

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1206

DATED 28th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that Govt. cannot comply with the request of the petitioner Ranga Rao to restore him to his former post of Village Magistrate of Dharmavarum, Anantapur District, and that memorials from Village Officers are not forwarded to the Secretary of State.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 2980



From H. A. Sim Esq. J.L.
Ag Private Secretary to
H. M. Governor.

Order

No.

Dated 10th June 1897.

Received 13.6.97

Registered 15.6.97

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition of 27th
May 1897 from Ranga Row, late
village Magistrate, Dharama-
naram, Anantapur Dt, praying
that the accompanying petition
may be forwarded to the Secy of State
& that he may be given back his
former post of Village Magistrate.
(By order)

[Signature]

15/6

Ag Private Secretary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
195 4-1-96			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To His Most Excellency the Secretary of State for India, in Council
London.

RECEIVED
OFFICE
10 APR. 96

REGISTER NO.

May it please Your Excellency, - The

humble petition of Ahasia Kumpak Rao,
(late Village Magistrate of Dharmaduram Cas-
ba, in the District of Anantapur of
the Madras Presidency) most humbly &
respectfully sheweth.

That after having
held the appointment of Village Magistrate for
a period of sixteen years, he was dismissed
the service on mere suspicion in con-
nection with a case of house breaking.

2. That Petitioner petitioned that all
the Authorities in India for his reinstate-
ment, to which "decline to interfere"
were the replies, with exception of the
Madras Govt. who ordered a regular inquiry
to be made which had been done, and no
guilt proved.

3. That Petitioner petitioned the Govt.
of Madras again after the above men-
tioned inquiry, and the result was that
they declined to interfere.

4. That such being
the case, Petitioner petitioned the Govt. of
India, from whom also the reply came
that they declined to interfere.

5. That Petitioner feeling that he was

RECEIVED
INDIA OFFICE
27 APR 96

most unjustly dealt with, he forwarded the whole of the records of his case, with a petition to the Secretary of State for India, in the year 1890, since from which time the Petitioner's case has not been disposed of, and on Petitioner's reminding petitions, replies were received to forward Petitioner's petition and records thro' the Local Govt, which Petitioner could not comply with, as they were lying in the State Secretary's office in London.

5. That Petitioner had replied on his occasions to that effect viz. that, Petitioner had not the records of his case with him to do as bidden, still, neither the records were returned, or his case disposed of, as Petitioner requested. The State Secretary to do so, as an order of Govt prohibits forwarding petitions from their servants whose salaries does not exceed Rs. 100, and as Petitioner stood in that position, it would have been useless even if the records of his case were returned to him for that purpose, in which account Petitioner prayed that his case might be disposed of by the Secretary of State for India.

6. That Petitioner most humbly begs to bring to His Excellency's kind notice of several native high officials, both in this, and the other Presidencies, having been tried before Criminal Courts on grave crimes than mere suspicion, but who were acquitted, and their appointments

given to them, and others, who were dismissed after trial, and having failed in obtaining their appointments from the Local Governments, had petitioned the Secretary of State for India, and succeeded in being reinstated in the service, with their arrears of service to claim for pension, and also their pay during which time they were unemployed. whereas the Petitioner has no claim for either service or pension, as the appointment holds a grant of a small piece of land, the produce of which is his payment when holding the appointment of Village Magistrate, which being so, the Govt loses nothing by Petitioner's re-instatement.

7. That Petitioner had, on the proclamation of the Durra's Jilder, sent a petition to the Madras Govt with great hopes that Petitioner's unjust dismissal may be taken into consideration, and that, as numbers of criminals were reprimed on that joyful day, the Petitioner also may be re-instated in his appointment, but was disappointed. Petitioner now again prays that His Excellency will have mercy and take this request into consideration and pass an order for Petitioner's re-appointment.

8. That Petitioner most earnestly prays, that His Most Excellency

The present Secretary of State for India
will be pleased to blot into the records
of Petitioner's case, in which will be found
a full explanation which rebuts the
unjust suspicions grounds for which the
Petitioner had been dismissed from his appoint-
ment, and that a favourable order be passed
for his reinstatement in the Village Service,
and for which act of kind justice the Petitioner
with his family, as in duty bound, will
ever pray for His Excellency's long life
and happiness.

சென்னை
பெரியவாசல்
பெரியவாசல்
பெரியவாசல்

(By) Shri Ramprasad
Late Village Magistrate of
Sharmavaram - Madras Presidency

Sharmavaram

24 Jan 1890

Enclosures - 1 (one) An admission

judgment of receipt of Petitioner's records
with Petition, by Secretary of State

25

His Excellency, Sir Arthur Forbes
G. C. M. S. Govt of Fort St. George
Madras.

