of Karnam.

4. The Karnam in question had held the office for 10 years without any fault on his part. The owner of the land measuring 146-54 Survey No: having cultivated Tar paddy in part on the ground of 10 acres, the Karnam had by oversight

entered

entered the crop in the cultivation account in November 1894, as Sambha Paddy, instead of Kar Paddy. When the Revenue Inspector Mr: Ramanuja Thavidan came to Azimaish, thinking doubtfully that it was in Madippu Nilam cultivation, accused the Karnam Ramanuja Pillai, of having left out the entry of the 2nd crop in the cultivation account and reported the matter to that effect to his superiors. It is for this trifling mistake, Your Excellency's Memorialists deeply regret to inform Your Excellency, that the Karnam was dismissed the service.

H.

(3)

5. It is usual to close each Fusly's account of Cultivation, with the 31st March. The cultivation of 10 acres in question even when regarded as 2nd crop (ie), Madippu Nilam Cultivation, it would not fall within the category of mistake as the cultivation did not come to an end. It is usual to enter in accounts the cultivation after the village settlement was over at the end of March. Azimaish took place within that time of entering in accounts. This point was not at the time taken into consideration.

The

6. The Karnam Iruv
Ramanuja Chari, is a
very poor man with
a large family dependent
upon him for support.
He has no other means
of earning his livelihood
but the office of Karnam.
7. As the cultivation
in question relates to an
extensive Survey land,
the mistake is due only
to interchange of place
and substitution of one
kind of grain for another
by oversight and not
to wantonly leaving out
the cultivation of 2nd
crop. This is quite
certain. Your
Excellency's

(2)

Excellency's Memorialists
take this opportunity of
acquainting your
Excellency with the fact
there existed at this time
bitter enmity among
Maniam Munsiffs of
the village - the owner of the
land in question, and
the dismissed Karnam
in connection with a
Criminal Suit. The
Maniam Munsiff Iruv
Jeevanantham Chari -
who at first doubtfully
deposed whether the cultiva-
tion in question belonged
to Karimilam (ie) 1st crop
or to Madhipu Nilam (ie)
2nd crop - had sometime
after stated that the said
cultivation belonged to
Madhipu Nilam

Thiru Selvaraja Chetty

Madippu Pillai - Thus he had made two doubtful statements from which Your Excellency would naturally infer that the charge against the dismissed is justifiable. The Revenue Inspector too had accordingly reported. The owner of the land affirms that the cultivation is of Karimkam(ie) first-crop. He had preferred an appeal to the Zillah Collector. The Inamdar Plaintiff has no other landed estate but his own office.

8. The Zillah Collector without personal enquiry into the facts of the case at large, had to the misfortune of the dismissed Karnam Ramanuja Pillai, rejected the appeal and confirmed the decision of the Collector.

9 In conclusion, Your Excellency's humble and respectful Memorialists pray that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to sanction the reinstatement of the Ex-Karnam Ramanuja Pillai, in his former post and thus stand a benign benefactor to the Karnam and to his grieved caye family.

For which act

J

of generosity and
Kindness your
Excellency's humble
and respectful
Memorialists shall
As in duty bound
ever remain grateful

(Sd) I. Baloo Moodly
— Coopoo Reddy
Mark of Neomiamall
N. Govinda Reddy
— Thiru Yegambara Naicken
Sd/ Hossain Pillay
— Kammappa Chetty
— Rajah Moodly
— Ramasawmy Reddy
— Rayava Chetty
— Athisayanatha Moodly
— V. Murugesa Moodly
— V. Veerappa Reddy
— A. Iyencappa Moodly
— P. V. Ramanya Chetty
— Thiru Velumaiai Chetty
— A. Singaravelu Moodly

P.T.O.

(Sd) M. K. Thiruvengada Pillai
— A. Valu Reddy
— Obulu
— A. Chinnayah Moodly
— Chinnasawmy Moodly
— Karivelutter
— Vadiappa Reddy
— Lawmy Naidu
Mark of Muttappa Reddy
Sd/ Ratna Moodly
Mark of Chinna Ummani Chetty
Sd/ Narayanasawmy Moodly
— Sundara Moodly
— Cundasawmy Moodly
— Kumarasawmy Moodly
— P. Cundarawmy Naidu
Mark of A. Ummappa Reddy
Sd/ Tholasinga Pillai
Sd/ Velmurugu Gramany
Sd/ Ramasamy Pillai
Mark of Gnanasawmy Moodly
Sd/ Rhadawa Reddy
Mark of Neomiammall
Sd/ Duraisawmy Moodly
Sd/ Soonu Moodly
Sd/ V. Kammappa Moodly

P.T.O.

By Savy Reddy

Markey Venkatapathy Reddy

By Venkatachala Reddy

By V. Kuppuswamy Naidu

By Gopu Reddi

By Ratna Swamy

By C. Sowraya Swamy

By P. Krishnaswamy

By P. Perumal Naidu

By Krishnaswamy Mudaly

By Arunachala Devar

By Velu Mudaly

By C. Dharmalinga Mudaly

By Narasimha Chari

By Durai Swamy Mudaly

By C. Thumboo Swamy Chetti

By Govindaswamy Mudaly

By Mariappa

True Copy of
Daniel Vincente Chevalier
attached, 4 July 1877

Peniapolicam
7 July 1877

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 . DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL										

E.E.,—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only