

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1195-

DATED 24th June 97.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from T. Subbaraya Pillai, Trichinopoly is disposed of under Paras II & X of G.O. Petition Rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CNo 1365/78							

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 22

List. 24/6

From

J. Subbaraya Pillai.
Trichinopoly.

Order R. No. 1195 & 24.6-97

Pages II & X of C.
Petition Rules.

No.

24.6/1

Dated 17th June 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Appealing against his
dismissal from the post of
Range clerk, Perambalur
Range, Trichinopoly St.

To the Petitioner
e He
23/6.

26.2-7.97

29/6

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To.

PETITION

NO

REVENUE DEPT

His Excellency, Sir
Arthur Evelyn Havelock
G. C. M. G. & C.
Governor-in-Council
Fort St George
Madras

The undersigned
J. J. Subbarayan Pillay
Late Range Clerk to
Perambalur Range
Trichinopoly District
Most humbly & respect-
fully begs to bring
the following facts
lines to His Excellency's
most gracious and kind
consideration hoping
thereby to meet with
Success.

Most respectfully Sheweth:-

The petitioner was first
appointed a clerk in the Perambalur
Forest Range Office Trichinopoly
District on probation for 6 months.
He was then confirmed in the post
after the lapse of the above
period on the recommendation
of the Range Officer.

2.

The Acting District Forest
Officer during his inspection
of the Range records &c on 29.5.95
found fault with the petitioner
dispensed with his services from
20th September 96 as Incompetent
and Idle.

3. The petitioner Appealed to the Collector who in his proceedings no: 2792 dated the 17th December 1896 reversed the Order of the Acting District Forest Officer, said that it was improper to dispense with his services of the petitioner without even calling for the explanation and directed to the District Forest Officer and treated it as suspension pending receipt of explanation.

4. The petitioner submitted his explanation to the District Forest Officer who forwarded the same to the Collector with his remarks. The Collector having perused the explanation and the District Forest Officer's remarks thereon confirmed the Order of the District Forest Officer in his proceedings no: 1077 of 1896 dated 30th June 96 ^{Rev} and dismissed the Appeal.

5. The petitioner ^{then} submitted an appeal to the Board who also in the proceedings no: 175 ^{22.2.97} said that the petitioner was rightly dismissed.

6. Thus goes the story of the petitioner. The petitioner most humbly begs to point out to His most Gracious Excellency that in the final Order passed

passed by the Collector in his proceedings no: 1077 of 30th June 96 confirmed the order ^{Rev} of the District Forest Officer. The Collector said that the petitioner was rightly dismissed and the Board have also in their Proceedings no: 175 ^{22.2.97} repeated the same words. Thus the petitioner's claims seem to have not been considered at all.

7. The petitioner in his explanation expressed only the true facts taking into consideration the proverb - "Truth is Triumph", but the Collector condemns the Truth by vexatious reasons confirms the dismissal order with the very wording of the petitioner's explanation.

8. Further the petitioner was only a petty clerk on Rs 12 per mensem and the words of a petty man will surely have no weight in the eyes of the Collector and the Board who are so many times greater than he is. But even if such should be the case with regard to the pittance of pay, yet should he be the same in considering justice.

9. Incompetent and Negligence are no doubt, words

words of relative meaning
as pointed out by the Collector,
but whether they are found
in the petitioner is a question
which has not been considered.

The petitioner was appointed
as a Range Clerk on probation
after dismissing one Parayana-
sawmy Pillay who was the
Range Clerk for a long time.
This Parayanasawmy Pillay
left the office register
etc in a most unsatisfactory
way, which it was very diffi-
cult to rectify. The petitioner
tried his best and set the
matters alright and then
only the Range Officer
recommended the Confirmation
of the petitioner and the District
Forest Officer confirmed him;
where with all the petitioner
was only awarded with dis-
missal when he does not
deserve the same.

10. Seeing all these facts
the petitioner most humbly
begs to bring to notice that
the higher Authorities have
simply endorsed the very
words of the District Forest
Officer as already pointed
above.

Under these circumstances
the petitioner most humbly
requests His most gracious
Excellency to kindly give
due consideration to all matters
and re-appoint the petitioner
to his post and if the request
is again refused, to accept
his resignation from the
post as dismissal is a thing
which mars all prospects
in this world and as the
offences with which the
petitioner is charged are not
of any serious nature

For which act
of benevolence and justice
I with all the Agnates
and Cognates of my family
shall as in duty bound
ever pray

J. J. Subbaraya Pillay
Late Forest Range
Office Clerk
residing in Darke's
bridge
Trichinopoly

17th June 1908

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO U. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

S.P.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only