

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1268

DATED 6th Mar

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the mother and guardian
of the minor Jaghirdar of Sivasaim
that the copy of the G.O. applied for
cannot be granted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1329

From M Rly.

P. Sivaswamy Nayar Esq.
High Court Vakil

No. 45

For Orders

The collector's letter
read in the B.P.
disposed of in E.P.
No. 5273 d. 19-12-96
was returned to the
Board of Revenue
with the order.

Ref
Call for it from the Board.

Dated 11th

Received 12th

Registered 12th

Enclosures /

Spare copies

Feb 1897

SUBJECT.

Applying, on behalf of
his client Annamalai
Ammal, for copies of
certain J.S.

76/18/97

11/1/97

Submitted for disposal


No. 5273 d. 19-12-96

11/1/97

11/1/97

29

•



7


W. J. Langford

5th Feb/97

1329

12 1/2 High Court Chambers
Madras.

11th February 1897.



To

PETITION The Revenue Secretary
to the Government of Fort St. George
Madras.

Seq. 9139

REVENUE DEPT.

On behalf of Unnamalai Annal the
mother and guardian of J. Annadaraya
On behalf of the minor Jaghirdar of Sircasamud-
ram in the Kollegal Taluk, Coimbatore District.

I beg to apply to you for copies of the Govern-
ment order dated 19th December 1896 No. 5273
Mis (Revenue) directing the Court of wards to
take charge of the Sircasamudram Jaghire, the
proceedings of the Revenue Board recommending
the assumption of management by the Court
of Wards and the report of the Collector on
which the said proceedings and Government
order were based. I may state that my
client's object in asking for these copies is to
ascertain on what representations the said
Government order was passed and petition the
Government.

Accepted

M. S. S. S. S. S.

Vakil for Petitioner

11/2/97

Government to reconsider the order it has passed
on ex parte representations to the prejudice of my
Client: I request that the copies mentioned above
may be ordered to be furnished to me on my
Client's behalf as early as practicable. I have en-
closed herein a Vakalat from Client enabling me
to act in the matter.

I beg to remain
Sir,

Your most obedient
Servant:

M Sivadaswamy aiyer

C.N. 1329 of 1877

For orders

In G.O. No 5273,
dt 19.12.1876 the Court
of wards was directed
to assume management
of the portion of the estate
of the minor jaghirdar
of Sivasamudram, lying
in the British territory.

2. A Vakil of the High
Court now applies, on
behalf of the minor's mother,
for a copy of that order,
of the D.P. on which it was
passed, and of the
collector's report submitted
to Govt with the D.P., the
object being to ascertain
on what representations
the said Govt. order was
passed and petition the
Govt. to reconsider the order
it has passed on ex parte
representations to the prej-
udice of my client."

3. It is submitted that
the petitioner may be fur-
nished with a copy of the

C-1/3/77
R-4/3/77

order and of paras 5 & 6 of the B.P. read therein which give all the ~~part~~ information which the petitioners may wish to have. The other paras of the B.P. are unnecessary for his purposes. If it is thought that a copy of the collector's report also should be granted, it would be desirable to omit the whole of para 5 thereof and of ^{the} portions of paras 3 & 4 enclosed within brackets in pencil.

(P. 25 Feb 97) I do not see why he would know his opponent's brief, or rather I do not see what claim he has to do it. The case that his own case be considered then but I think copies asked for in the refusal.

[Signature]

Drift to 11/5/97

I think the woman has no right to ask why she refused the order. Hence, although copies are refused, she should be told that the Govt. considers the arrangement first sanctioned, viz. that the management of the portion of the estate on the British side of the boundary between Coimbatore and Mysore should be entrusted to the Mysore agent, has failed and that it is now necessary to entrust its management to a British official.

28/3/97

C.N. 1329/97

G.O. no. 1268 d/6 Mar 1897.

The copies applied for cannot be granted. But the mother & guardian of the minor will be informed that the Government considers the arrangement first sanctioned - viz. that the management of the portion of the estate on the British side of the boundary between Coimbatore and Mysore should be entrusted to the Mysore agent, has failed and that it is now necessary to entrust its management to a British official.

[Signature]

To the petitioner
Copy to the Court of wards.
For orders.

(P. 5 Mar 97)

Ms. 9-3-97

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.