Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1199

DATED 25th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing A. Bhadrayya, Vinukonda Taluk, Kistna Dt., that the Government sees no reason to reconsider the orders already passed on his previous petition.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5088

Order

From

A. Bhadrayya,
Kimidsonda Taluk
Kistna Dt.

No.

Dated 30th May 1897

Received 17-6-97

Registered 21-6-97

Enclosures 12

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying to be reinstated as Karnam of Utgi-gundala village

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVT. MADRAS
17 JUN 97
REV. DEPT.

PETITION

To

The Right Honorable
the Governor of
Fort St. George
in Council

The humble memorial of
Ayiguvadala Bhadrayya
son of Ayiguvadala Viswanada
Palaja, Vizna District

Sheweth

May it please your Gracious Excellency
with mingled feelings of
reverence and loyalty your
memorialist prostrates himself before
Your Excellency's Gracious Government
for a kind reconsideration of his case
for the following reasons

Rule (i) promulgated in G. O
No 1004 / 21-11-93 runs thus:— when a suit
has been decided in the court of
original jurisdiction subsequently
to November 24, 1892, the rules contained
in G. O No 1168 of that date shall
be held to apply thereto. But in all
cases

cases decided in the original court under Regulation VI of 1831 prior to that date, retrospective effect shall not be given to the said orders —

— Under the above it follows that your memorialists case disposed of by the original courts on 30th April 1891, that is more than a year before the issue of G. O. 1168 / 24.11.92 ought not on any account to have been reopened your Gracious — Excellency in council will be pleased to observe that your memorialists case was settled before November 1892 even by the Collector in appeal. It is not denied that, in Your memorialists' village, there was reduction in the number of curnam offices at the revision of village establishments in 1878 —

Your memorialist deeply regrets that it has exceptionally become his hard lot to be denied a concession allowed through the length and breadth of the presidency. In many a case hitherto poor memorialists, the principle laid down in the rule quoted above was strictly adhered to and the individuals holding offices or declared by the original courts as better entitled to hold them on or before 24th November 1892 were left undisturbed both by Your Excellency's Government and by the Board of Revenue —

With these circumstances, Your memorialist most humbly beseeches that Your Gracious — Excellency in Council may be — Kindly pleased to reconsider his case with reference to the principle laid down in rule (1) promulgated in G. O No. 1004 / 21-11-93 quoted supra and

and restore him to the office of cumana
of Portuguesa village. Your
Excellency's endorsement of 2822
of the 9th July last is herewith
resubmitted with its enclosures.
In favor of such an act of benevolence,
your poor Memorialist as in duty
bound will ever pray
He begs to remain
Most Excellent Lord
Your Excellency's most obedient & humble
servant
30 May 1897
Vicente Ronda

C no 5088 of 97

G.O. (Ron) no. 199 of 25th June 97.
The Government sees no
reason to reconsider the orders ~
already passed on his previous petition

25/6/97.

Init
To
The Petitioner
with enclosures.

23 June 97

7-7-97

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only