PETITION May it please Your Excellency,

RECEIVED

The humble petition of Sarsai Ramprasad Late
Village Magistrate of Sharmavaram Cesta in the
Amantapom District. Most respectfully sheweth
1. That the accompanying petition
to the Secretary of State for India, explains
the cause of his dismissal from service, &
the results of his appeal to the Madras
and Indian Governments, in which Petitioner
entirely failed to receive a favourable
reply, and was compelled to appeal to
the Secretary of State for India, to which
replies were received to forward his pe-
tition thro: the Local Govt, which Petitioner
was unable to comply with, as the whole
of the records connected with his case were
with the Secretary of State for India in
London. Consequently the Petitioner on two oc-
casions requested for the return of them
but was replied to, to send his petition
thro: the Local Govt.

2. That, on the above-
mentioned grounds, the humble Petitioner
most earnestly prays that Your Excellency
will be pleased to forward the accom-
panying petition to the Secretary of State,
with a recommendation for mercy in
remembrance of the great and auspicious day of
rejoicing which is to come off on the com-
pletion of our most gracious Sovereign Lady
Queen Victoria's Diamond Jubilee of sixty

long years of a prosperous, and happy
reign, and on which day numbers of
very bad criminals are also let free to
remember that great, auspicious and
joyful day, on which day also Your Ex-
cellency's humble P^r (who, on only
simple reports of his Taluk Superiors, and
without any explanation from Petitioner
to contradict such reports he was dis-
missed from his Village Office) most
earnestly hopes that, either Your Excellency
or the Secretary of State will be graciously
pleased in the name of our Most Gracious
Sovereign Lady Queen Victoria, Empress
of India, to grant the Petitioner's request
for mercy, by ordering his reinstatement
in the Village Office as Magistrate, and for
which act of mercy the Petitioner, as in
duty bound shall ever pray for Your
Excellencies long, and happy life.

श्री ००००० श्री ०००००
००००० १२०० श्री ०००००
०००००

(Signed) Darius Kuma Nar
Lali Village Magt of
Sharabha Linalapen
District.

Shamavaram
27th May 1897

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5121

Order

From

Runga Rao

Sharmasaram,
Mantapur

No.

Dated 18th June 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying that his petition
to Govt. may be considered
before H. M. the Queen's
jubilee.

mm
19.6

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Read cross 4980 & 5121
G.P.R. no. 1206 of 28th June 97.
The Govt cannot
comply with the

Code Class. *71/2* INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. *10*

To *Ootacamund* (Office) From *Madras* (Office)

Words. *10* Day. *10* Hour. *10* Minute. *10* Official Instructions. *10*

To *Madras* (Person) From *Madras* (Person)

19 JUN 97
REV. DEPT.

*See serially forwarded by the
Madras Police to the
Madras Govt. 13-6-97*

Lead. from *LN.* Sent to *LN.*

At *Madras* Hrs. *10* Mts. by *10* At *Ootacamund* Hrs. *10* Mts. by *10*

OOTACAMUND 13-6-97 Telegraph Master. *10*

G.O.P.—490 T—No 18 2 36—600000

of the name...
in the Anantapur
district was dismissed
from his post for complicity
with professional
thieves, and the dis-
missal was upheld
by the Govt in G.P.R.
543 R.P.d. 27-5-85. Two

**Code(s)
Class(s)**

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly }
Number. }

To
(Ullies)

From
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) (21) (22) (23) (24) (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30) (31) (32) (33) (34) (35) (36) (37) (38) (39) (40) (41) (42) (43) (44) (45) (46) (47) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (54) (55) (56) (57) (58) (59) (60) (61) (62) (63) (64) (65) (66) (67) (68) (69) (70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77) (78) (79) (80) (81) (82) (83) (84) (85) (86) (87) (88) (89) (90) (91) (92) (93) (94) (95) (96) (97) (98) (99) (100)

2

100

SOVI. MADR

(Free)

19 JUN 97 (S)

From
(Person)

(Person)

32 Word Form.

1. cod. from

25

SECRET

5.7

4: Mrs.

Mrs. b6

五

OFF-ACCORD-13-6-97

Telegraph

COP-430T-No 18236-600.00

F. C. I.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Read cros 4980 & 5121
C.P.R. no. 1206 of 28th June 97.

The Govt cannot
comply with the
petr's request ^{to be restored}
^{to his post.}
2. The petr is also
informed that
memorials from
village officers
are not forwarded
to the Secy of State.

[Signature]

hit

In the petr
^{with} ^{reul}
note

} 26-1-1-97
200-1-7-97

The petr who was
the village magistrate
of Dharmaram caste
in the Anantapur
district was dismissed
from his post for complicity
with professional
thieves, and the dis-
missal was upheld
by the Govt in C.P.R. no.
593 R.P. d. 27-5-85. Two

501
24-4-1874
[Signature]

review petitions to the Govt were also rejected and a further memorial addressed to the Govt of India was withheld in G.O. No. 196 dt 14-1-90. The petr appears to have sent memorials direct to the Secy of State with the result that he was told to submit them through the local Govt. With his present petition to this Govt, the petr forwards a memorial to the Secy of State and requests that it may be transmitted to its destination. The petr also requests that the local Govt may be pleased to restore him to his post.

(A.P.)
24 June 97 18/6/97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

S.F.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only